Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581.

Hand delivery/Courier: Same as Mail above.

Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Please submit your comments using only one method.

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to http://www.cftc.gov. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.1

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse, or remove any or all of your submission from http://www.cftc.gov that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the ICR will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Steinberg (202) 418–5102; FAX: (202) 418–5527; email: dsteinberg@ cftc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are businesses and other for-profit institutions.

Title: Part 41, Relating to Security Futures Products (OMB Control No. 3038–0059). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: Section 4d(c) of the Commodity Exchange Act (CEA), 7 U.S.C. 6d(c), requires the CFTC to consult with the SEC and issue such rules, regulations, or orders as are necessary to avoid duplicative or conflicting regulations applicable to firms that are fully registered with the SEC as brokers or dealers (brokerdealers) and the CFTC as futures commission merchants (FCMs) involving provisions of the CEA that

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for the CFTC's regulations were published on December 30, 1981. See 46 FR 63035 (Dec. 30, 1981).

The Commission would like to solicit comments to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have a practical use;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average .721 hours per response. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: 144. Estimated number of responses: 2,975. Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 2,146 hours.

Frequency of collection: On occasion. The regulations require no new startup or operations and maintenance costs.

Dated: December 17, 2013.

Melissa D. Jurgens,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–30419 Filed 12–20–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal and Reuse of Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Horsham, Pennsylvania, and To Announce Public Meetings

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, 42 United States Code [U.S.C.] 4321-4370f), as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy (DoN) has prepared and filed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the potential environmental consequences associated with the disposal of Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base (NAS JRB) Willow Grove, Horsham, Pennsylvania, and its subsequent reuse by the local redevelopment authority. On September 15, 2011, the installation officially closed, as required by Public Law 101-510, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended in 2005. Public meetings will be held in an open house format to provide information and receive oral and written comments on the Draft EIS. Federal, state, and local agencies and interested individuals are invited to be present or represented at the meetings.

DATES AND ADDRESSES: The DoN is initiating a public comment period to provide the community an opportunity to comment on the Draft EIS. Federal, state, and local elected officials and agencies and the public are encouraged to provide written or oral comments at two scheduled open house public meetings to be held at the Horsham Township Community Center, located at 1025 Horsham Road in Horsham Township, Pennsylvania. The public meetings are scheduled as follows:

Monday, January 13, 2014 (5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.)

pertain to the treatment of customer funds. The CFTC, jointly with the SEC, issued regulations requiring such dually-registered firms to make choices as to how its customers' transactions in security futures products (SFP) will be treated, either as securities transactions held in a securities account or as futures transactions held in a futures account. How an account is treated is important in the unlikely event of the insolvency of the firm. Securities accounts receive insurance protection under provisions of the Securities Investor Protection Act. By contrast, futures accounts are subject to the protections provided by the segregation requirements of the CEA.

Tuesday, January 14, 2014 (11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Director, BRAC Program Management Office East, 4911 South Broad Street, Building 679, Philadelphia, PA 19112–1303, telephone: 215–897–4900, fax: 215–897–4902, email: gregory.preston@navy.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DoN has prepared the Draft EIS for the Disposal and Reuse of NAS JRB Willow Grove, Horsham, Pennsylvania, in accordance with the requirements of NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321–4345) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508). A Notice of Intent (NOI) for this Draft EIS was published in the Federal Register on November 23, 2012 (Federal Register, Vol. 77, No. 226/ Friday, November 23, 2012/Notices). The DoN is the lead agency for the proposed action. The purpose of the proposed action is the disposal of NAS JRB Willow Grove from federal ownership and its subsequent reuse in a manner consistent with the NAS JRB Willow Grove Redevelopment Plan as developed by the Horsham Township Authority in March 2012. NAS JRB Willow Grove was officially closed on September 15, 2011, as required by Public Law 101–510, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended in 2005 (the BRAC Law). The BRAC Law exempts the decisionmaking process of the BRAC Commission from the provisions of NEPA. The Law also relieves the Department of Defense (DoD) from the NEPA requirements to consider the need for closing, realigning, or transferring functions and from looking at alternative installations to close or realign. However, in accordance with NEPA, before disposing of any real property, the DoN must analyze the environmental effects of the disposal of the property. This Draft EIS has identified and considered three redevelopment alternatives for the disposal and reuse of NAS JRB Willow Grove. The No Action Alternative was also considered, as required by NEPA and to provide a point of comparison for assessing impacts of the redevelopment alternatives.

