

Coos Bay District Resource Advisory Committee advises Federal officials on projects associated with Federal lands within the Coos Bay District which includes lands in Coos, Curry, Douglas, and Lane Counties.

Eugene District Resource Advisory Committee advises Federal officials on projects associated with Federal lands within the Eugene District boundary which includes lands in Benton, Douglas, Lane, and Linn Counties.

Medford District Resource Advisory Committee advises Federal officials on projects associated with Federal lands within the Medford District and Klamath Falls Resource Area in the Lakeview District which includes lands in Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson, and Josephine Counties and small portions of west Klamath County.

Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee advises Federal officials on projects associated with Federal lands within the Roseburg District boundary which includes lands in Douglas, Lane, and Jackson Counties.

Salem District Resource Advisory Committee advises Federal officials on projects associated with Federal lands within the Salem District boundary which includes lands in Benton, Clackamas, Clatsop, Columbia, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Multnomah, Polk, Tillamook, Washington, and Yamhill Counties.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

(Authority: Title VI, Section 205 of Pub. L. 110–343);

Jody L. Weil,

Deputy State Director, Office of Communications, Oregon/Washington.

[FR Doc. 2014–03612 Filed 2–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLOR957000–L63100000–HD0000–14XL1116AF: HAG14–0058]

Filing of Plats of Survey: Oregon/ Washington

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled to be officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management, Oregon State Office, Portland, Oregon, 30 days from the date of this publication.

Willamette Meridian

Oregon

T. 16 S., R. 2 W., accepted January 28, 2014
T. 29 S., R. 10 W., accepted January 28, 2014
T. 27 S., R. 12 W., accepted January 28, 2014
T. 8 S., R. 4 E., accepted January 28, 2014
T. 9 S., R. 2 E., accepted January 28, 2014

ADDRESSES: A copy of the plats may be obtained from the Public Room at the Bureau of Land Management, Oregon State Office, 1220 SW. 3rd Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204, upon required payment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyle Hensley, (503) 808–6132, Branch of Geographic Sciences, Bureau of Land Management, 1220 SW. 3rd Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A person or party who wishes to protest against this survey must file a written notice with the Oregon State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest and must be filed with the Oregon State Director within thirty days after the protest is filed. If a protest against the survey is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed or otherwise resolved.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we

cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Timothy J. Moore,

Acting, Chief Cadastral Surveyor of Oregon/ Washington.

[FR Doc. 2014–03607 Filed 2–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLCA942000 L57000000.BX0000 XXX]

Filing of Plats of Survey: California

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of lands described below are scheduled to be officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management California State Office, Sacramento, California, thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the plats may be obtained from the California State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, upon required payment.

Protest: A person or party who wishes to protest a survey must file a notice that they wish to protest with the California State Director, Bureau of Land Management, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California, 95825.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chief, Branch of Geographic Services, Bureau of Land Management, California State Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–1623, Sacramento, California 95825, (916) 978–4310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: These surveys were executed to meet the administrative needs of various federal agencies; the Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs or Bureau of Reclamation. The lands surveyed are:

Mount Diablo Meridian, California

T. 14 S., R. 28 E., dependent resurvey and metes-and-bounds survey accepted December 10, 2013.
T. 6 N., R. 22 E., amended plat of the dependent resurvey, subdivision of section 23 and survey of tracts 37 and 38 accepted December 19, 2013.
T. 5 N., R. 11 E., dependent resurvey and subdivision of section 1 accepted January 21, 2014.
T. 5 N., R. 12 E., dependent resurvey and subdivision of sections 5 and 6 accepted January 21, 2014.
T. 6 N., R. 12 E., dependent resurvey and subdivision of section 32 accepted

- January 21, 2014.
 T. 3 S., R. 32 E., dependent resurvey, subdivision and metes-and-bounds survey accepted February 5, 2014.
 T. 32 S., R. 24 E., dependent resurvey and subdivision of sections accepted February 5, 2014.
 T. 32 S., R. 25 E., dependent resurvey and subdivision of section 18 accepted February 5, 2014.
 T. 2 N., R. 14 E., supplemental plat of the S ½ of section 24 accepted February 6, 2014.

