

parties would be necessary to effectuate the Model City relationships and understandings between, for example, an industry consortium and the participating city? Beyond the FCC's formal role in administering the experimental licensing process, NTIA and OET seek comment on how it could further expedite or streamline the process for Model City participants and more effectively ensure compliance with the rules and any license conditions.

In addition to coordinating experimental license applications to use bands implicating federal spectrum assignments, to what extent should NTIA be involved in particular initiatives to facilitate federal agency participation in a Model City program? NTIA and OET seek input from commenting parties on whether the Model City program should be managed by the federal government or whether the FCC and NTIA could, on top of their existing licensing and coordination roles, help initiate and facilitate a dialogue between the key stakeholders who will directly develop, participate in, and benefit from a successful Model City program within the scope of existing rules or other requirements.

The new CAC established by NTIA and NIST could be a potential vehicle to advance the Model City concept. As noted above, a core function of the CAC is to promote interdisciplinary research, development, and testing in radio frequency technology and spectrum sharing. NTIA and OET seek comment on the potential role of the CAC in managing the activities within one or more Model Cities, such as working directly with NTIA and the FCC to coordinate the interests of incumbent spectrum users to avoid harmful interference, while ensuring that innovators have access to adequate spectrum resources and other facilities in cooperation with city officials. While we would expect private sector stakeholders to drive the design and development of innovative wireless technologies and business models that could be tested in a Model City, NTIA and OET seek comment on how the CAC could work as an impartial facilitator with the federal and non-federal stakeholders and local governments to develop feasible test plans, minimize regulatory issues and constraints, monitor experimental deployments, and evaluate and report the test results.

The FCC's experimental licensing program makes spectrum available to any non-federal party interested in experimenting with new radio technologies, equipment designs, radio

wave propagation characteristics, and innovative service concepts (including market trials), especially in new innovation zones. NTIA and OET seek comment on how this program can be effectively used as a platform for the establishment of the Model City. For example, how can the FCC and NTIA facilitate stakeholder deployment of innovation zones in one or more Model Cities?

NTIA and OET invite commenters to suggest opportunities for collaboration among wireless service providers, hardware vendors, academia, federal agencies, and other researchers and developers. How would such collaboration in a Model City better facilitate more rapid experimentation of advanced spectrum sharing techniques between new commercial systems and incumbent or new federal systems? How would such collaborative use within Model City innovation zones enhance stakeholders' ability to try various sharing concepts? For example, what kind of flexibility would stakeholders need to make adjustments as needed when developing sharing protocols under real-world scenarios while ensuring protection of other services and operations?

The host community for a Model City could play a crucial and collaborative role by expediting access to rights-of-way and other facilities (e.g., fiber, conduits, poles, towers, buildings, rooftops, park spaces, tunnels, etc.) for short- and long-term wireless infrastructure and monitoring deployments. The *PCAST Report* suggests that regional clusters of local industry associations, government, and academia could develop proposals to host the Model City in their particular regions to leverage their own innovation investments, local suppliers, terrain characteristics, nearby federal installations, and other unique features and benefits.¹¹ NTIA and OET seek comment on the most appropriate approach for soliciting or identifying eligible cities interested in hosting Model City deployments. What particular factors, accommodations, commitments, or benefits would be important? For example, how should local permitting processes, accessibility to city lands and facilities, or incentives be considered? What features of a Model City would be most attractive for candidate cities to participate in the program?

Finally, NTIA and OET seek input on the potential funding mechanisms and other processes for establishing and maintaining one or more Model City

deployments in a manner that facilitates potentially resource-intensive collaborative efforts among a wide range of stakeholders while minimizing expenditure of taxpayer (both federal and local) dollars. How should funding be addressed in Model City proposals and what minimal commitments should be required for such proposals to go forward? How would existing mechanisms, such as federal Cooperative Research and Development Agreements, be used to expand opportunities for private stakeholder funding, collaboration, and information protection, and what other alternative methods could be used to formalize the parties' roles and responsibilities, including funding? What incentives might be provided, and by whom, to increase participation in a Model City program? What other factors should be considered in a process to solicit interest in and successfully initiate Model City proposals?

Dated: July 10, 2014.

Milton Brown,

Deputy Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

Dated: July 10, 2014.

Julius P. Knapp,

Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-16529 Filed 7-14-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD-2014-OS-0108]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice to amend a System of Records.

SUMMARY: The Defense Logistics Agency is amending a system of records notice S900.50, entitled "Labor Hours, Project and Workload Records" in its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. The purpose of this system is to track contractor employees' and military members' workload/project activity for analysis and reporting purposes, time and attendance, and labor distribution data against projects for management and planning purposes; to maintain management records associated with the operations of the contract; to evaluate and monitor the contractor performance and other matters concerning the contract.

¹¹ See *PCAST Report* at 71.

DATES: Comments will be accepted on or before August 14, 2014. This proposed action will be effective on the date following the end of the comment period unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

* *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

* *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, 2nd Floor, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. LaDonne White, DLA FOIA/Privacy Act Office, Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DGA, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 1644, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6221, or by phone at (703)767-5045.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Defense Logistics Agency systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** or at <http://dpclo.defense.gov/>.

The proposed changes to the record system being amended are set forth in this notice. The proposed amendment is not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of a new or altered system report.

Dated: July 10, 2014.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

S900.50

SYSTEM NAME:

Labor Hours, Project and Workload Records (March 4, 2011, 76 FR 12076).

CHANGES:

* * * * *

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Delete "Suite 6226" and replace with "Suite 1318."

* * * * *

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Delete from entry "and office telephone number."

* * * * *

PURPOSE(S):

Delete entry and replace with "For the purpose of tracking contractor employees' and military members' workload/project activity for analysis and reporting purposes, time and attendance, and labor distribution data against projects for management and planning purposes; to maintain management records associated with the operations of the contract; to evaluate and monitor the contractor performance and other matters concerning the contract."

* * * * *

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Replace "Project Manager" with "EAGLE Project Manager."

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the DLA FOIA/Privacy Act Office, Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DGA, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 1644, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6221.

Inquiry should contain the subject individual's full name, User ID or DLA email address, return mailing address, and organizational location of the individual."

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the DLA FOIA/Privacy Act Office, Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DGA, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 1644, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6221.

Inquiry should contain the subject individual's full name, User ID or DLA email address, return mailing address, and organizational location of the individual."

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Delete entry and replace with "The DLA rules for accessing records, for contesting contents, and appealing initial agency determinations are contained in 32 CFR part 323, or may

be obtained from the DLA FOIA/Privacy Act Office, Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DGA, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 1644, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6221."

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2014-16516 Filed 7-14-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD-2014-OS-0109]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Defense Health Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice to alter a System of Records.

SUMMARY: The Defense Health Agency proposes to alter an existing system of records, EDHA 18, entitled "Research Regulatory Oversight Records" in its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This system provides information to track research protocols to ensure the protection of human and animal subjects in the conduct of DoD-supported research and to ensure that individuals engaged in the performance of DoD-supported research are properly trained and qualified.

DATES: Comments will be accepted on or before August 14, 2014. This proposed action will be effective on the day following the end of the comment period unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

* *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

* *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, 2nd Floor, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Linda S. Thomas, Chief, Defense Health Agency Privacy and Civil Liberties