timely requests from Monterey
Mushrooms Inc. and Sunny Dell Foods
Inc., (the petitioners), to conduct an
administrative review of the sales of
Agro Dutch Industries Limited (Agro
Dutch); Himalya International Ltd.
(Himalya); Hindustan Lever Ltd.
(formerly Ponds India, Ltd.)
(Hindustan); Transchem Ltd.
(Transchem); and Weikfield Foods Pvt.
Ltd (Weikfield).

On April 1, 2014, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on mushrooms from India with respect to the above-named companies.²

The Department stated in its initiation of this review that it intended to rely on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data to select respondents.³ However, our review of the CBP database, with respect to the companies for which reviews were requested, showed no entries of mushrooms originating in India, subject to antidumping duties and countervailing duties (AD/CVD), during the POR.⁴

On April 4, 2014, we sent a "No Shipments Inquiry" to CBP to confirm that there were no shipments or entries of mushrooms from India during the POR from the companies subject to review. We received no information from CBP to contradict the results of our data query.

On April 17, 2014, we received a no shipment claim for the POR from Weikfield.

On May 21, 2014, Sunny Dell Food Inc. timely withdrew its request for a review of all five companies named above. On June 3, 2014, Monterey Mushrooms timely withdrew its request for a review of Agro Dutch, Hindustan, Transchem and Weikfield.

On June 6, 2014, Monterey
Mushrooms placed on the record
shipment manifest data that suggested
that Himalya may have exported subject
merchandise to the United States during
the POR. Monterey Mushrooms
requested that the Department work
with CBP to determine whether
Himalya, in fact, exported subject
merchandise to the United States during
the POR and, if appropriate, to conduct
a review of Himalya's POR shipments

On June 30, 2014, we rescinded the review, in part, with respect to Agro

Dutch, Hindustan, Transchem, and Weikfield.⁵

On August 12, 2014, we issued a memorandum stating that because the CBP data query showed there are no suspended entries from Himalya subject to this review upon which to assess duties, we intend to rescind this review.⁶ Also on August 12, 2014, consistent with this memorandum, we referred to CBP the matter raised in Monterey Mushrooms' June 6, 2014, submission.⁷ We invited parties to comment on our intent to rescind this administrative review. We did not receive comments from any interested party.

Rescission of Review

It is the Department's practice to rescind an administrative review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended.8 At the end of the administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the assessment rate computed for the review period.⁹ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate. Because the CBP data query showed there are no suspended entries from the company subject to this review upon which to assess duties, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on mushrooms from India pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 27, 2014.

Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014–20985 Filed 9–2–14; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-570-944]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has conducted an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). On February 25, 2014, the Department published the Preliminary Results for this administrative review. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2012,

² See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 79 FR 18262 (April 1, 2014) (Initiation Notice).

³ See Initiation Notice.

⁴ See April 1, 2014, Memorandum to the File entitled "Release of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Data"

⁵ See Certain Preserved Mushrooms from India: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014, 79 FR 36720 (June 30, 2014).

⁶ See August 12, 2014, Memorandum to James Maeder, Director, Office II, AD/CVD Operations, entitled "Intent to Rescind Administrative Review."

⁷ See August 12, 2014, Letter from James Maeder, Director, Office II, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, to Cynthia Whittenburg, Executive Director, Office of International Trade, CBP.

⁸ See, e.g., Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 32498 (June 1, 2012); and Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 21634 (May 1, 2002), unchanged in Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 66110 (October 30, 2002).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). See also section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act.

¹ See Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission and Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012, 79 FR 10475 (Feb. 25,

through December 31, 2012. We find that Wuxi Seamless Oil Pipe Co., Ltd. (Wuxi) and Jiangsu Chengde Steel Tube Share Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Chengde) received countervailable subsidies during the POR.

DATES: Effective September 3, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher Siepmann, Sergio Balbontin, or Joseph Shuler AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7958, (202) 482–6478, or (202) 482–1293 respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order consists of OCTG. The merchandise subject to the order is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.31.10, 7304.29.31.20, 7304.29.31.30, 7304.29.31.40, 7304.29.31.50, 7304.29.31.60, 7304.29.31.80, 7304.29.41.10, 7304.29.41.20, 7304.29.41.30, 7304.29.41.40, 7304.29.41.50, 7304.29.41.60, 7304.29.41.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.61.15,

7304.29.61.30, 7304.29.61.45,

7304.29.61.60, 7304.29.61.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.29.10.30, 7306.29.10.90, 7306.29.20.00, 7306.29.31.00, 7306.29.41.00, 7306.29.60.10, 7306.29.81.10, and 7306.29.81.50.

The OCTG coupling stock covered by the order may also enter under the following HTSUS item numbers: 7304.39.00.24, 7304.39.00.28, 7304.39.00.32, 7304.39.00.36, 7304.39.00.40, 7304.39.00.44, 7304.39.00.48, 7304.39.00.52, 7304.39.00.56, 7304.39.00.62, 7304.39.00.68, 7304.39.00.72, 7304.39.00.76, 7304.39.00.80, 7304.59.60.00,, 7304.59.80.15, 7304.59.80.20, 7304.59.80.25, 7304.59.80.30, 7304.59.80.35, 7304.59.80.40, 7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50, 7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, 7304.59.80.70, and 7304.59.80.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the OCTG Order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China," dated August 25, 2014 (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in parties' briefs are addressed in the Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an appendix.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, including our decision to apply facts otherwise available with an adverse inference, see Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine a net subsidy rate of 59.29 percent for Wuxi and a net subsidy rate of 1.49 percent for Jiangsu Chengde for the period January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012.

