

copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Paul Scheihing, EE-5A/ Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, by fax at 202-586-9234, or by email at [paul.scheihing@ee.doe.gov](mailto:paul.scheihing@ee.doe.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This information collection request contains:

(1) *OMB No.:* New.

(2) *Information Collection Request Title:* Department of Energy Superior Energy Performance™ Certification Program Information Collection Request.

(3) *Type of Request:* New.

(4) *Purpose:* This Information Collection Request applies to the Department of Energy (DOE) voluntary certification program for industrial facilities: Superior Energy Performance™ (SEP). The information being collected is needed so as to include participants in the DOE's SEP. SEP is an energy efficiency certification and recognition program for industrial facilities demonstrating energy management excellence and sustained energy savings. SEP builds on the ISO 50001 energy management system standard and provides a rigorous, internationally-recognized business process for companies to continually improve their energy performance. The SEP third-party verification of energy performance improvement is unique in the marketplace, and assists to differentiate certified companies from their competitors. This request for information consists of a voluntary data collection process for SEP participation: To enroll industrial facilities, manage and track certification cycles, and relay the costs and benefits of SEP certification to industry.

There are four types of information to be collected from primary participants: (1) Background data, including contact information and basic information about the facility's experience with energy management—collected in the SEP Enrollment Form; (2) Basic facility information about its energy use, energy consumption, and energy performance indicators—collected in the SEP Application Form; (3) Information on energy performance improvement in SEP-certified facilities—collected in the SEP Energy Performance Improvement Report; (4) Information on the costs and benefits of participating in SEP (optional; not required for SEP certification)—collected in the SEP Voluntary Costs/Benefits Form.

Background data will primarily be used to track basic information about SEP participants and identify opportunities to provide participants

with technical assistance. Basic information about a facility's energy use, energy consumption, and energy performance indicators will be used to administer the SEP program and determine readiness for SEP certification audit. Information on energy performance improvement will be used by DOE to manage and track certification cycles, and to track the results of SEP participation. Optional information on costs and benefits of SEP participation will be used to conduct and refine analysis on the costs and benefits of SEP participation, as called for in Executive Order 13624: Accelerating Investment in Industrial Energy Efficiency. Responses to the DOE's Information Collection Request will be voluntary.

(5) *Annual Estimated Number of Respondents:* 575.

(6) *Annual Estimated Number of Total Responses:* 475.

(7) *Annual Estimated Number of Burden Hours:* 650.

(8) *Annual Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden:* \$31,295.

**Statutory Authority:** Accelerating Investment in Industrial Energy Efficiency. Executive Order 13624, 77 FR 54779 (Aug. 30, 2012); 42 U.S.C. 16191.

**Paul Scheihing,**

*Technology Manager, Advanced Manufacturing Office.*

[FR Doc. 2014-15065 Filed 6-26-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RD14-4-000]

#### Proposed Agency Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice and Request for Comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) invites public comment in Docket No. RD14-4-000 on a proposed collection of information that the Commission is developing for submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate

of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before August 26, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments, identified by docket number, may be filed in the following ways:

- **Electronic Filing through <http://www.ferc.gov>.** Documents created electronically using word processing software should be filed in native applications or print-to-PDF format and not in a scanned format.

- **Mail/Hand Delivery:** Those unable to file electronically may mail or hand-deliver an original of their comments to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Brown may be reached by email at [DataClearance@FERC.gov](mailto:DataClearance@FERC.gov), telephone at (202) 502-8663, and fax at (202) 273-0873.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed information collection in Docket No. RD14-4-000 relates to a proposed revision to the Interchange Scheduling and Coordination (INT) group of Reliability Standards, developed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), and submitted to the Commission for approval. The proposed revision modifies the Interchange Scheduling and Coordination Reliability Standards in response to Commission directives in Order No. 693,<sup>1</sup> as well as some other clarifying revisions relating to the ten revised definitions and four new definitions to be added to the NERC Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards (NERC Glossary). The information collection requirements contained in the Interchange Scheduling and Coordination group of Reliability Standards are contained in FERC-725A (OMB Control Number 1902-0244).

