

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is brass sheet and strip, other than leaded and tinned brass sheet and strip, from France. The merchandise is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00.³

Final Results of Review

As noted above, the Department has received no comments concerning the *Preliminary Results* on the record of this segment of the proceeding. As there are no changes from, or comments upon, the *Preliminary Results*, there is no decision memorandum accompanying this **Federal Register** notice. For further details of the issues addressed in this proceeding, see *Preliminary Results*. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the period March 1, 2014, through February 28, 2015, is as follows:

Producer or exporter	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Griset SA	42.24
KME France SAS	42.24

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions for the companies subject to this review to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results. We shall instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 42.24 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Griset or KME France.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of brass sheet and strip from France entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after

the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For Griset or KME France, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, any previous review, or the original investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 42.24 percent *ad valorem*, the “all others” rate established in the LTFV investigation.⁴ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: March 9, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–05992 Filed 3–15–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–909]

Certain Steel Nails From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published the *Preliminary Results* of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) on September 4, 2015.¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculation for these final results regarding one of the mandatory respondents, Stanley.² We also continue to find that the other mandatory respondent, Shandong Oriental Cherry Hardware Group Co., Ltd. (“Shandong Oriental Cherry”), withheld requested information, significantly impeded this administrative review, and did not cooperate to the best of its ability. Accordingly, pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), we continue to apply total adverse facts available (“AFA”) to Shandong Oriental Cherry and find that it is not eligible for separate rate status and, thus, is part of the PRC-wide entity. The final dumping margins are listed below in the “Final Results of Administrative Review” section of this notice. The period of

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 53490 (September 4, 2015) (“*Preliminary Results*”) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² The Stanley Works (Langfang) Fastening Systems Co., Ltd. and Stanley Black & Decker, Inc. (collectively, “Stanley”).

Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas,” dated January 27, 2016. Therefore, the deadline for signature of these final results will be Tuesday, April 5, 2016.

³ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the 2014–2015 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Brass Sheet and Strip from France,” dated November 17, 2015.

⁴ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Brass Sheet and Strip From France*, 52 FR 6995 (March 6, 1987).

review (“POR”) is August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 16, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Hancock or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202-482-1394 or 202-482-2312, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the *Preliminary Results* on September 4, 2015.³ On December 21, 2015, the Department extended the deadline in this proceeding by 60 days.⁴ As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now March 7, 2016.⁵

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309, we invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. On October 30, 2015, Qingdao D&L, *et al.*,⁶ Nanjing Yuechang,⁷ National Nail,⁸ Petitioner,⁹ Shandong Oriental Cherry, and Tianjin Jinchi¹⁰ submitted timely-filed case briefs, pursuant to our regulations.¹¹

³ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁴ See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of the Sixth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” (December 21, 2015).

⁵ See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas,” (January 27, 2016).

⁶ Qingdao D&L Group Ltd. (“Qingdao D&L”), SDC International Aust. PTY. Ltd. (“SDC International”), Tianjin Lianda Group Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin Lianda”), and Tianjin Universal Machinery Import & Exp. Corporation (“Tianjin Universal”) (collectively, “Qingdao D&L, *et al.*”).

⁷ Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd. (“Nanjing Yuechang”).

⁸ National Nail Corp. (“National Nail”).

⁹ Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (“Petitioner”).

¹⁰ Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin Jinchi”).

¹¹ See Letter to the Secretary from Qingdao D&L, *et al.*, “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Case Brief” (October 30, 2015) (“Qingdao D&L, *et al.*’s Case Brief”); Letter to the Secretary from Nanjing Yuechang, “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Case Brief” (October 30, 2015) (“Nanjing Yuechang’s Case Brief”); Letter to the Secretary from National Nail, “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Case Brief” (October 30, 2015); Letter to the Secretary from Petitioner, “Certain

Additionally, on November 6, 2015, Petitioner and Stanley submitted timely-filed rebuttal briefs.¹² Moreover, on November 20, 2015, Stanley submitted its timely-filed case brief, pursuant to our regulations.¹³ Finally, on January 12, 2016, the Department held a public hearing where counsel for National Nail, Petitioner, Shandong Oriental Cherry, and Stanley presented issues raised in their case and rebuttal briefs.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes certain steel nails having a shaft length up to 12 inches. Certain steel nails subject to the order are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) subheadings 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65, 7317.00.75, and 7907.00.6000.¹⁴ While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order, which is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (“I&D Memo”), is dispositive.¹⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this review in the I&D Memo. Attached to

Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Case Brief” (October 30, 2015) (“Petitioner’s Case Brief”); Letter to the Secretary from Shandong Oriental Cherry, “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Case Brief,” (October 30, 2015) (“Shandong Oriental Cherry’s Case Brief”); and Letter to the Secretary from Tianjin Jinchi, “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Case Brief,” (October 30, 2015) (“Tianjin Jinchi’s Case Brief”).

¹² See Letter to the Secretary from Petitioner, “Certain Steel Nails from China: Petitioner’s Rebuttal Brief” (November 6, 2015) (“Petitioner’s Rebuttal Brief”); and Letter to the Secretary from Stanley, “Certain Steel Nails from China: Stanley’s Rebuttal Brief” (November 6, 2015) (“Stanley’s Rebuttal Brief”).

¹³ See Letter to the Secretary from Stanley, “Certain Steel Nails from China: Stanley’s Revised Case Brief” (November 20, 2015) (“Stanley’s Revised Case Brief”).

¹⁴ The Department recently added the Harmonized Tariff Schedule category 7907.00.6000, “Other articles of zinc: Other,” to the language of the *Order*. See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office 9, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding “Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Cobra Anchors Co. Ltd. Final Scope Ruling,” (September 19, 2013).

¹⁵ For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Sixth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China” (March 7, 2016) (“I&D Memo”) which is adopted by this notice.

this notice, in Appendix I, is a list of the issues which parties raised. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed I&D Memo and the electronic versions of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we revised the margin calculation for Stanley. Accordingly, for the final results, the Department has updated the margin to be assigned to companies eligible for a separate rate as the revised calculated margin of the sole mandatory respondent, Stanley, whose margin is not zero, *de minimis*, or based on facts available, unlike the other mandatory respondent, Shandong Oriental Cherry, whose margin is the PRC-wide entity rate of 118.04 percent. The Surrogate Values Memo contains further explanation of our changes to the surrogate values selected for Stanley’s factors of production.¹⁶ For a list of all issues addressed in these final results, please refer to Appendix I accompanying this notice.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily determined that Besco Machinery Industry (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd. (“Besco”), Certified Products International Inc. (“CPI”), Huanghua Jinhai Hardware Products Co., Ltd. (“Jinhai”), Huanghua Xionghua Hardware Products Co., Ltd. (“Huanghua Xionghua”), Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd. (“Yuechang”), PT Enterprise Inc., Qingdao Jisco Co., Ltd. and Jisco Corporation (collectively, “JISCO”),

¹⁶ See Memorandum to the File, through Paul Walker, Program Manager, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, from Julia Hancock, Senior International Trade Analyst, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, regarding Sixth Antidumping Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the People’s Republic of China: Surrogate Values for the Final Results, dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (“Surrogate Values Memo”).

Shanghai Jade Shuttle Hardware Tools Co., Ltd. (“Shanghai Jade Shuttle”), Shanghai Tengyu Hardware Tools Co., Ltd. (“Shanghai Tengyu”), Shanxi Yuci Broad Wire Products Co., Ltd. (“Shanxi Yuci”), and Zhejiang Gem-Chun Hardware Accessory Co., Ltd (“Gem-Chun”) did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. Consistent with the Department’s assessment

practice in non-market economy (“NME”) cases, we completed the review with respect to the above-named companies. Based on the certifications submitted by the aforementioned companies, and our analysis of CBP information, we continue to determine that these companies did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. As noted in the “Assessment Rates”

section below, the Department intends to issue appropriate instructions to CBP for the above-named companies based on the final results of this review.