San Bernardino Meridian, California

- T. 1 N., R. 20 W., metes-and-bounds survey accepted January 28, 2014.
 T. 9 S., R. 12 E., supplemental plat of section 1 accepted February 5, 2014.

Authority: 43 U.S.C., Chapter 3.

Dated: February 6, 2014.

Lance J. Bishop,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor, California.

[FR Doc. 2014-03599 Filed 2-19-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-860]

Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination and Set a Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) has determined to review in part the final initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on December 13, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://>

edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on October 30, 2012, based upon a complaint filed by Avago Technologies Fiber IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; and Avago Technologies U.S. Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “Complainants”), alleging a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain optoelectronic devices for fiber optic communications, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,947,456 (“the ‘456 patent”) and 5,596,595 (“the ‘595 patent”). 77 FR 65713 (October 30, 2012). The Commission named IPtronics A/S of Roskilde, Denmark; IPtronics Inc. of Menlo Park, California; FCI USA, LLC, of Etters, Pennsylvania; FCI Deutschland GmbH of Berlin, Germany; FCI SA of Guyancourt, France; Mellanox Technologies, Inc. of Sunnyvale, California; and Mellanox Technologies Ltd. of Yokneam, Israel (collectively, “Respondents”) as respondents. The Commission also named the Office of Unfair Import Investigations as a party in this investigation.

The final ID on violation was issued on December 13, 2013. The ALJ issued his recommended determination on remedy, the public interest and bonding on the same day. The ALJ found that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain optoelectronic devices for fiber optic communications, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of the ‘595 patent. All the parties to this investigation filed timely petitions for review of various portions of the final ID, as well as timely responses to the petitions. The ALJ recommended that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order directed to Respondents’ accused products that infringe the ‘595 patent. The ALJ also recommended that the Commission issue a cease and desist order against the Mellanox and FCI respondents.

On January 15, 2014, Complainants filed a post-RD statement on the public

interest pursuant to Commission Rule 201.50(a)(4). On the same day, respondents Mellanox Technologies, Inc. and Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. also filed a submission pursuant to the rule. No responses from the public were received in response to the post-RD Commission Notice issued on December 16, 2013. See Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest (Dec. 16, 2013).

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ALJ’s final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID in part. In particular, the Commission has determined as follows:

(I) With respect to the ‘595 patent:

(a) To review the ALJ’s claim construction of the limitation “current-spreading layer” and infringement and domestic industry (technical prong) determinations relating to that limitation;

(b) to review the ALJ’s determinations with respect to whether Complainants met the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under subsections 337(a)(3)(A), 337(a)(3)(B), or 337(a)(3)(C).

(II) With respect to the ‘456 patent:

(a) To review the ALJ’s claim construction, infringement, and domestic industry (technical prong) determinations;

(b) to review the ALJ’s determinations with respect to whether Complainants met the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under subsections 337(a)(3)(A), 337(a)(3)(B), or 337(a)(3)(C).

The parties are requested to brief their positions on only the following issues, with reference to the applicable law and the evidentiary record:

(1) With respect to the ID’s determination regarding the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to both asserted patents in this investigation, discuss whether Complainants are permitted to rely upon their research and development investments to satisfy the requirements under section 337(a)(3)(A) and (B) or whether such investments are only applicable to establishing a domestic industry under section 337(a)(3)(C). Explain all relevant statutory provisions, case law, and Commission precedent pertaining to this issue. See ID at 201.

(2) With respect to the ‘595 patent, discuss Complainants’ investments in research and development attributed to their products relied upon for satisfying the economic prong of the domestic industry requirements as compared to their complete QSFP product line.