

that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity;¹² (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

¹² We note that, pursuant to a section 129 determination, the Department announced it would instruct CBP "to discontinue the collection of cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties for AT&M." See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China and Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Implementation of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 78 FR 18958 (March 28, 2013). However, because of an injunction issued by the U.S. Court of International Trade in CIT Ct. No. 09-00511, the Department also explained that "future entries of such merchandise are subject to suspension of liquidation at the cash deposit rate of zero. Subsequent action will be consistent with the final court decision." *Id.* at 18960, n.20. Thus, while the Department continues to be enjoined from ordering the lifting of suspension of liquidation regarding incoming entries, future entries of such merchandise will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation at the cash deposit rate of zero and we will instruct CBP accordingly.

Dated: June 18, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Company Abbreviations
- IV. Other Abbreviations
- V. Diamond Sawblades Administrative Determinations and Results
- VI. Scope of the Order
- VII. Surrogate Country
- VIII. Separate Rates
- IX. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Separate Rate
 - ATM Single Entity—Separate Rate Status
 - ATM Single Entity—Cash Deposit Rate
 - ATM Single Entity—Whether AFA Is Appropriate
 - ATM Single Entity—Presumption of Government Control
 2. Danyang Tsunda—Late Separate Rate Application
 3. Value-Added Tax
 4. Aggregation of A-A and A-T Comparison Results
 5. Denial of Offsets for Non-Dumped Sales When Using the A-T Method
 6. Surrogate Values
 - Adverse Inference Request for Valuation of Certain Inputs
 - Antitrust Oil
 - Argon, Nitrogen, and Oxygen
 - Cores
 - Diamond Powder
 - Financial Statements
 - Steel Types 1, 2, and 3
 - Tin Powder
 - Truck Freight
 7. Weihai Collapsing Analysis
 8. Request To Apply Adverse Facts Available to Bosun
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-14717 Filed 6-23-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-016, C-570-017]

Notice of Extension of the Deadline for Determining the Adequacy of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Petitions: Certain Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 24, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emily Halle, Toni Page, or Kaitlin Wojnar at (202) 482-0176, (202) 482-1398, or (202) 482-3857, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Extension of Initiation of Investigations The Petitions

On June 3, 2014, the Department of Commerce (Department) received antidumping and countervailing duty petitions filed by the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO-CLC (Petitioner) on behalf of the domestic industry producing certain passenger vehicle and light truck tires.¹

Determination of Industry Support for the Petitions

Sections 702(b)(1) and 732(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), require that a petition be filed by or on behalf of the domestic industry. Sections 702(c)(4)(A) and 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provide that the Department's industry support determination be based on whether a minimum percentage of the relevant industry supports the petition. A petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) At least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, sections 702(c)(4)(D) and 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act provide that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product, the Department shall: (i) Poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A), or (ii) if there is a large number of producers, determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method to poll the industry.

Extension of Time

Sections 702(c)(1)(A)(ii) and 732(c)(1)(A)(ii) of the Act provide that within 20 days of the filing of an antidumping or countervailing duty petition, the Department will determine, *inter alia*, whether the petition has been filed by or on behalf of the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product. Sections 702(c)(1)(B) and 732(c)(1)(B) of

¹ See Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties and Countervailing Duties on Certain Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the People's Republic of China, June 3, 2014 (Petitions).

the Act provide that the deadline for the initiation determination, in exceptional circumstances, may be extended by 20 days in any case in which the Department must “poll or otherwise determine support for the petition by the industry.” Because it is not clear from the Petitions whether the industry support criteria have been met, the Department determines it should extend the time for initiating an investigation in order to further examine the issue of industry support.

The Department will need additional time to gather and analyze additional information regarding industry support. Therefore, it is necessary to extend the deadline for determining the adequacy of the Petitions for a period not to exceed 40 days from the filing of the Petition. Because the extended initiation determinations date of July 13, 2014, falls on a Sunday, a non-business day, the Department’s initiation determinations will now be due no later than July 14, 2014, the next business day.²

International Trade Commission Notification

The Department will contact the International Trade Commission (ITC) and will make this extension notice available to the ITC.

Dated: June 17, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014–14716 Filed 6–23–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–843]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 24, 2014.

SUMMARY: On April 18, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its notice of preliminary results of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India.¹ The

Department preliminarily determined that Navneet Education Limited (Navneet Education) is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications (India) Ltd. (Navneet Publications). No parties submitted comments, and for these final results we continue to find that Navneet Education is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cindy Robinson or Eric B. Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3797 and (202) 482–6071, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 17, 2013, Navneet Education requested that the Department conduct a CCR to determine whether it is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications, for purposes of determining antidumping duties due as a result of the *CLPP Order*.² On April 18, 2014, the Department published its *Preliminary Results*, in which it preliminarily determined that Navneet Education is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications.³ The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.⁴ We received no comments or requests for a hearing from interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *CLPP Order* is certain lined paper products, typically school supplies (for purposes of this scope definition, the actual use of or labeling these products as school supplies or non-school supplies is not a defining characteristic) composed of or including paper that incorporates straight horizontal and/or vertical lines on ten or more paper sheets (there shall be no minimum page requirement for looseleaf filler paper). The products are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4811.90.9035, 4811.90.9080,

4820.30.0040, 4810.22.5044, 4811.90.9050, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.2010, 4820.10.2020, 4820.10.2030, 4820.10.2040, 4820.10.2050, 4820.10.2060, and 4820.10.4000. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁵

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Because no parties submitted comments opposing the Department’s *Preliminary Results*, and because there is no other information or evidence on the record that calls into question the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determines that Navneet Education is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications for the purpose of determining antidumping duty liability.

Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

As a result of this determination, we find that Navneet Education should receive the cash deposit rate previously assigned to Navneet Publications in the most recently completed review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India. Consequently, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect estimated antidumping duties for all shipments of subject merchandise exported by Navneet Education and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register** at the current cash deposit rate for Navneet Publications, which is *de minimis*.⁶ This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of

⁵ For a complete description of the scope of the *CLPP Order*, see the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Lined Paper Products from India” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with the *Preliminary Results*.

⁶ See *Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 79 FR 26205, 26206 (May 7, 2014).

Review, 79 FR 21897 (April 18, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People’s Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia*, 71 FR 56949 (September 28, 2006) (*CLPP Order*).

³ See *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 21898.

⁴ *Id.*

² See *Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

¹ See *Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances*