products. The 2009 draft guidance provided guidance on the *Clinical Pharmacology* section of the prescription drug labeling under the PLR

II. Revised Draft Guidance

FDA is announcing the availability of a draft guidance entitled "Clinical Pharmacology Labeling for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products—Considerations, Content, and Format," which is a revision of the 2009 draft guidance. The revised draft guidance provides clarifications of recommendations in the 2009 draft guidance based on consideration of public comments on the 2009 draft guidance and the Agency's increased regulatory experience implementing the PLR. This draft guidance provides clarity on the information that should be included in section 12 Clinical Pharmacology and provides guidance on the inclusion of clinical recommendations based on clinical pharmacology findings in other sections of the labeling.

A. Clinical Pharmacology Section of Labeling

The draft guidance is intended to assist applicants in preparing the Clinical Pharmacology section of product labeling to meet the requirements of FDA regulations (21 CFR 201.57(c)(13)). The draft guidance is also intended to ensure consistency, as appropriate, in labeling of the Clinical Pharmacology section for all prescription drug products approved by FDA.

The draft guidance outlines the use of subsections, headings, and subheadings to provide organization to the *Clinical Pharmacology* section. The draft guidance also emphasizes the importance of providing variability measures related to pharmacokinetic measures and parameters, pharmacodynamic measures, and other clinical pharmacology study results.

This draft guidance provides a general framework and set of recommendations that should be adapted to specific drugs and their conditions of use. Not all of the information identified in this draft guidance for inclusion in the *Clinical Pharmacology* section of product labeling will be applicable for every drug. For the purposes of this notice, all references to drugs include both human drugs and biological products unless otherwise specified.

B. Cross-Referencing of Clinical Pharmacology Information

Detailed information on clinical pharmacology topics is included in the

Clinical Pharmacology section, while other sections of labeling contain summary information and clinical recommendations that may be related to clinical pharmacology information. Optimal pharmacotherapy is driven by an understanding of a drug product's clinical pharmacology as well as the clinical context in which the drug will be used. Important clinical pharmacology attributes to consider in therapeutic decisionmaking include, but are not limited to, drug mechanism of action, pharmacodynamic effects (e.g., on target, on pathway, and off target/ pathway), and pharmacokinetic properties in a variety of settings and specific populations. Clinical pharmacology information collected throughout a drug product's life can contribute to the product's labeling. Specifically, FDA considers what clinical pharmacology information can be directly translated to patient care management and provides specific recommendations that should be included in relevant sections of the labeling. Examples include strategies for dose selection, therapeutic individualization, and adverse reaction risk minimization. In these cases, supportive information (i.e., the clinical pharmacology basis for the specific recommendation) is expected to be concise to enable unambiguous application to patient care. Occasionally, depending on the complexity of the patient care recommendations, it can be appropriate to provide expanded versions of this supportive information in the labeling. The reason for including this information is to provide sufficient detail for the health care provider to determine the relevance of the information for a given patient or clinical scenario; this information is typically included in the *Clinical* Pharmacology section of product labeling and is the main focus of the guidance.

This draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Agency's current thinking on inclusion of clinical pharmacology information in section 12 Clinical Pharmacology of product labeling. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirement of the applicable statutes and regulations.

III. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This revised draft guidance refers to previously approved collections of information that are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). The collections of information in 21 CFR 201.56 and 201.57 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0572; the collections of information related to pharmacogenomic data have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0557.

IV. Comments

Interested persons may submit either electronic comments regarding this document to http://www.regulations.gov or written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES). It is only necessary to send one set of comments. Identify comments with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, and will be posted to the docket at http://www.regulations.gov.

V. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at either http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/Guidance ComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances, http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Guidance ComplianceRegulatoryInformation/default.htm, or http://www.regulations.gov.

Dated August 8, 2014.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2014–19264 Filed 8–13–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2011-D-0689]

De Novo Classification Process

(Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation); Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of the draft guidance entitled "De Novo Classification Process (Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation)." The purpose of this document is to provide FDA's proposals for guidance to FDA staff and industry on the process for the submission and review of petitions submitted under the **Evaluation of Automatic Class III** Designation section of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act), also known as the de novo classification process. FDA is issuing this draft guidance to provide proposed updated recommendations for efficient interaction with FDA, including what information to submit when seeking a path to market for a novel device via the de novo process. This draft guidance has been revised and is being reissued for comment because the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA), which became law on July 9, 2012, amended the FD&C Act to provide for the submission of de novos without a preceding premarket notification (510(k)) submission. This draft guidance is not final nor is it in effect at this time.

DATES: Although you can comment on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance, submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by October 14, 2014. Submit either electronic or written comments concerning proposed collection of information by October 14, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance document entitled "De Novo Classification Process (Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation)" to the Office of the Center Director, Guidance and Policy Development, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Rm. 5431, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, or to the Office of Communication, Outreach and Development, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Food and Drug Administration, Bldg. 71, Rm. 3128, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your request. See the SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** section for information on electronic access to the guidance.

Submit electronic comments on the draft guidance to http://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Identify

comments with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melissa Burns, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Rm. 1646, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, 301–796–5616, melissa.burns@fda.hhs.gov; or Stephen Ripley, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Rm. 7301, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, 240–402–7911.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A medical device that is of a new type that FDA has not vet classified, and therefore cannot be found to be substantially equivalent to a legally marketed predicate device, is ''automatically'' or ''statutorily'' classified into class III by operation of section 513(f)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360c(f)(1)) even if the risks it presents are relatively low. This is the scenario contemplated by Congress when it enacted section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360c(f)(2)) as part of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA). The process created by this provision is referred to in FDAMA as the Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation (e.g., the de novo process). Congress included this section to limit unnecessary expenditure of FDA and industry resources that could occur if lower risk devices were subject to premarket approval under section 515 of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360e).

Section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act was amended again by Congress under section 607 of FDASIA (Pub. L. 112-144) in 2012. Section 513(f)(2) provides two procedures by which a person may request FDA to classify a device under the criteria set forth in section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act. Under the first procedure, the person submits a premarket notification under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act for a device that has not previously been classified and, after receiving an order classifying the device into class III under section 513(f)(1), the person requests a classification under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. Under the second procedure, rather than first submitting a premarket notification under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act and then a request for classification under the first procedure, the person determines that there is no legally marketed device upon which to base a determination of substantial equivalence and requests a

classification under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act.

On October 3, 2011, FDA published a notice of availability of a draft guidance document on the de novo classification process (76 FR 61103). The comment period closed on December 2, 2011. After the passage of FDASIA in 2012 added a procedure by which a person may request FDA to classify a device under 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act, FDA decided it should revise the 2011 draft guidance to include recommendations regarding the second procedure. Accordingly, FDA is issuing this draft guidance to provide updated proposed recommendations designed to foster efficient interaction with FDA, including what information to submit, when seeking a path to market via the de novo process. This draft guidance describes a proposed mechanism to provide greater clarity about the process for de novo review and the type of data necessary to support de novo classification of an eligible device.

II. Significance of Guidance

This draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Agency's current thinking on the de novo classification process. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statute and regulations.

III. Electronic Access

Persons interested in obtaining a copy of the draft guidance may do so by downloading an electronic copy from the Internet. A search capability for all CDRH guidance documents is available at http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ DeviceRegulationandGuidance/ GuidanceDocuments/default.htm. Guidance documents are also available at http://www.regulations.gov or http:// www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ GuidanceComplianceRegulatory Information/default.htm. Persons unable to download an electronic copy of "De Novo Classification Process (Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation)" may send an email request to CDRH-Guidance@fda.hhs.govto receive an electronic copy of the document. Please use the document number 1769 to identify the guidance you are requesting.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3502), Federal Agencies

must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c) (2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506 (c) (2)(A)) requires Federal Agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, FDA is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, FDA invites comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FDA's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FDA's

estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: De Novo Classification Process (Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation)

This draft guidance describes how CDRH and CBER intend to implement section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. Section 513(f)(2) provides two procedures by which a person may request FDA to classify a device under the criteria set forth in section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act. Under the first procedure (section 513(f)(2)(i)), the person submits a premarket notification under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act for a device that has not previously been

classified and, after receiving an order classifying the device into class III under section 513(f)(1), the person requests a classification under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. Under the second procedure (section 513(f)(2)(ii) of the FD&C Act), rather than first submitting a premarket notification under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act and then a request for classification under the first procedure, the person determines that there is no legally marketed device upon which to base a determination of substantial equivalence and requests a classification under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. When final, this document will supersede "New Section 513(f)(2)-Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation, Guidance for Industry and CDRH Staff" dated February 19, 1998.

The proposed collections of information are necessary to satisfy the previously mentioned statutory requirements for implementing this voluntary submission program.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN 1

Submission of information for de novo classification program	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per respondent (in hours)	Total hours
CDRH (21 U.S.C. 513(f)(2)(i))	25 1 25 1	1 1 1 1	25 1 25 1	100 100 180 180	2,500 100 4,500 180
Total					7,280

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Respondents are medical device manufacturers seeking to market medical device products that have been classified into class III under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. Based on FDA's experience with the de novo classification program, FDA expects the program to continue to be utilized as a viable program in the future. It is expected that the number of de novos will increase over its current rate and reach a steady rate of approximately 50 submissions per year.

FDA estimates from past experience with the de novo petition program that the complete process involved with the program under section 513(f)(2)(i) of the FD&C Act takes approximately 100 hours. FDA estimates from past experience with the de novo petition program that the complete process involved with the program under section 513(f)(2)(i)(ii) FD&C Act takes approximately 180 hours. This average

is based upon estimates by FDA administrative and technical staff who are familiar with the requirements for submission of a de novo petition (and related materials), have consulted and advised manufacturers on these requirements, and have reviewed the documentation submitted. Therefore, the total reporting burden hours is estimated to be 7,280 hours.

This draft guidance also refers to currently approved information collections found in FDA regulations. The collections of information in 21 CFR part 807, subpart E, are approved under OMB control number 0910–0120.

V. Comments

Interested persons may submit either electronic comments regarding this document to http://www.regulations.gov or written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES). It is only necessary to send one set of

comments. Identify comments with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, and will be posted to the docket at http://www.regulations.gov.

Dated: August 8, 2014.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy. [FR Doc. 2014–19253 Filed 8–13–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P