

requirements for refiners and importers as they relate to gasoline sulfur content of motor vehicles under Section 211(e) (1) of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR part 80, subpart H, and to provide a compliance option whereby a refiner or importer may demonstrate compliance with the gasoline sulfur control requirement via test results. These provisions, which have been in effect since 2006, are designed to grant compliance flexibility.

Form Numbers:

- 5900–312 Gasoline Sulfur Facility Summary report
- 5900–313 Gasoline sulfur Corporate Pool Facility Identification Report
- 5900–314 Overhead for Facility Level Reports
- 5900–315 Gasoline Sulfur Corporate Pool Averaging Report
- 5900–316 Overhead for Company Level Reports
- 5900–317 Gasoline Sulfur Allotment Banking Report
- 5900–318 Gasoline Sulfur Allotment Transfer/Conversion Report
- 5900–319 Gasoline Sulfur Credit Banking Allotment Generation Report
- 5900–320 Gasoline Sulfur Report for Batches Containing Previously Certified Gasoline
- 5900–321 Gasoline Sulfur and Benzene Batch Report
- 5900–322 Gasoline Sulfur Credit Transfer/Conversion Report

Respondents/affected entities:

Gasoline Refiners, Importers, Gasoline Terminals, Pipelines, Truckers and Users of Research and Development Gasoline.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory.

Estimated number of respondents: 1,380 (total).

Frequency of response: Annually, Monthly and on occasion.

Total estimated burden: 38,573 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$3,158,252 (per year), includes \$0 annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in Estimates: The total number of responses for this ICR increased by 60 compared to the previously approved ICR in the renewal. The responses increased from 37,605 to 37,665 responses. Also, the burden increased by 75 from 38,498 in the previously approved ICR to 38,573 in this renewal. These increases are due to the inclusion of the Geographic Phase-in Areas (GPA) refineries that were left out of the previous ICR renewal. The cost of this ICR compared with the currently approved OMB collection

increased by \$584,298 due to better numbers used to calculate burden.

Richard T. Westlund,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 2014–03489 Filed 2–18–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Farm Credit Administration Board

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), that the February 13, 2014 regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board) has been rescheduled. The regular meeting of the Board will be held Tuesday, February 18, 2014 starting at 2 p.m. An agenda for this meeting was published on February 6, 2014 at 79 FR 7189.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dale L. Aultman, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883–4009, TTY (703) 883–4056.

Dated: February 13, 2014.

Dale L. Aultman,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.

[FR Doc. 2014–03655 Filed 2–14–14; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments on the agreements to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within twelve days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**. Copies of the agreements are available through the Commission's Web site (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202) 523–5793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 011117–053.

Title: United States/Australasia Discussion Agreement.

Parties: ANL Singapore Pte Ltd.; CMA–CGM; Compagnie Maritime Marfret S.A.; Hamburg–Süd; Hapag-Lloyd AG; and Mediterranean Shipping Company S.A.

Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O'Connor; 1627 I Street NW.,

Suite 1100; Washington, DC 20006–4007.

Synopsis: The amendment would add Pacific International Lines (PTE) Ltd. as a party to the agreement.

Agreement No.: 012246.

Title: Eukor/Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd. Space Charter Agreement.

Parties: Eukor Car Carriers, Inc. and Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd.

Filing Party: Eric. C. Jeffrey, Esq.; Nixon Peabody LLP; 401 9th Street NW., Suite 900; Washington, DC 20004.

Synopsis: The agreement authorizes the parties to charter space to one another in the trade between Asia and the U.S.

Agreement No.: 012247.

Title: Hyundai Glovis/Hoegh Space Charter Agreement.

Parties: Hyundai Glovis Co. Ltd. and Hoegh Autoliners AS.

Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O'Connor; 1627 I Street NW., Suite 1100; Washington, DC 20006.

Synopsis: The agreement authorizes Hoegh to charter space to Hyundai Glovis in the trade from the Republic of Korea to the Atlantic Coast of the U.S.

Agreement No.: 201223.

Title: Lease and Operating Agreement between PRPA and Eco-Energy Distribution–Philadelphia, LLC.

Parties: Eco-Energy Distribution–Philadelphia, LLC and The Philadelphia Regional Port Authority (PRPA).

Filing Party: Paul D. Coleman, Esq.; Hoppel, Mayer & Coleman; Attorneys and Counsellors at Law; 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW., 10th Floor; Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The agreement authorizes Eco-Energy to dock and moor barges, and to receive, distribute and load cargo at facilities operated under the agreement. The agreement also provides for the cargo to be transferred to, from, and between cargo barges, trucks, and railcars.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: February 12, 2014.

Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–03535 Filed 2–18–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 142–3026]

Fantage.com, Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices. The attached Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 13, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/fantageconsent> online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write “Fantage.com, Inc.—Consent Agreement; File No. 142–3026” on your comment and file your comment online at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/fantageconsent> or by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H–113 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jessica Lyon, Bureau of Consumer Protection, (202–326–2344), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for February 11, 2014), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions.shtm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–2222.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or

before March 13, 2014. Write “Fantage.com, Inc.—Consent Agreement; File No. 142–3026” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals’ home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site.

