DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[U.S. DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2014-2127-0008]

Reports, Forms, and Record Keeping Requirements

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), U.S. Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Request for public comment on proposed collection of information.

SUMMARY: Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatement of previously approved collections.

This document describes one collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval. **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 26, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the docket number in the heading of this document, by any of the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the electronic docket site by clicking on "Help" or "FAO."

on "Help" or "FAQ." • *Hand Delivery:* 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

• Fax: 202–493–2251.

Regardless of how you submit comments, you should mention the docket number of this document.

You may call the Docket Management Facility at 202–366–9826.

Instructions: For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the Public Participation heading of the Supplementary Information section of this document. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit *http:// www.dot.gov/privacy.html.*

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to *http:// www.regulations.gov,* or the street address listed above. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randy Reid, Office of Defects Investigation (NVS–210), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave SE., W48–311, Washington, DC 20590. Randy Reid's phone number is 202–366–4383 and his email address is *randy.reid@dot.gov*. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before a correct subscitze a record.

before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the **Federal Register** providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(iv) how to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.* permitting electronic submission of responses.

In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks for public comments on the following proposed collection of information for which the agency is seeking approval from OMB:

Title: Consumer Complaint Information.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Chapter 301 of title 49 of the United States Code, the Secretary of

Transportation is authorized to require manufacturers of motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment to conduct owner notification and remedy, *i.e.*, a recall campaign, when it has been determined that a safety defect exists in the performance, construction, components, or materials in motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment. To make this determination, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) solicits information from vehicle owners which is used to identify and evaluate possible safety-related defects and provide the necessary evidence of the existence of such a defect. Under the Authority of chapter 301 of Title 49 of the United States Code, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to require manufacturers of motor vehicle and motor vehicle equipment which do not comply with the applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards or contains a defect that relates to motor vehicle safety to notify each owner that their vehicle contains a safety defect or noncompliance. Also, the manufacturer of motor vehicle replacement equipment presented for remedy pursuant to such notification shall cause such defect or noncompliance to be remedied without charge. In the case of a motor vehicle presented for remedy pursuant to such notification, the manufacturer shall cause the vehicle remedied by whichever of the following means he elects: (1) By repairing such vehicle; (2) by replacing such motor vehicle without charge; or (3) by refunding the purchase price less depreciation. To ensure these objectives are being met, NHTSA audits recalls conducted by manufacturer. These audits are performed on a randomly selected number of vehicle owners for verification and validation purposes.

Affected Public: Individuals and households.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 11,814.

Number of Respondents: 47,256.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Issued on: November 20, 2014. **Randy Reid**, *Chief, Correspondence Research Division*, *Office of Defects Investigation*. [FR Doc. 2014–27922 Filed 11–24–14; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Petition for Exemption From the Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard; Ford Motor Company

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Grant of petition for exemption.

SUMMARY: This document grants in full the Ford Motor Company's (Ford) petition for an exemption of the MKX vehicle line in accordance with 49 CFR part 543, Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard. This petition is granted because the agency has determined that the antitheft device to be placed on the line as standard equipment is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the partsmarking requirements of the 49 CFR part 541, Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard (Theft Prevention Standard). Ford also requested confidential treatment for specific information in its petition. The agency will address Ford's request for confidential treatment by separate letter. **DATES:** The exemption granted by this notice is effective beginning with the 2016 model year (MŸ).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Deborah Mazyck, Office of International Policy, Fuel Economy and Consumer Programs, NHTSA, W43–443, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Ms. Mazyck's phone number is (202) 366–4139. Her fax number is (202) 493–2990.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a petition dated August 18, 2014, Ford requested an exemption from the partsmarking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard for the Lincoln MKX vehicle line beginning with MY 2016. The petition requested exemption from parts-marking pursuant to 49 CFR part 543, *Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard*, based on the installation of an antitheft device as standard equipment for the entire vehicle line.

