

**Register.** This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### List of Subjects

#### 40 CFR Part 9

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### 40 CFR Part 721

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 17, 2014.

**Maria J. Doa,**

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

### PART 9—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for part 9 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 135 *et seq.*, 136–136y; 15 U.S.C. 2001, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2601–2671; 21 U.S.C. 331j, 346a, 348; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*, 1311, 1313d, 1314, 1318, 1321, 1326, 1330, 1342, 1344, 1345(d) and (e), 1361; E.O. 11735, 38 FR 21243, 3 CFR, 1971–1975 Comp. p. 973; 42 U.S.C. 241, 242b, 243, 246, 300f, 300g, 300g–1, 300g–2, 300j–2, 300j–3, 300j–4, 300j–9, 1857 *et seq.*, 6901–6992k, 7401–7671q, 7542, 9601–9657, 11023, 11048.

#### § 9.1 [Amended]

- 2. In the table in § 9.1, under the undesignated center heading “Significant New Uses of Chemical Substances,” remove §§ 721.10776, 721.10780, 721.10781, 721.10782 and 721.10783.

### PART 721—[AMENDED]

- 3. The authority citation for part 721 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 2604, 2607, and 2625(c).

#### §§ 721.10776 [Removed]

- 4. Remove §§ 721.10776.

#### §§ 721.10780 through 721.10783 [Removed]

- 5. Remove §§ 721.10780 through 721.10783.

[FR Doc. 2014–30023 Filed 12–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### 46 CFR Part 502

[Docket No. 14–12]

RIN 3072–AC58

### Amendments to Regulations Governing the Rules of Practice and Procedure for Dismissals of Actions

**AGENCY:** Federal Maritime Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Maritime Commission is amending its rules governing dismissals of actions by complainants, by order of the presiding officer, and by respondents when complainant fails to prosecute.

**DATES:** *Effective:* January 24, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen V. Gregory, Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, DC 20573–0001, Phone: (202) 523–5725, Email: [secretary@fmc.gov](mailto:secretary@fmc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission published a proposed rule on September 22, 2014, 79 FR 56546, to amend Rule 72 of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 46 CFR 502.72, to reflect its intent with regard to review and approval of settlement agreements prior to dismissal of formal complaints. No comments were received to the proposed rule and the Commission hereby adopts it as a final rule.

Section 502.72 currently permits voluntary dismissals by notice, allowing a complainant to dismiss an action voluntarily before an answer or other responsive pleading is served. Additionally, the rule permits dismissal of complaints by stipulation of the parties, thereby fostering efficient and speedy resolution of matters that have become moot (*e.g.*, cargo has been delivered, expense of litigation, etc.). The rule does not, however, expressly address the circumstance when a voluntary dismissal is the result of a settlement between the parties.

When § 502.72 was published, the Commission stated that it “did not intend to eliminate the requirement for review of settlement.” Docket No. 11–05, Rules of Practice and Procedure, *Final Rule*, 77 FR 61519–20 (Oct. 10, 2012). The revision adopted here reflects the Commission’s intent to adhere to its long-standing policy of reviewing settlements by adding language to clarify that when a voluntary dismissal is based on a settlement agreement, the agreement must be submitted for approval by the Commission.

The Commission has followed a well-established policy of encouraging settlement agreements in proceedings brought before it. *Old Ben Coal Co. v. Sea-Land Serv., Inc.*, 18 S.R.R. 1085, 1091 (ALJ 1978). The Commission has adhered to “encourag[ing] settlements and engage[ing] in every presumption which favors a finding that they are fair, correct, and valid.” *Inlet Fish Producers, Inc. v. Sea-Land Serv., Inc.*, 29 S.R.R. 975, 978 (ALJ 2002) (quoting *Old Ben Coal*, 18 S.R.R. at 1091); *see also Ellenville Handle Works, Inc. v. Far E. Shipping Co.*, 20 S.R.R. 761, 763 (ALJ 1981) (noting that settlements may be approved upon a showing that the settlement is bona fide and not a device for rebating). The Commission has exercised oversight of these settlements to ensure that such agreements are free from “fraud, duress, undue influence, [or] mistake” and do “not contravene any law or public policy.” *Old Ben Coal*, 18 S.R.R. at 1093.

Although the Commission undertakes a relatively limited role in scrutinizing settlements, *see P.R. Shipping Ass’n v. P.R. Ports Auth.*, 27 S.R.R. 645, 647 (ALJ 1996), it has also made clear that it “does not merely rubber stamp any proffered statement, no matter how anxious the parties may be to terminate their litigation.” *Old Ben Coal*, 18 S.R.R. at 1092. Previously, the Commission required proof of a statutory violation before approving a settlement. An agreement to settle a proceeding could only “be approved . . . upon an affirmative finding that such violation occurred.” *Consolidated International Corporation v. Concordia Line, Boise Griffin Steamship Company, Inc.*, 18 F.M.C. 180, 183 (ALJ 1975); *cf. Ketchikan Spruce Mills v. Coastwise Line*, 5 F.M.B. 661 (1959) (settlement was not approved because it could not be shown that the tariffs were unreasonable or violated the Shipping Act).