Alternative 1 includes the disposal of the former NAS JRB Willow Grove by the DoN and its reuse in a manner consistent with the NAS JRB Willow Grove Redevelopment Plan. This alternative has been identified as the Preferred Alternative by the DoN. Full build-out of the Redevelopment Plan would be implemented over a 20-year period. The plan calls for redevelopment of most of the former

installation property and includes a mix of land use types and densities, as well as open space and natural areas. The airfield and most installation facilities would be demolished. The Redevelopment Plan incorporates mixed-use, pedestrian-oriented features (e.g., a town center, walkable neighborhoods, and bike lanes), open spaces, best management practices for stormwater management, and green and sustainable design principles. The redevelopment would make available approximately 2.3 million square feet of non-residential building space and a mix of 1,486 residential housing units.

Alternative 2 provides for the disposal of the former installation property by the DoN but has a higher density of residential development than under Alternative 1 and a similar level of mixed-use development. As with Alternative 1, the airfield and most installation facilities would be demolished. This alternative includes a mix of land use types, open space, and natural areas and incorporates smartgrowth principles that include pedestrian-friendly transportation and compact development. Full build-out is proposed to be implemented over a 20year period. This alternative calls for approximately 2.1 million square feet of non-residential building space and a mix of 1,999 residential housing units.

Alternative 3 would maintain the existing runway and a portion of the taxiways, parking aprons, and hangar space for airfield operations. After accounting for the area taken up by critical airfield/air operation elements (approximately 350 acres) and the areas that provide open space surrounding the airfield due to safety setbacks associated with the airfield (approximately 300 acres), the remaining land available for redevelopment would be approximately 210 acres. The layout of Alternative 3 incorporates the approximate sizes and locations of several elements from the Preferred Alternative (Alternative 1), such as the recreation center, aviation museum, and golf course. However, due to the land use constraints imposed by inclusion of the airfield, this option excludes a majority of residential development land uses within the former installation property, including a town center.

The No Action Alternative is also analyzed in the Draft EIS, as required by NEPA. Under this alternative, NAS JRB Willow Grove would be retained by the U.S. government in caretaker status. No reuse or redevelopment would occur at the facility.

The Draft EIS addresses potential environmental impacts under each alternative associated with: Land use, socioeconomics and environmental justice, community services, transportation, environmental management, air quality, noise, infrastructure and utilities, cultural resources, topography, geology, soils, water resources, vegetation, and wildlife. The analyses include direct and indirect impacts, and accounts for cumulative impacts from other foreseeable federal, state, or local activities at and around the former NAS JRB Willow Grove property. The DoN conducted the scoping process to identify community concerns and local issues that should be addressed in the EIS. The DoN considered the comments provided, which identified specific issues or topics of environmental concern, in determining the scope of the EIS. The Draft EIS has been distributed to various federal, state, and local agencies, as well as other interested individuals and organizations. In addition, copies of the Draft EIS have been distributed to the following libraries and publicly accessible facilities for public review: Horsham Township Library, 435 Babylon Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044-1224

An electronic copy of the Draft EIS is available for public viewing at http://www.willowgroveeis.com. Federal, state, and local agencies, as well as other interested parties, are invited and encouraged to be present or represented at the public meetings.