Under 49 CFR 543.5(a), a manufacturer may petition NHTSA to grant an exemption for one vehicle line

per model year. In its petition, Ford provided a detailed description and diagram of the identity, design, and location of the components of the antitheft device for the Lincoln MKX vehicle line. Ford stated that the Model Year (MY) 2016 Lincoln MKX will be installed with its Intelligent Access with Push button Start (IAwPB) passive, electronic immobilizer device using encrypted transponder technology as standard equipment on the entire vehicle line. Key components of the IAwPB device will include an electronic key fob, remote function actuator (RFA), body control module (BCM), powertrain control module (PCM) and a passive immobilizer. Ford further stated that its Lincoln MKX vehicle line will be offered with a perimeter alarm system as standard equipment. The perimeter alarm system activates a visible and audible alarm if unauthorized access is attempted. Ford's submission is considered a complete petition as required by 49 CFR 543.7, in that it meets the general requirements contained in § 543.5 and the specific content requirements of § 543.6.

Ford stated that the device's integration of the transponder into the normal operation of the ignition key assures activation of the system. Ford stated that the start sequence is initiated when the 'StartStop' button is pressed. Specifically, the transceiver module sends a signal to the keyfob through the RF antenna. The keyfob responds with a High Frequency (UHF) over the air signal that includes the keycode, back to the transceiver module. Once the key is validated, starting of the engine is authorized by sending a separate encrypted message to the BCM/RFA and then the powertrain control module PCM. Ford stated that the powertrain will function only if the keycode matches the unique identification keycode previously programmed into the BCM/RFA. If the codes do not match, the vehicle will be inoperable. Ford stated that an electronic key will be programmed into the vehicle during system initialization performed at the manufacturing plant. Ford further stated that if the programmed key is not present in the vehicle, the engine will not start. Ford also pointed out that in addition to the programmed key, there are two modules that must be matched together in order to start the vehicle, adding an additional level of security to both systems. Ford stated that the BCM and the PCM share security data that, during vehicle assembly, form matched modules that must be together in order to start the vehicle. Ford further stated that no owner/operator actions are

required to deactivate the device because it functions automatically each time an engine start sequence occurs.

In addressing the specific content requirements of 543.6, Ford provided information on the reliability and durability of its proposed device. To ensure reliability and durability of the device, Ford conducted tests based on its own specified standards. Ford provided a detailed list of the tests conducted and believes that the device is reliable and durable since the device complied with its own specified requirements for each test.

Ford stated that it's MY 2016 Lincoln MKX vehicle line will also be equipped with several other standard antitheft features common to Ford vehicles, (*i.e.*, hood release located inside the vehicle, counterfeit resistant VIN labels and secondary VINs, cabin accessibility only with the use of a valid key fob).

Ford compared the device proposed for its vehicle line with other devices which NHTSA has determined to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as would compliance with the parts-marking requirements. Ford stated that it believes that the standard installation of the IAwPB device would be an effective deterrent against vehicle theft.

Ford stated that its antitheft device was installed on all MY 1996 Ford Mustang GT and Cobra models and other selected models. Ford stated that in the 1997 model, its antitheft device was extended to the complete Ford Mustang vehicle line as standard equipment. Ford also stated that according to the National Insurance Crime Bureau (NICB) theft statistics, MY 1997 Mustangs installed with the SecuriLock device showed a 70% reduction in theft rate compared to the MY 1995 Mustangs.

Ford stated that starting with MY 2013, the IAwPB was offered as standard equipment on the Lincoln MKZ. Ford also reported that beginning with MY 2010, the its antitheft device was installed as standard equipment on all of its North American Ford, Lincoln and Mercury vehicles but was offered as optional equipment on its 2010 F-series Super Duty pickups, Econoline and Transit Connect vehicles. Ford further stated that beginning with MY 2010, the IAwPB was standard equipment on the Lincoln MKT vehicles; starting with MY 2011, the device was offered as standard equipment on the Lincoln MKX and optionally on the Lincoln MKS, Taurus, Edge, Explorer and the Focus vehicles and beginning with MY 2013, the device was offered as optional equipment on the Ford Fusion, C-Max and Escape vehicles.