COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a briefing meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. (CDT) on Wednesday, August 27, 2014, at the United Tribes Technical College-Rapid City Campus, Freedom Hall, 321 Kansas City Street, Rapid City, SD 57701. The purpose of the briefing is to hear from government officials, law enforcement experts, and advocates regarding civil rights issues in South Dakota, specifically to the administration of criminal justice in Rapid City. The briefing will include testimony from invited presenters as well as members of the public. The South Dakota Advisory Committee will hear about experiences, initiatives, programs and concerns with criminal justice in Rapid City.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by Monday, September 29, 2014. Comments may be mailed to the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 999 18th Street, Suite 1380 South, Denver, CO 80202, faxed to (303) 866–1050, or emailed to Evelyn Bohor at *ebohor@usccr.gov*. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at 303–866–1040.

Persons needing accessibility services should contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at least 10 working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at the above phone number, email or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated August 5, 2014.

David Mussatt.

 $\label{lem:chief_Regional Programs Coordination Unit.} \\ [FR Doc. 2014–18843 Filed 8–8–14; 8:45 am]$

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and Opportunity for Public Comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE [07/25/2014 through 08/05/2014]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
GVM, Inc. Venture Company (dba Venture Snowboards). PVD Products, Inc.	 224 East King Street, East Berlin, PA 17316. 5 Mears Avenue, Silverton, CO 81433. 35 Upton Drive, Suite 200, Wil- mington, MA 01887. 	7/31/2014 8/1/2014 8/1/2014	snowboards.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Dated: August 5, 2014.

Michael DeVillo,

Eligibility Examiner.

[FR Doc. 2014–18924 Filed 8–8–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-580-837]

Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) completed the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on cut-

to-length carbon-quality steel plate (CTL Plate) from the Republic of Korea for the January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, period of review (POR) in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). This review covers multiple exporters/ producers, one of which is being individually examined as a mandatory respondent. We determine that Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM) received a de minimis net subsidy rate during the POR. We have used DSM's total net subsidy rate as the rate for the remaining five companies subject to review. Additionally, the Department has rescinded the review of five companies that timely certified that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Our analysis of comments received is contained in the Decision Memorandum accompanying this Federal Register notice. The final net subsidy rates are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section. These subsidy rates are unchanged from the Preliminary Results.²

DATES: Effective Date: August 11, 2014. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Conniff at 202–482–1009, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 10, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *CTL Plate Order*.³ On February 1, 2013, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this CVD order.⁴ On March 25,

2014, the Department published its preliminary results of review of the CVD order on CTL Plate from the Republic of Korea for the POR.⁵

The Department received one case brief from Samsung C&T Corp (Samsung) regarding its decision not to rescind Samsung from the administrative review.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain hot-rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal mill plates (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a nominal or actual thickness of not less than 4 mm, which are cut-tolength (not in coils) and without patterns in relief), of iron or non-alloyquality steel; and (2) flat-rolled products, hot-rolled, of a nominal or actual thickness of 4.75 mm or more and of a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness, and which are cut-to-length (not in coils).

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable in the HTSUS under subheadings: 7208.40.3030, 7208.40.3060, 7208.51.0030, 7208.51.0045, 7208.51.0060, 7208.52.0000, 7208.53.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.14.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.40.5000, 7225.50.6000, 7225.99.0090, 7226.91.50000, 7226.91.50000, 7226.91.8000, 7226.99.0000.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise covered by the order is dispositive.⁶

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable during the POR, we determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that confers a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific. *See* sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity. For a complete description of the

methodology, see the Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in Samsung's case brief, the only case brief submitted in this proceeding, are addressed in the Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues which Samsung raised, and to which we responded in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/ index.html. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Rescission of Administrative Review

