

guide should be sent to Jeffrey Smutny at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** (5 U.S.C. 553) because handlers are already aware of the rule, which was recommended at a public meeting. Further, the new crop year begins on August 1, and the committee needs time to institute the changes. In addition, a 60-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 987

Dates, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 987 is amended as follows:

#### **PART 987—DATES PRODUCED OR PACKED IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 987 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

#### **§ 987.172 [Amended]**

■ 2. Section 987.172 is amended by revising the section heading, redesignating the existing paragraph as paragraph (a), and adding paragraphs (b) and (c) to read as follows:

#### **§ 987.172 Adjustment of assessment obligation, and late payment and interest charges.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Pursuant to § 987.72, the committee shall impose an interest charge on any handler whose assessment payment has not been received in the committee's office, or the envelope containing the payment legibly postmarked by the U.S. Postal Service, within 60 days of the invoice date shown on the handler's statement. The interest charge shall be a rate of one and one half percent per month, and shall be applied to the unpaid assessment balance for the number of days all or any part of the unpaid balance is delinquent beyond the 60-day payment period.

(c) In addition to the interest charge specified in paragraph (b) of this section, the committee shall impose a late payment charge on any handler whose payment has not been received in the committee's office, or the envelope containing the payment legibly postmarked by the U.S. Postal Service, within 60 days of the invoice date. The late payment charge shall be 10 percent of the unpaid balance.

Dated: July 10, 2014.

**Rex A. Barnes,**

*Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014–16637 Filed 7–15–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### **10 CFR Part 430**

**[Docket No. EERE–2012–BT–TP–0016]**

**RIN 1904–AC76**

#### **Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Test Procedures for Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendments.

**SUMMARY:** On April 21, 2014, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) published a final rule in the **Federal Register** that amended the test procedure for refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers (79 FR 22320). Due to drafting errors, that document incorrectly listed the name of a third-party test procedure that was incorporated by reference. This final rule corrects those errors.

**DATES:** This correction is effective July 16, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Lucas Adin, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Building Technologies Office, EE–5B, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0121. Telephone: (202) 287–1317. Email: [Lucas.Adin@ee.doe.gov](mailto:Lucas.Adin@ee.doe.gov).

Mr. Michael Kido, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the General Counsel, GC–71, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0121. Telephone: (202) 586–8145. Email: [Michael.Kido@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Michael.Kido@hq.doe.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

On April 21, 2014, DOE's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable

Energy published a test procedure final rule in the **Federal Register** titled, “Test Procedures for Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers” (“April 2014 final rule”). 79 FR 22320. Since the publication of that final rule, it has come to DOE's attention that, due to a technical oversight, certain portions of the regulatory text adopted in the April 2014 final rule for appendix A to subpart B of 10 CFR part 430 (Appendix A) contained erroneous references to AS/NZS 4474.1:2007, Performance of Household Electrical Appliances—Refrigerating Appliances; Part 1: Energy Consumption and Performance, Second edition, published August 15, 2007, which is incorporated by reference at § 430.3. Specifically, several references to this standard in Appendix A are incorrectly listed as “AZ/NZS 44474.1:2007.” The text of § 430.3 correctly references this incorporated standard. DOE has also become aware that the text adopted in the April 2014 final rule for appendix B to subpart B of 10 CFR part 430 (Appendix B) contains an error in a formula in section 5.2.1.3, in that the published version is missing the “K” adjustment factor present in the other formulas in section 5.2 of the test procedure.

##### **II. Need for Correction**

As published, the adopted test procedure text may result in confusion due to the incorrect reference in Appendix A and the incorrect formula in Appendix B. Because this final rule would simply correct errors in the text without making substantive changes to the test procedures, the changes addressed in this document are technical in nature. Accordingly, DOE finds that there is good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) to not issue a separate notice to solicit public comment on the changes contained in this document. Issuing a separate notice to solicit public comment would be impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest.