On March 16, 2007, the Commission issued Order No. 693, approving 83 of the 107 Reliability Standards and

<sup>1</sup> *Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System*, Order No. 693, 72 FR 16416 (Apr. 4, 2007), FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,242, at PP 819, 821, 843, 848, 872, 875 & 887, *order on reh'g*, Order No. 693-A, 120 FERC ¶ 61,053 (2007).

associated definitions filed by NERC, including the Interchange Scheduling and Coordination group of Reliability Standards INT-001, INT-003, INT-004, INT-005, INT-006, INT-007, INT-008, INT-009, and INT-010.<sup>2</sup> In Order No. 693, the Commission directed NERC to make changes through the Reliability Standards development process to address certain directives. Specifically, the Commission directed NERC to: (1) Develop a modification to INT-001-2 that includes a requirement that interchange information must be submitted for all point-to-point transfers entirely within a balancing authority area, including all grandfathered and “non-Order No. 888” transfers; and (2) to develop a modification to INT-006-1 through the Reliability Standards development process to make the standard applicable to reliability coordinators and transmission operators, and require reliability coordinators and transmission operators to review energy interchange transactions from the wide-area and local area reliability viewpoints respectively and, where their review indicates a potential detrimental reliability impact, communicate to the sink balancing authorities necessary transaction modifications.

In its February 27, 2014 petition, NERC seeks Commission approval of proposed revisions to the currently effective Reliability Standards, as well as one new Reliability Standard to address the Commission’s directives. NERC asserts that, collectively, the five proposed Reliability Standards, consisting of fourteen requirements, consolidate the body of Interchange Scheduling and Coordination Reliability

Standards. In its petition, NERC also seeks approval for the retirement of the currently effective Reliability Standards.<sup>3</sup> NERC also seeks approval of ten revised definitions and four new definitions to be added to the NERC Glossary. NERC proposes to add the term “Interchange” to the existing definition for “Operational Planning Analysis.”<sup>4</sup> NERC States that “by explicitly including ‘Interchange’ in the definition of ‘Operational Planning Analysis,’ the Reliability Coordinator must consider Interchange when performing the analysis required in Reliability Standard IRO-008-1.”<sup>5</sup> Therefore, the estimates for this information collection are based on the proposed modifications.

The Commission estimates a modest increase in information collection and reporting that would result from implementing NERC’s proposed revision to the NERC Glossary definition of “Operational Planning Analysis” and new proposed Reliability Standard INT-011-1. The estimate reflects NERC’s proposal to include a requirement that interchange information must be submitted for all point-to-point transfers entirely within a balancing authority area, including all grandfathered and “non-Order No. 888” transfers; and NERC’s alternative proposal to address the Commission’s concern regarding INT-006-1, as described above.

NERC explains that “by explicitly including ‘Interchange’ in the definition of ‘Operational Planning Analysis,’ the Reliability Coordinator must consider Interchange when performing the analysis required in Reliability Standard IRO-008-1.”<sup>6</sup> These administrative burdens fall into three categories of

“Submit Interchange Information,” “Communicate Transaction Modification” and “Submit a Request for Interchange” as described in NERC’s petition.<sup>7</sup> NERC’s technical justification has shown that when the results of reliability coordinator studies indicate the need for action, the reliability coordinator is required to share the results per Requirement R3 of Reliability Standard IRO-008-1. NERC explains that because energy transfers within a balancing authority area that use point-to-point transmission service can impact transmission congestion, proposed Reliability Standard INT-011-1 ensures that these transfers are communicated and accounted for in congestion management procedures. If a transfer within a balancing authority area is submitted as a request for interchange or otherwise accounted for in congestion management procedures, it can be evaluated and processed comparable to a request for interchange that crosses balancing authority areas.<sup>8</sup>

Accordingly, we estimate an increase of one hour needed for “Submit Interchange Information” for balancing authorities, “Communicate Transaction Modification” for the reliability coordinators, and “Submit a Request for Interchange” for the load-serving entities, respectively. With respect to the proposed revisions and retirement of the currently-effective Reliability Standards, the Commission estimates no material change in information collection because the consolidation of the standards does not impact the paperwork burden.