Between April 10 and May 23, 2013, we received timely-filed no shipment certifications from Daewoo International Corp. (Daewoo), Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu), GS Global Corp. (GS Global), Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung), and Hyundai Steel Co. (Hyundai). Because these companies timely filed no shipment certifications and there is no evidence on the record to indicate that these companies had sales of subject merchandise during the POR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department is rescinding the review with respect to Daewoo, Dongbu, GS Global, Hyosung, and Hyundai.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we calculated an individual subsidy rate for the mandatory respondent, DSM. Because DSM is the sole, mandatory respondent, we assigned to those companies not selected for individual review, the rate calculated for DSM. As a result of this review, we determine the listed net subsidy rates for 2012:

Company	2012 Ad valorem rate
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.	0.11% de minimis.
Edgen Murray Corporation.	de minimis.
Kyoungil Col., Ltd Samsung C&T Corporation.	de minimis. de minimis.

¹ See "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of 2012 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Cut-to-length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea," from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance (Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently and hereby adopted by this notice.

² See Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012, 79 FR 16283 (March 25, 2014) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, dated March 18, 2014 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ See Notice of Amended Final Determination: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From India and the Republic of Korea; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, and the Republic of Korea, 65 FR 6587 (February 10, 2000) (CTL Plate Order).

⁴ See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 78 FR 7397 (February 1, 2013).

⁵ See Preliminary Results, 79 FR 16283 and Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁶ See Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the scope of the order.

Company	2012 Ad valorem rate
Samwoo EMC Co., Ltd TCC Steel Corp	de minimis. de minimis.

Assessment Rates/Cash Deposits

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), the Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by respondents entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, without regard to CVDs because a de minimis subsidy rate was calculated for each company as the ad valorem assessment rate listed above. We will also instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation but to collect no cash deposits of estimated CVDs on shipments of the subject merchandise by the companies listed above entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of

For the companies for which this review is rescinded, countervailing duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.2129(c)(1)(i).

For all non-reviewed companies, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to companies covered by this order, but not examined in this review, are those established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding for each company. The cash deposit rates for all companies not covered by this review are not changed by the results of this review, and remain in effect until further notice.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 5, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

I. Summary

II. Period of Review

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Rescission of Administrative Review With Respect to Certain Companies

V. Non-Selected Rate

VI. Attribution of Subsidies

VII. Analysis of Programs

VIII. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Whether It Is Appropriate to Assign Samsung a Non-Selected Respondent Rate

IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-18950 Filed 8-8-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-602]

Brass Sheet and Strip From France: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013– 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from France. The period of review is March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.

DATES: Effective Date: August 11, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Bezirganian, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from France for the period of review (POR) of March 1,

2013, through February 28, 2014.1 The Department received a timely request from GBC Metals, LLC, of Global Brass and Copper, Inc., dba Olin Brass, Heyco Metals, Inc., Aurubis Buffalo, Inc., PMX Industries, Inc., and Revere Copper Products, Inc. (the Petitioners) for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from France with respect to two companies, Griset SA and KME France.² On April 30, 2014, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from France with respect to Griset SA and KME France.3

The Department stated in its initiation of this review that it intended to rely on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data to select respondents.4 However, our review of the CBP database, with respect to the companies for which this review was requested, showed no entries of subject merchandise during the POR. On May 20, 2014, we released the results of our CBP data query, and invited interested parties to comment on the results of that query.⁵ We received no comments on the results of that query. On July 14, 2014, the Petitioners submitted a letter withdrawing their request for review of the aforementioned companies.⁶

Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary will rescind an administrative review under this section, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. As the only parties that requested a review (the Petitioners) withdrew the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet

¹ See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 79 FR 11757 (March 3, 2014).

² See letter from the Petitioners' to the Secretary of Commerce entitled "Brass Sheet and Strip from France," dated March 31, 2014.

³ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 79 FR 24398 (April 30, 2014).

⁴ Id.

⁵ See Memorandum from Mark Flessner to the File entitled, "Brass Sheet and Strip from France: Placement on the Record of Results of Inquiry to U.S. Customs and Border Protection for 2013–2014 Period of Review," dated May 20, 2014.

⁶ See letter from the Petitioners to the Secretary of Commerce entitled, "Brass Sheet and Strip from France," dated July 14, 2014.