##### **III. Procedural Requirements**

DOE has concluded that the determinations made pursuant to the various procedural requirements applicable to the April 21, 2014 test procedure final rule remain unchanged for this final rule technical correction. These determinations are set forth in the April 21, 2014 final rule. 79 FR at 22345–22348.

##### **Correction to Preamble**

In FR Doc. 2014–08644, published on April 21, 2014 (79 FR 22320), on page 22320, in the second column, in the Supplementary Information section,

amend the first paragraph by removing the numeric phrase “44474.1:2007” and adding in its place “4474.1:2007”.

#### List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 430

Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Energy conservation, Household appliances, Imports, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Small businesses.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, DOE corrects 10 CFR part 430 as set forth below:

#### PART 430—ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM FOR CONSUMER PRODUCTS

- 1. The authority citation for part 430 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 6291–6309; 28 U.S.C. 2461 note.

##### Appendix A to Subpart B of Part 430 [Corrected]

- 2. Appendix A to subpart B of part 430 is amended by removing the numeric phrase “44474.1:2007” and adding in its place “4474.1:2007” in:
  - a. Section 1.5 in four places;
  - b. Section 3.3 in two places; and
  - c. Section 6.2.2.3 in two places.

##### Appendix B to Subpart B of Part 430 [Corrected]

- 3. Appendix B to subpart B of part 430 is amended in section 5.2.1.3 by:
  - a. Removing the formula “ $ET = (1440 \times EP1/T1) + (EP2 - (EP1 \times T2/T1)) \times (12/CT)$ ” and adding in its place “ $ET = (1440 \times K \times EP1/T1) + (EP2 - (EP1 \times T2/T1)) \times K \times (12/CT)$ ”; and
  - b. Removing the phrase “1440 is defined in 5.2.1.1 and EP1, EP2, T1, T2, and 12 are defined in 5.2.1.2;” and adding in its place “ET, 1440, and K are defined in section 5.2.1.1 and EP1, EP2, T1, T2, and 12 are defined in section 5.2.1.2;”.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 10, 2014.

**Kathleen B. Hogan,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.*

[FR Doc. 2014–16720 Filed 7–15–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA–2014–0240; Notice No. 25–558–SC]

#### Special Conditions: Embraer S.A.; Model EMB–550 Airplane; Stowage Compartment Fire Protection

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for the Embraer S.A. Model EMB–550 airplane. This airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology and design envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. This design feature is the installation of a stowage compartment in the lavatory. The isolation of this stowage compartment from the main cabin could hinder the ability of the flight crew to detect a fire. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 15, 2014.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert C. Jones, FAA, Propulsion and Mechanical Systems Branch, ANM–112, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1234; facsimile (425) 227–1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

##### Background

On May 14, 2009, Embraer S.A. applied for a type certificate for its new Model EMB–550 airplane. The Model EMB–550 airplane is the first of a new

family of jet airplanes designed for corporate flight, fractional, charter, and private owner operations. The airplane has a configuration with low wing and T-tail empennage. The primary structure is metal with composite empennage and control surfaces. The Model EMB–550 airplane is designed for 8 passengers, with a maximum of 12 passengers. It is equipped with two Honeywell AS907–3–1E medium bypass ratio turbofan engines mounted on aft fuselage pylons. Each engine produces approximately 6,540 pounds of thrust for normal takeoff.

##### Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.17, Embraer S.A. must show that the Model EMB–550 meets the applicable provisions of part 25, as amended by Amendments 25–1 through 25–127.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (i.e., 14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Model EMB–550 because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same or similar novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Model EMB–550 must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36, and the FAA must issue a finding of regulatory adequacy under § 611 of Public Law 92–574, the “Noise Control Act of 1972.”

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type-certification basis under § 21.17(a)(2).

##### Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Model EMB–550 will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features: A stowage compartment, designed to store passenger belongings, located in the lavatory. The stowage compartment may be isolated from the main passenger cabin by two doors (lavatory and stowage compartment doors), which could hinder the ability to detect smoke or fire. The installation of a stowage compartment in the lavatory is a novel and unusual design feature for which the applicable airworthiness