

granting of an exemption from 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) exist.

#### *Eligibility for Categorical Exclusion From Environmental Review*

With respect to the exemption's impact on the quality of the human environment, the NRC has determined that this specific exemption request is eligible for categorical exclusion as identified in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25). Under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), granting of an exemption from the requirements of any regulation of 10 CFR Chapter 1 [which includes 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii)] is an action that is a categorical exclusion, provided that:

- (i) There is no significant hazards consideration;
- (ii) There is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite;
- (iii) There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure;
- (iv) There is no significant construction impact;
- (v) There is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and
- (vi) The requirements from which an exemption is sought involve:
  - (A) Recordkeeping requirements;
  - (B) Reporting requirements;
  - (C) Inspection or surveillance requirements;
  - (D) Equipment servicing or maintenance scheduling requirements;
  - (E) Education, training, experience, qualification, requalification or other employment suitability requirements;
  - (F) Safeguard plans, and materials control and accounting inventory scheduling requirements;
  - (G) Scheduling requirements;
  - (H) Surety, insurance or indemnity requirements; or
  - (I) Other requirements of an administrative, managerial, or organizational nature.

The requirements from which this exemption is sought involve only (B) Reporting requirements; or (G) Scheduling requirements of those required by 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi).

The NRC staff's determination that each of the applicable criteria for this categorical exclusion is met as follows:

I. 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i) There is no significant hazards consideration.

*Staff Analysis:* The criteria for determining if an exemption involves a significant hazards consideration are found in 10 CFR 50.92. The proposed action involves only a schedule change regarding the submission of an update to the application for which the licensing review is currently underway.

Therefore, there are no significant hazard considerations because granting the proposed exemption would not:

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or
- (3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

II. 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(ii) There is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

*Staff Analysis:* The proposed action involves only a schedule change, which is administrative in nature, and does not involve any changes in the types or significant increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite.

III. 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(iii) There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure.

*Staff Analysis:* Since the proposed action involves only a schedule change, which is administrative in nature, it does not contribute to any significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure.

IV. 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(iv) There is no significant construction impact.

*Staff Analysis:* The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature. The application review is underway and no license will be issued prior to receipt of the aforementioned application's December 31, 2014, submittal of the revised FSAR; therefore, the proposed action does not involve any construction impact.

V. 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(v) There is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents.

*Staff Analysis:* The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature and does not impact the probability or consequences of accidents.

VI. 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi) The requirements from which this exemption is sought involve only (B) Reporting requirements, or (G) Scheduling requirements.

*Staff Analysis:* The exemption request involves requirements in both of these categories because it involves submitting an updated COL FSAR by December 31, 2014 and also relates to the schedule for submitting COL FSAR updates to the NRC.

#### **4.0 Conclusion**

The NRC has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the

exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances exist under 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii). The requested one-time exemption will permit UNE time to carefully review the most recent revisions of the U.S. EPR FSAR, and fully incorporate these revisions into a comprehensive update of the FSAR associated with the CCNPP Unit 3 COL application. This one-time exemption will support the NRC staff's effective and efficient review of the COL application when resumed, as well as issuance of the safety evaluation report. Therefore, the NRC hereby grants UNE a one-time exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) pertaining to the CCNPP Unit 3 COL application to allow submittal of the next FSAR update in calendar year 2014 (within 90 days of the submittal date of the U.S. EPR FSAR, Revision 6, but in no case later than December 31, 2014).

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22, the NRC has determined that the exemption request meets the applicable categorical exclusion criteria set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), and the granting of this exemption will not have a significant impact on the human environment.

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of August, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Anna Bradford,**

*Acting Chief, Licensing Branch 1, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. 2014-21700 Filed 9-10-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

#### **POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket Nos. MC2014-43 and CP2014-76; Order No. 2177]**

#### **New Postal Product**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, & First-Class Package Service Contract 4 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* September 12, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's

Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Request for Supplemental Information
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, & First-Class Package Service Contract 4 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

**II. Notice of Commission Action**

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2014-43 and CP2014-76 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, & First-Class Package Service Contract 4 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than September 12, 2014. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, & First-Class Package Service Contract 4 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, September 4, 2014 (Request).

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

**III. Request for Supplemental Information**

The contract states the effective date of this contract shall be one business day following the day on which the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approvals. It also states this contract shall expire three years from the effective date. *Id.* Attachment B at 3-4.

1. Please confirm that the Postal Service would like the start date to be the next business day if the Commission provides approval on a day preceding a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday. If this is not confirmed, please provide the preferred method for determining the start date.

2. If the termination date is calculated as three years from the start date, the termination date might fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday. If this occurs, please advise the Commission whether the Postal Service intends the termination date to be the actual Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday or the Postal Service intends the termination date to be extended to the next business day.

3. The Postal Service asks for Commission approval of several different forms of negotiated service agreements. Please explain any differences in the determination of start and termination dates that the Commission should consider among the different forms of agreements.

The Postal Service response is due no later than September 12, 2014.

**IV. Ordering Paragraphs**

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2014-43 and CP2014-76 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. The Postal Service's response to the request for supplemental information is due no later than September 12, 2014.

4. Comments are due no later than September 12, 2014.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Ruth Ann Abrams,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-21588 Filed 9-10-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-2736.

**Extension:**

Rule 13h-1 and Form 13H, SEC File No. 270-614, OMB Control No. 3235-0682.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) ("PRA"), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the existing collection of information provided for Rule 13h-1 (17 CFR 240.13h-1) and Form 13H—registration of large traders<sup>1</sup> submitted pursuant to Section 13(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) ("Exchange Act"). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 13h-1 and Form 13H under Section 13(h) of the Exchange Act established a large trader reporting framework.<sup>2</sup> The framework assists the Commission in identifying and obtaining certain baseline information about traders that conduct a substantial amount of trading activity, as measured by volume or market value, in the U.S. securities markets.

The identification, recordkeeping, and reporting framework provides the Commission with a mechanism to identify large traders and their affiliates, accounts, and transactions. Specifically, the system requires large traders to identify themselves to the Commission and make certain disclosures to the Commission on Form 13H. Upon receipt of Form 13H, the Commission issues a unique identification number to the large trader, which the large trader then provides to its registered broker-dealers. Certain registered broker-dealers are required to maintain transaction records for each large trader, and are required to

<sup>1</sup> Rule 13h-1(a)(1) defines "large trader" as any person that directly or indirectly, including through other persons controlled by such person, exercises investment discretion over one or more accounts and effects transactions for the purchase or sale of any NMS security for or on behalf of such accounts, by or through one or more registered broker-dealers, in an aggregate amount equal to or greater than the identifying activity level or voluntarily registers as a large trader by filing electronically with the Commission Form 13H.

<sup>2</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 64976 (July 27, 2011), 76 FR 46959 (August 3, 2011).