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, like anyone’s Social Security number, date of birth, driver’s license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, like medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, do not include any “[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential,” as discussed in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). In particular, do not include competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

If you want the Commission to give your comment confidential treatment, you must file it in paper form, with a request for confidential treatment, and you have to follow the procedure explained in FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).¹ Your comment will be kept confidential only if the FTC General Counsel, in his or her sole discretion, grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest.

Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, we encourage you to submit your comments online. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/fantageconsent> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If

¹ In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov/#!home>, you also may file a comment through that Web site.

If you file your comment on paper, write “Fantage.com, Inc.—Consent Agreement; File No. 142–3026” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail or deliver it to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H–113 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Visit the Commission Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read this Notice and the news release describing it. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before March 13, 2014. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission’s privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”) has accepted, subject to final approval, a consent agreement applicable to Fantage.com, Inc. (“Fantage”).

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement’s proposed order.

This matter concerns alleged false or misleading representations that Fantage made to consumers concerning its participation in the Safe Harbor privacy framework agreed upon by the U.S. and the European Union (“EU”) (“U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework” or “Safe Harbor Framework”). It is among several actions the Commission is bringing to enforce the promises that companies make when they certify that they participate in the Safe Harbor Framework. The Safe Harbor framework allows U.S. companies to transfer data outside the EU consistent with European law. To join the Safe Harbor framework, a company must self-certify to the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) that it complies with a

set of principles and related requirements that have been deemed by the European Commission as providing “adequate” privacy protection. These principles include notice, choice, onward transfer, security, data integrity, access, and enforcement. Commerce maintains a public Web site, www.export.gov/safeharbor, where it posts the names of companies that have self-certified to the Safe Harbor framework. The listing of companies indicates whether their self-certification is “current” or “not current.” Companies are required to re-certify every year in order to retain their status as “current” members of the Safe Harbor framework.

Fantage developed and operates a massively multiplayer online role-playing game directed at children ages 6–16. According to the Commission’s complaint, since June 2011, except for a one-month period from November to December 2013, Fantage set forth on its Web site, www.fantage.com, privacy policies and statements about its practices, including statements related to its participation in the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework.

The Commission’s complaint alleges that Fantage falsely represented that it was a “current” participant in the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework when, in fact, from June 2012 until January 2014, Fantage was not a “current” participant in the Safe Harbor Framework. The Commission’s complaint alleges that in June 2011, Fantage submitted a Safe Harbor self-certification. Fantage did not renew its self-certification in June 2012 and Commerce subsequently updated Fantage’s status to “not current” on its public Web site. In January 2014, Fantage renewed its self-certification to the Safe Harbor Framework, and its status was changed to “current” on Commerce’s Web site.

Part I of the proposed order prohibits Fantage from making misrepresentations about its membership in any privacy or security program sponsored by the government or any other self-regulatory or standard-setting organization, including, but not limited to, the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework.

Parts II through VI of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part II requires Fantage to retain documents relating to its compliance with the order for a five-year period. Part III requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part IV ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part V mandates that Fantage submit an initial compliance

report to the FTC, and make available to the FTC subsequent reports. Part VI is a provision “sunsetting” the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed complaint or order or to modify the order’s terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–03532 Filed 2–18–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2013–N–0797]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Announcement of Office of Management and Budget Approval; Human Tissue Intended for Transplantation

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a collection of information entitled “Human Tissue Intended for Transplantation” has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FDA PRA Staff, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50–400B, Rockville, MD 20850, PRASaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 20, 2013, the Agency submitted a proposed collection of information entitled “Human Tissue Intended for Transplantation” to OMB for review and clearance under 44 U.S.C. 3507. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. OMB has now approved the information collection and has assigned OMB control number 0910–0302. The approval expires on January 31, 2017. A copy of the supporting statement for this information collection is available on the Internet at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

Dated: February 12, 2014.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2014–03502 Filed 2–18–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2014–D–0103]

Draft Guidance for Industry on Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation for Drugs and Biologics; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled “Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation for Drugs and Biologics.” This revised draft guidance supersedes the 2000 draft guidance for industry on “Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation” and, when finalized, will also replace the 1987 FDA guidance for industry on “Submitting Samples and Analytical Data for Methods Validation.” This draft guidance discusses how to submit analytical procedures and methods validation data to support the documentation of the identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency of drug substances and drug products.

DATES: Although you can comment on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance, submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by May 20, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance to the Division of Drug Information, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 51, Rm. 2201, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002; or to the Office of Communication, Outreach and Development (HFM–40), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Suite 200N, Rockville, MD 20852–1448. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance document.

Submit electronic comments on the draft guidance to <http://>