

and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity, except as described in Comment 2 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum;¹³ (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

¹³ Consistent with partial revocation of the order in *Section 129 Partial Revocation* and the dissolution of injunction pursuant to which incoming entries of subject merchandise from the ATM Single Entity remained suspended from liquidation, no cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties will be required for ATM, BGY, and HXF. See *Section 129 Partial Revocation*, 78 FR at 18959 n.10 and 18960 ("Accordingly, the Department will instruct CBP . . . to discontinue the collection of cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties for {ATM, BGY, and HXF}").

Dated: June 2, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Summary
Background
Company Abbreviations
Other Abbreviations
Diamond Sawblades Administrative Determinations and Results
Scope of the Order
Surrogate Country
Separate Rates
Discussion of the Issues
Separate Rate
Untimely Filed Separate Rate Applications
Value-Added Tax
Differential Pricing
Surrogate Values
Request To Apply Adverse Facts Available
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-13942 Filed 6-5-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-938]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period of review (POR) covering January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. These preliminary results cover Laiwu Taihe Biochemistry Co. Ltd. (Taihe). We preliminarily determine that Taihe received countervailable subsidies during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 8, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Eastwood or Shannon Morrison, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3874 or (202) 482-6274, respectively.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is citric acid and certain citrate salts. The product is currently classified

under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 2918.14.0000, 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000, 3824.90.9290, and 3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald K Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts; 2013" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/enforcement/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy (*i.e.*, a financial contribution from an authority that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient) and that the subsidy is specific.¹

In making these findings, we relied, in part, on facts otherwise available. Because the Government of the PRC did not act to the best of its ability to respond to the Department's requests for information, we used an adverse inference in selecting from among the facts otherwise available.² For further information, see "Use of Facts

¹ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

² See sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.

Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences” in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Finally, as discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum under “Programs for Which Additional Information is Required,” we require additional information to allow us to analyze whether the following programs are countervailable: “Environmental Tax Offset” and “National Support Fund for 2011 Energy Saving Project, Circulation Economy and Resource Conservation Project and Pollution Abatement Project.”

For a full description of the methodology underlying the Department’s conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine a net countervailable subsidy rate of 33.31 percent *ad valorem* for Taihe, for the period January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.³ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs to the Department no later than 30 days after the day on which these preliminary results are published in the **Federal Register**.⁴ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁵ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁶ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.⁷

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department’s electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁸ Requests

should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.⁹ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Instructions

The Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount shown above. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: June 1, 2015.

Ronald K Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
5. Subsidies Valuation Information
6. Analysis of Programs

7. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2015–13949 Filed 6–5–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–967]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aluminum extrusions from the People’s Republic of China (PRC).¹ The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2013 through April 30, 2014. These preliminary results cover 39 companies for which an administrative review was initiated and not rescinded.² The Department selected the following companies as mandatory respondents: Guangzhou Jangho Curtain Wall System Engineering Co., Ltd. and Jangho Curtain Wall Hong Kong Ltd. (collectively, Jangho), Union Industry (Asia) Co., Ltd. (Union), and Guang Ya Aluminium Industries Co., Ltd., Foshan Guangcheng Aluminium Co., Ltd., Kong Ah International Company Limited, and Guang Ya Aluminium Industries (Hong Kong) Ltd. (collectively, Guang Ya Group); Guangdong Zhongya Aluminium Company Limited, Zhongya Shaped Aluminium (HK) Holding Limited, and Karlton Aluminum Company Ltd. (collectively, Zhongya); and Xinya Aluminum & Stainless Steel Product Co., Ltd. (Xinya) (collectively, Guang Ya Group/Zhongya/Xinya).³ The

¹ The Department initiated this review on June 27, 2014. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 79 FR 36462 (June 27, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*).

² This administrative review initially covered 155 companies. See *Initiation Notice*. However, on January 29, 2015, the Department rescinded this review with respect to 116 companies. See *Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 80 FR 4868 (January 29, 2015).

³ In prior segments of this proceeding the Department found that the Guang Ya Group, Zhongya, and Xinya were affiliated with each other and should be treated as a single entity. See, e.g., *Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission, in Part*, 2010/12, 79 FR 96 (January 2, 2014) (2010–2012 *Final Results*) and *Aluminum Extrusions From the*

Continued

³ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.303.

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.310.