**Burden Statement:** Public reporting burden for this proposed collection is estimated as:

#### FERC-725A

	Number and type of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response <sup>9</sup>	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent (\$)
	(1)	(2)	(1)*(2) = (3)	(4)	(3)*(4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Submit Interchange Information .....	114, Balancing authority (BA) .....	52	5,928	1, \$60	5,928, \$355,680	\$3,120
Communicate Transaction Modification.	21, Reliability coordinator (RC) .....	12	252	1, \$60	252, \$15,120	720
Submit Request for Interchange (INT-011-1).	502, Load-serving entity (LSE) .....	12	6,024	1, \$60	6,024, \$361,440	720
Evidence Retention .....	637, BA/RC/LSE .....	1	637	1, \$32	637, \$20,384	32
Total .....	.....	.....	12,841	.....	12,841, \$752,624	.....

<sup>2</sup> Order No. 693, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,242 at PP 814-887.

<sup>3</sup> NERC Petition at 4.

<sup>4</sup> “Interchange” is defined in the NERC Glossary as “Energy transfers that cross Balancing Authority Boundaries.

<sup>5</sup> NERC Petition at 32.

<sup>6</sup> NERC Petition at 32.

<sup>7</sup> NERC Petition, Exhibit E at 1-2.

<sup>8</sup> NERC Petition at 22.

<sup>9</sup> The estimates for cost per response are derived using the following formula: Average Burden Hours per Response \* XX per Hour = Average Cost per

Continued

Dated: June 18, 2014.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014–15091 Filed 6–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP14–498–000]

#### Notice of Application; Rockies Express Pipeline LLC

Take notice that on June 10, 2014, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC (Rockies Express), 370 Van Gordon Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80228–1519, filed an application under section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to modify, construct and operate certain facilities that will enable the bi-directional flow of natural on the Rockies Express mainline from Monroe County, Ohio to the existing Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (NGPL) delivery interconnect located in Moultrie County, Illinois, all as more fully set forth in the application. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. There is an “eSubscription” link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

Any questions regarding this project should be directed to Robert F. Harrington, Vice President, Regulatory, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC, 370 Van Gordon Street, Lakewood, CO 80228–1519 at (303) 763–3258 (phone) or [robert.harrington@tallgrassenergyllp.com](mailto:robert.harrington@tallgrassenergyllp.com).

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice, the Commission staff will either: Complete

Response. The estimates for cost per hour (rounded to the nearest dollar) are derived as follows:

- \$60/hour, the average salary plus benefits per engineer (from Bureau of Labor Statistics at [http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3\\_221000.htm](http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3_221000.htm)).
- \$82/hour, the salary plus benefits per manager (from Bureau of Labor Statistics at [http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3\\_221000.htm](http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3_221000.htm)).
- \$32/hour, the salary plus benefits per information and record clerks (from Bureau of Labor Statistics at [http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3\\_221000.htm](http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3_221000.htm)).

its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 7 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the

Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the “eFiling” link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 7 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

*Comment Date:* 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on July 14, 2014.

Dated: June 23, 2014.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014–15076 Filed 6–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1388–075]

#### Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Application Accepted for Filing, Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- Type of Application:* Application for Temporary Variance of Minimum Pool Elevation Requirement.
- Project No.:* 1388–075.
- Date Filed:* June 16, 2014.
- Applicant:* Southern California Edison Company (licensee).
- Name of Project:* Lee Vining.
- Location:* Lee Vining Creek in Mono County, CA.
- Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).
- Applicant Contact:* Mr. Wayne Allen, Southern California Edison Company, 1515 Walnut Grove Avenue,