Final Results of Administrative Review

The weighted-average dumping margins for the administrative review are as follows:

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Stanley	11.95
Chiieh Yung Metal Ind. Corp	11.95
Dezhou Hualude Hardware Products Co., Ltd	11.95
Hebei Cangzhou New Century Foreign Trade Co., Ltd	11.95
Nanjing Caiqing Hardware Co., Ltd	11.95
Qingdao D&L Group Ltd	11.95
SDC International Aust. PTY. Ltd	11.95
Shandong Dinglong Import & Export Co., Ltd	11.95
Shanghai Curvet Hardware Products Co., Ltd	11.95
Shanghai Yueda Nails Industry Co., Ltd	11.95
Shanxi Hairui Trade Co., Ltd	11.95
Shanxi Pioneer Hardware Industrial Co., Ltd	11.95
Shanxi Tianli Industries Co., Ltd	11.95
S-Mart (Tianjin) Technology Development Co., Ltd	11.95
Suntec Industries Co., Ltd	11.95
Tianjin Jinchu Metal Products Co., Ltd	11.95
Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry & Business Co., Ltd	11.95
Tianjin Lianda Group Co., Ltd	11.95
Tianjin Universal Machinery Imp. & Exp. Corporation	11.95
Tianjin Zhonglian Metals Ware Co., Ltd	11.95
Xi’an Metals & Minerals Import & Export Co., Ltd	11.95

In addition, the Department continues to find that the companies identified in Appendix to the Issues and Decision Memorandum, attached to this notice, are part of the PRC-wide entity.¹⁷

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer- (or customer specific *ad valorem*) rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total

entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).¹⁸ Where the Department calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.¹⁹ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.²⁰ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²¹ We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate.

Pursuant to the Department’s assessment practice, for entries that

were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide entity rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide entity rate.²²

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporter listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, *i.e.*, less than 0.5

¹⁷ The Department notes that a company, Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd. (“Yuechang”), is no longer being considered part of the PRC-wide entity, as discussed in Comment 13 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁹ *Id.*

²⁰ *Id.*

²¹ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

²² See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

percent, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-Wide rate of 118.04 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. The deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 7, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Withdrawal of the Regulatory Provisions Governing Targeted Dumping in Less-than-Fair-Value Investigations

Comment 2: Differential Pricing Methodology

Comment 3: Calculation of Separate Rate Margin

Comment 4: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available ("AFA") to Shandong Oriental Cherry

Comment 5: Granting a Separate Rate to the Shandong Oriental Cherry Entity

Comment 6: Rejection of Stanley's Case Brief

Comment 7: Surrogate Value for Stanley's Steel Wire Rod Input

Comment 8: Surrogate Value for Stanley's Plastic Granules

Comment 9: Treatment of Stanley's Rubber Bands

Comment 10: Use of Customer Code or Common Customer Code in the Cohen's *d* Test To Identify the Purchaser in Stanley's Margin Program

Comment 11: Granting of Separate Rates to Qingdao D&L, *et al.*

Comment 12: Tianjin Jinchi's Status in This Review

Comment 13: Yuechang's Status in This Review

V. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016-05994 Filed 3-15-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XC969

Draft Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing—Acoustic Threshold Levels for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), on behalf of NMFS and the National Ocean Service (referred collectively here as the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)), announces the availability of a document containing

proposed changes to its Draft Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing. The Guidance provides updated received levels, or thresholds, at which individual marine mammals under NOAA's management authority are predicted to experience changes in their hearing sensitivity (either temporary or permanent) for all underwater anthropogenic sound sources. NOAA has re-evaluated and modified several parts of the Draft Guidance and is soliciting public comment on the proposed changes.

DATES: Comments must be received by March 30, 2016.

ADDRESSES: The proposed changes to the Draft Guidance are available in electronic form via the Internet at <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/acoustics/>.

You may submit comments, which should be identified with NOAA-NMFS-2013-0177, by either of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions: Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Mail: Send comments to: Chief, Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Conservation Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910-3226, Attn: Acoustic Guidance.

Instructions: All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov> without change. All Personal Identifying Information (*e.g.*, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter N/A in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous). You may submit attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Scholik-Schlomer, Office of Protected Resources, 301-427-8449, Amy.Scholik@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NOAA has developed Draft Guidance for assessing the effects of anthropogenic sound on the hearing of marine mammal species under NOAA's jurisdiction (*i.e.*, whales, dolphins, porpoises, seals and sea lions). Specifically, the Guidance, which is technical in nature, identifies the received levels, or thresholds, at which individual marine mammals are