Comments can be made in the following ways: (1) Written statements can be submitted to a DoN representative at the public meetings; (2) spoken comments can be provided to and recorded by a court reporter who will be present at the public meeting; (3) written comments can be mailed to 4911 South Broad Street, Building 679, Philadelphia, PA 19112-1303, Attn: Willow Grove EIS; (4) comments can be emailed to gregory.preston@navy.mil; or (5) comments can be faxed to 215-897-4902, Attn: Willow Grove EIS. Comments may be submitted without attending the public meetings. Equal weight will be given to oral and written statements. All comments postmarked or emailed no later than midnight February 10, 2014, will become part of the public record and will be responded to in the Final EIS.

Requests for special assistance, sign language interpretation for the hearing impaired, language interpreters, or other auxiliary aids for the scheduled public meetings must be sent by mail or email to Mr. Matthew Butwin, Ecology and Environment, Inc., 368 Pleasant View Drive, Lancaster, NY 14086, telephone: 716–684–8060, email: mbutwin@ene.com no later than January 6, 2014.

Dated: December 17, 2013.

N.A. Hagerty-Ford,

Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-30505 Filed 12-20-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2013-ICCD-0157]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; The Impact of Professional Development in Fractions for Fourth Grade

AGENCY: Institute of Education Sciences/ National Center for Education Statistics (IES), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 *et seq.*), ED is proposing a new information collection. **DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 21, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http:// www.regulations.gov by selecting Docket ID number ED-2013-ICCD-0157 or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Room 2E105, Washington, DC 20202-4537.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions related to collection activities or burden, please call Kathy Axt, 540–776–7742 or electronically mail ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please do not send comments here. We will only accept comments in this mailbox when the regulations.gov site is not available to the public for any reason.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also

helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: The Impact of Professional Development in Fractions for Fourth Grade.

OMB Control Number: 1850-NEW.

Type of Review: A new information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 3,024.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 1,512.

Abstract: OMB clearance is requested for a randomized control trial study of the impact of professional development in fractions for fourth grade teachers on student achievement and teacher knowledge in 84 elementary schools from Georgia and South Carolina for one academic year. Schools below the state median on the respective state assessment will be recruited. Random assignment will be conducted at the school-level. Teachers in the treatment schools will participate in 8 three-hour training sessions during the Fall semester with additional homework lessons. Teachers in control schools will receive business-as-usual professional development. Teachers will participate in the following data collection activities: consent and demographic form, teacher knowledge measure of fractions (pre- and post-test), and 9 monthly professional development surveys. Grade 4 students will be assessed with a fractions measure. REL Southeast will conduct the study. This OMB clearance request is to collect data from approximately 252 teachers.

Dated: December 17, 2013.

Stephanie Valentine,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2013-30420 Filed 12-20-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Secretary of Energy Advisory Board

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of Open Meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces an open meeting of the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board (SEAB) FracFocus Task Force. SEAB was reestablished pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770) (the Act). This notice is provided in accordance with the Act.

DATES: Monday, January 6, 2014, 9:45 a.m.–3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Bodette, Designated Federal Officer, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585; telephone (202) 586–0383 or facsimile (202) 586–1441; seab@hq.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The Board was established to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary on the Department's basic and applied research, economic and national security policy, educational issues, operational issues and other activities as directed by the Secretary. The FracFocus Task Force is charged with reviewing how FracFocus 2.0 houses the information Federal and State regulatory agencies require as part of their regulatory functions with regard to disclosure of the composition and quantities of fracturing fluids injected into unconventional oil and gas wells.

Purpose of the Meeting: The meeting will be an opportunity to hear updates on the work of FracFocus 2.0.

Tentative Agenda: The meeting will start at 9:45 a.m. on January 6, 2014 and will conclude at 3:00 p.m. The tentative agenda is as follows:

9:45 a.m.–10:00 p.m. Task Force Chair John Deutch Opens Meeting 10:00 a.m.–10:45 p.m. DOE presentation on FracFocus 2.0

10:45 a.m.–11:45 p.m. FracFocus Presentation

11:45 a.m.-12:00 p.m. Break