Producer/Exporter	Net subsidy rate (percent)
Wuxi Seamless Oil Pipe Co., Ltd.; Bazhou Seamless Oil Pipes Co. Ltd.; Liaoyang Seamless Oil Pipes Co. Ltd.; Mengfeng Special Steel Co. Ltd.; Songyuan Seamless Oil Pipes Co. Ltd. Jiangsu Chengde Steel Tube Share Co., Ltd	59.29 1.49

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of these final results, the United States Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount listed above on shipments of subject merchandise by Wuxi or Jiangsu Chengde entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed companies, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to companies covered by the order, but not examined in this review, are those established in the most recently completed segment of

the proceeding for each company.² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely

² See OCTG Order.

written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: August 25, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Decision Memorandum:

- 1. Scope of the Order
- 2. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- 3. Subsidies Valuation Information
- 4. Analysis of Programs
- 5. Analysis of Comments

Comments

A. Application of the CVD Law

Comment 1: Application of CVDs to Imports from NME Countries

Comment 2: Simultaneous Application of CVD and AD NME Measures

B. New Subsidy Allegation Programs

Comment 3: Application of AFA for WSP's Failure to Respond to Questionnaires Regarding New Subsidy Allegation Programs and Uncreditworthiness

Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Have Investigated the Program "Preferential Financial Support to Bazhou Seamless"

 ${\it C. Provision of Electricity for LTAR}$

Comment 5: Whether the Provision of Electricity for LTAR is Countervailable

D. Provision of Steel Rounds for LTAR

Comment 6: Whether Majority State-Owned Producers of Steel Rounds are "Authorities"

Comment 7: Relevance of CCP Affiliations to Whether a Company is a GOC "Authority"

Comment 8: Sufficiency of Record Information for "Authorities" Analysis Comment 9: Whether the Provision of Steel

Rounds for LTAR is Specific Comment 10: Benchmark Issues

E. Policy Lending

Comment 11: Whether Loans to the Respondents Are Specific

Comment 12: Whether a Financial Contribution Exists and SOCBs are Authorities

Comment 13: Use of an In-Country or External Loan Benchmark

Comment 14: Whether the Department Should Have Accepted WSP's Untimely-Filed Loans

Comment 15: The Appropriate AFA Rate for WSP's Policy Loans

[FR Doc. 2014–20984 Filed 9–2–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-815]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From Ukraine: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the final determination of sales at less than fair value in the antidumping duty investigation of certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Ukraine covering the period of investigation (POI) July 1, 2012, through June 30, 2013. For information on the amended weighted-average dumping margins, see the "Amended Final Determination" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 3, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Lindgren, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3870.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 18, 2014, the Department published in the Federal Register its final determination in this investigation.¹ Prior to that, on July 14, 2014, the Department disclosed to interested parties its calculations for the final determination in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Subsequently, on July 21, 2014, United States Steel Corporation, a petitioner in the investigation, filed a timely allegation that the Department committed a ministerial error related to certain U.S. sales made by Interpipe Europe S.A.; Interpipe Ukraine LLC; PJSC Interpipe Niznedneprovsky Tube Rolling Plant (aka Interpipe NTRP); LLC Interpipe Niko Tube; and, North American Interpipe Inc. (collectively, Interpipe) during the POI.2 No additional

allegations or comments were filed by any other interested party.

As noted in the *Final Determination*, on July 10, 2014, the Department signed a suspension agreement with Interpipe.³ The Department continued and completed this investigation pursuant to the requests for continuation in accordance with section 734(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).⁴

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by the investigation is certain OCTG, which are hollow steel products of circular crosssection, including oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, regardless of end finish (e.g., whether or not plain end, threaded, or threaded and coupled) whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished (including limited service OCTG products) or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products), whether or not thread protectors are attached. The scope of the investigation also covers OCTG coupling stock. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, see the Appendix to this notice.

Ministerial Error

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.224(f) defines a "ministerial error" as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." 5 After analyzing the ministerial error allegation, we determine, in accordance with section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that a ministerial error was made in the Final Determination. For a detailed discussion of this ministerial error, see the Ministerial Error Memorandum.⁶

¹ See Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From Ukraine: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 79 FR 41969 (July 18, 2014) (Final Determination).

² See Letter to the Department from United States Steel Corporation regarding "Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine," dated July 21, 2014 (Ministerial Error Allegation).

³ See Final Determination, 79 FR at 41970; see also Suspension of Antidumping Investigation: Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From Ukraine, 79 FR 41959 (July 18, 2014) (Suspension Agreement).

⁴ Id.

⁵ See also section 735(e) of the Act.

⁶ Memorandum To Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, Through Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, From Edward C. Yang, Director, Office VII, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations: "Amended Final Affirmative Determination in the Less Than Fair Value Investigation of Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine: Ministerial Error Allegation,"