In *Old Ben Coal*, the Commission modified this requirement in favor of a revised standard that allows the Commission to assess whether “the settlement offered is fair, reasonable, and adequate,” and whether the settlement is “free of fraud, duress, undue influence, [or] mistake.” 18 S.R.R. at 1091. Additionally, the Commission may weigh the likelihood of the complainant’s success if litigation were pursued, as well as balance the adequacy of the terms of settlement against the estimated cost and complexity of continued litigation. *Id.* at, 1093–94. Finally, the Commission will review the settlement to ensure that it is “proper and does not itself violate any provision of the law.” *Id.* at 1091.

Settlements meeting these criteria “will probably pass muster and receive approval.” *Id.* at 1093; see also *World Chance Logistics (Hong Kong), Ltd.-Possible Violations*, 31 S.R.R. 1346, 1350 (FMC 2010).

The clarifying language reflects the Commission’s intent as expressed when it promulgated section 502.72 that it was not changing its long standing policy with respect to review of settlement agreements, and articulates the requisite procedure for voluntary and involuntary dismissal of complaints.

This final rule is not a “major rule” under 5 U.S.C. 804(2). No notice of proposed rulemaking is required; therefore, the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*, do not apply.

#### List of Subjects in 46 CFR Part 502

Administrative practices and procedures, Claims, Equal Access to Justice, Investigations, Practice and procedure, Procedural rules, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Federal Maritime Commission amends 46 CFR part 502 as follows:

#### PART 502—RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- 1. The authority citation for part 502 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 504, 551, 552, 553, 556(c), 559, 561–569, 571–596, 5 U.S.C. 571–584; 18 U.S.C. 207; 28 U.S.C. 2112(a); 31 U.S.C. 9701; 46 U.S.C. 305, 40103–40104, 40304, 40306, 40501–40503, 40701–40706, 41101–41109, 41301–41309, 44101–44106; E.O. 11222 of May 8, 1965.

#### Subpart E—Proceedings; Pleadings; Motions; Replies

- 2. Revise § 502.72 to read as follows:

##### § 502.72 Dismissals.

(a) *Voluntary dismissal.* (1) *By the complainant.* When no settlement agreement is involved, the complainant may dismiss an action without an order from the presiding officer by filing a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer, a motion to dismiss, or a motion for summary decision. Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice.

(2) *By stipulation of the parties.* The parties may dismiss an action at any point without an order from the presiding officer by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. In the stipulation the parties must certify that no settlement

on the merits was reached. Unless the stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice.

(3) *By order of the presiding officer.* Except as provided in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this section, an action may be dismissed at the complainant’s request only by order of the presiding officer, on terms the presiding officer considers proper. If the motion is based on a settlement by the parties, the settlement agreement must be submitted with the motion for determination as to whether the settlement appears to violate any law or policy and to ensure the settlement is free of fraud, duress, undue influence, mistake, or other defects which might make it unapprovable. Unless the order states otherwise, a dismissal under this paragraph is without prejudice.

(b) *Involuntary dismissal; effect.* If the complainant fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or an order in the proceeding, a respondent may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it, or the presiding officer, after notice to the parties, may dismiss the proceeding on its own motion. Unless the dismissal order states otherwise, a dismissal under this subpart, except one for lack of jurisdiction or failure to join a party, operates as an adjudication on the merits.

(c) *Dismissing a counterclaim, crossclaim, or third-party claim.* This rule applies to dismissals of any counterclaim, crossclaim, or third-party claim.

**Karen V. Gregory,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014–29946 Filed 12–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730–01–P**

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### 47 CFR Parts 0, 1, 2, 15, 27, 73, and 74

[GN Docket No. 12–268; FCC 14–143]

#### Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendments.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to the final regulations to the Commission’s rules which were published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, November 6, 2014 (79 FR 65906). The Commission published a clarification in the Declaratory Ruling, which clarifies how the Commission intends to preserve the “coverage area”

of eligible broadcasters in the repacking process associated with the broadcast television spectrum incentive auctions. This document contains corrections to the adopted date.

**DATES:** Effective November 6, 2014.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aspasia Paroutsas, Office of Engineering and Technology, 202–418–7285, [Aspasia.Paroutsas@fcc.gov](mailto:Aspasia.Paroutsas@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The regulations that are the subject of this correction relates to “Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions” adopted date.

#### Need for Correction

As published, in the final regulations appearing on page 65906 in the **Federal Register** of November 6, 2014, the first sentence of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** is corrected to read as follows:

This is a summary of the Commission’s Declaratory Ruling, GN Docket No. 12–268, FCC 14–143, adopted September 30, 2014 and released September 30, 2014.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014–30079 Filed 12–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### 47 CFR Part 15

[ET Docket No. 13–49; FCC 14–30]

#### Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U–NII) Devices in the 5 GHz Band

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** On April 1, 2014, the Commission released a Report and Order, “Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U–NII) Devices in the 5 GHz Band.” This document contains corrections to the final regulations for “Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U–NII) Devices in the 5 GHz Band” that appeared in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 2014 (79 FR 24569).

**DATES:** Effective December 23, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Aole Wilkins, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418–2406 or email [Aole.Wilkins@fcc.gov](mailto:Aole.Wilkins@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**