III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule does not (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, provided that the self-regulatory organization has given the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change or such shorter time as designated by the Commission,12 the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act 13 and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.14

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) 15 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) 16 normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),17 the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange believes that waiving the 30-day delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it would permit the Exchange to more promptly update its Market Data Fee Schedule about free product offerings, thereby promoting transparency regarding already-filed market data products. The Commission agrees and has determined to waive the five-day pre-filing requirement and the

30-day operative date so that the proposal may take effect upon filing.¹⁸

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSE–2014–57 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2014-57. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the NYSE's principal office and on its Internet Web site at www.nvse.com. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-

2014–57 and should be submitted on or before December 3, 2014.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 19

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–26687 Filed 11–10–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-73534; File No. SR-ICC-2014-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Credit LLC; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Add Rules Related to the Clearing of Standard Western European Sovereign CDS Contracts

November 5, 2014.

I. Introduction

On August 25, 2014, ICE Clear Credit LLC ("ICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change SR-ICC-2014-14 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder.² The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on September 4, 2014.3 The Commission did not receive comments on the proposed rule change. On October 17, 2014, the Commission extended the time period in which to either approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change to December 3, 2014.4 For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

ICC proposes to amend Chapter 26 of the ICC Clearing Rules ("Rules") to add Subchapter 26I and to amend the ICC Risk Management Framework to provide for the clearance of Standard Western European Sovereign ("SWES") credit default swap ("CDS") contracts, specifically the Republic of Ireland, the Italian Republic, the Portuguese Republic, and the Kingdom of Spain (collectively, the "SWES Contracts").

¹⁸ For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{19 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–72941 (Aug. 28, 2014), 79 FR 52794 (Sep. 4, 2014) (SR–ICC–2014–14).

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–73384 (Oct. 17, 2014), 79 FR 63453 (Oct. 23, 2014) (SR–ICC–2014–14).

 $^{^{\}rm 12}\,\rm The\; Exchange\; has\; fulfilled\; this\; requirement.$

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{14 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B). ¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)

¹⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

ICC states that the proposed rule change is dependent on the approval and implementation of the proposed rule change contained in ICC rule filing SR–ICC–2014–11,⁵ amending the ICC Rules, Restructuring Procedures, and Risk Management Framework to incorporate references to the revised Credit Derivatives Definitions, as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") on February 21, 2014 (the "2014 ISDA Definitions") and that SWES Contracts will only be offered on the 2014 ISDA Definitions.⁶

ICC represents that the SWES Contracts have similar terms to the Standard North American Corporate Single Name CDS contracts ("SNAC Contracts") currently cleared by ICC and governed by Subchapter 26B of the ICC Rules, the Standard Emerging Sovereign CDS contracts ("SES Contracts") currently cleared by ICC and governed by Subchapter 26D of the ICC Rules, and the Standard European Corporate Single Name CDS contracts ("SDEC Contracts") currently cleared at ICC and governed by Subchapter 26G of the ICC Rules. Accordingly, ICC states that the proposed rules found in Subchapter 26I largely mirror the ICC Rules for SNAC Contracts in Subchapter 26B, SES Contracts in Subchapter 26D, and SDEC Contracts in Subchapter 26G, with certain modifications that reflect differences in terms and market conventions between those contracts and SWES Contracts.7 SWES Contracts will be denominated in United States

ICC represents that clearing SWES Contracts will not require any changes to ICC's operational procedures, as the SWES Contracts operate similarly to the Standard Emerging European and Middle Eastern Sovereign Single Names, currently cleared by ICC. The addition of the SWES Contracts to ICC's product offering requires risk specific changes to the ICC Risk Management Framework, which are described below.

ICC's Risk Management Framework would be revised to incorporate additional model features designed to generalize the currently established Specific Wrong Way Risk ("SWWR") Initial Margin requirement. ICC states that the proposed changes to the ICC

Risk Management Framework would generalize the SWWR relative to General Wrong Way Risk ("GWWR"), and that this generalization of Wrong Way Risk ("WWR") is introduced to account for additional risk present in CDS instruments whose reference entities exhibit a high level of correlation with those Clearing Participants clearing the relevant name, or with an entity that is guaranteed by, or affiliated with, those Clearing Participants. ICC states that, accordingly, the offering of SWES Contracts introduces potential GWWR in the form of country/region of domicile WWR. ICC notes that examples of GWWR related to SWES include but are not limited to a Clearing Participant selling protection on its country of domicile, or a European domiciled Clearing Participant selling protection on European sovereign reference entities. To address such risks, ICC proposes to establish an additional Jump To Default Risk (''JTDR'')

Accordingly, the Risk Management Framework contains revisions to the calculation of the portfolio JTDR requirement. Specifically, the calculations have been updated to incorporate the concept of WWR as described below in reference to the quantitative and qualitative approaches. ICC represents that these proposed revisions would have no material impact on the size of the Guaranty Fund.

ICC's proposed changes adopt a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches to capture GWWR. Under the revised ICC Risk Management Framework, an additional contribution to the JTDR requirement would be required when Clearing Participants sell protection on SWES reference entities exhibiting a high degree of association with itself (based on a quantitative approach established by ICC to determine the degree of correlation) or by virtue of selling protection on its country of domicile (based on a qualitative approach established by ICC to determine a Clearing Participant's country of domicile).

For the qualitative case (i.e., a Clearing Participant selling protection on its own country of domicile), ICC would require full collateralization of the additional Jump To Default ("JTD") loss. In determining a Clearing Participants' country of domicile, ICC refers to the International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") country code for the issuer's ultimate parent country of risk. ICC states that the ISO methodology considers management location, country of primary listing,

country of revenue and reporting currency of the issuer.

The quantitative approach applies to the additional risk arising from Clearing Participants selling protection on SWES reference entities, other than the Clearing Participant's country of domicile, on which the Clearing Participant's domicile has a high degree of correlation. If the additional SWES JTD losses and the dependence levels breach specific quantitative threshold amounts, additional GWWR collateralization would be required. The additional collateralization is a function of the level of correlation between the Clearing Participants and the SWES reference entities and will become more conservative as the level of correlation increases.

As a result of these enhancements to the ICC Risk Management Framework, Rule 26D-309 (Acceptance of SES Contracts by ICE Clear Credit), part (c) would be revised to remove language which prohibits the acceptance of Trades for clearance and settlement if at the time of submission or acceptance of the Trade or at the time of novation the Participant submitting the Trade is domiciled in the country of the Eligible Standard Emerging Sovereign ("SES") Reference Entity for such SES contract. ICC states that the new GWWR methodology will apply to all sovereign contracts cleared by ICC, including SES contracts.

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act 8 directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if the Commission finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such selfregulatory organization. Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act 9 requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency are designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and, to the extent applicable, derivative agreements, contracts, and transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission finds that the proposed revisions to the ICC Rules and Risk Management Framework are consistent with the requirements of

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–72701 (Jul. 29, 2014); 79 FR 45565 (Aug. 5, 2014) (SR–ICC–2014–11).

⁶ ICC rule filing SR–ICC–2014–11 was approved by the Commission on September 5, 2014. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–73007 (Sep. 5, 2014), 79 FR 54331 (Sep. 11, 2014) (SR– ICC–2014–11).

⁷ The proposed changes to the ICC Rules are described in further detail in the notice of filing of the proposed rule change. *See supra* note 3.

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

Section 17A of the Act ¹⁰ and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to ICC. Specifically, the Commission believes that the proposed rules in Subchapter 26I to allow for the clearance of SWES Contracts, in conjunction with existing ICC Rules and procedures applicable to the clearing of CDS contracts, are designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹¹

Additionally, the Commission believes that the proposed revisions to ICC's Risk Management Framework to address the wrong way risk associated with clearing SWES Contracts are designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹² Specifically, the proposed changes to the ICC Risk Management Framework would require additional collateralization in the form of initial margin from Clearing Participants that sell protection on SWES reference entities exhibiting a high degree of association with itself or that sell protection on its country of domicile. These proposed margin model enhancements will provide additional resources to ICC to address the credit risks associated with the correlation between the risk of default of an underlying sovereign and the risk of default of a Clearing Participant that has written credit protection through SWES Contracts on such sovereign. Accordingly, the Commission believes that the proposed changes to the Risk Management Framework, in combination with ICC's existing rules and procedures related to margin and guaranty fund, are reasonably designed to meet the requirements of Rules $17Ad-22(b)(1)-(3)^{13}$ related to the measurement and management of credit exposures, margin requirements, and the maintenance of sufficient financial resources required for a registered clearing agency acting as a central counterparty for security-based swaps.

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the ${\rm Act}\,^{14}$ and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, 15 that the proposed rule change (SR–ICC–2014–14) be, and hereby is, approved. 16

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 17

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–26692 Filed 11–10–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–73531; File No. SR–ISEGemini-2014–24]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ISE Gemini, LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, Relating to a Corporate Transaction Involving Its Indirect Parent

November 5, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that, on October 22, 2014, the ISE Gemini, LLC (the "Exchange" or the "ISE Gemini") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change, as described in Items I and II below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. On October 31, 2014, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposal.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to make changes to its indirect, non-U.S. upstream ownership structure (the "Transactions"), in connection with which the Series A Preferred Stock of the Exchange's sole, direct parent, International Securities Exchange Holdings, Inc. ("ISE Holdings"), will be converted to shares of ISE Holdings common stock (the "Conversion"). In order to consummate the Transactions, including the Conversion, the Exchange proposes to: (i) Amend and restate the Certificate of Designations of Series A Preferred Stock of ISE Holdings (the "COD"); (ii) amend and restate the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ISE Holdings (the "COI"); and (iii) amend and restate the Second Amended and Restated Trust Agreement (the "Trust Agreement") that exists among ISE Holdings, U.S. Exchange Holdings, Inc. ("U.S. Exchange Holdings"), and the Trustees (as defined therein). The Exchange also proposes that certain corporate resolutions and agreements that were previously established or entered into by entities that will cease to be upstream owners of ISE Gemini after the Transactions will no longer be rules of the Exchange. In addition, the Exchange proposes to amend and restate the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of ISE Gemini ("ISE Gemini LLC Agreement") with respect to distributions. Finally, the Exchange proposes to make a nonsubstantive, administrative change to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of U.S. Exchange Holdings ("U.S. Exchange Holdings COI"), the direct U.S. upstream owner of ISE Holdings, to update a reference therein to the Trust Agreement.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Commission's Public Reference Room and on the Exchange's Internet Web site at http://www.ise.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹² *Id*.

¹³ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(b)(1)-(3).

^{14 15} U.S.C. 78q-1.

^{15 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹⁶ In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{17 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange proposed non-substantive changes to amend Exhibits 5E (Form of Eurex Global Derivatives AG Corporate Resolutions) and 5F (Form of Agreement and Consent by and between Eurex Global Derivatives AG and Eurex Zürich AG) so that the text the Exchange proposes to delete accurately reflects the existing text of the resolutions previously submitted to, and approved by, the Commission.