

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701–TA–462 and 731–TA–1156–1158 (Review) and 731–TA–1043–1045 (Second Review) (Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission on April 18, 2016.

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 28, 2016.

William R. Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–07358 Filed 3–29–16; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–991]

Certain Nanopores and Products Containing the Same: Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 23, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Illumina, Inc. of San Diego, California; University of Washington, of Seattle, Washington; and UAB Research Foundation of Birmingham, Alabama. A supplement to the complaint was filed on March 2, 2016. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain nanopores and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,673,550 (“the ‘550 patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 9,170,230 (“the ‘230 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States

exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, as supplemented, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2015).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 24, 2016, *Ordered That*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain nanopores and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 2–4, 7–9, 13–15, 17, 18, 20–22, 24, 26–28, 31–33, 35, 36, and 38–40 of the ‘550 patent and claims 1–31 of the ‘230 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other

interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
Illumina, Inc., 5200 Illumina Way, San Diego, CA 92122.
University of Washington, UW CoMotion, 4311 11th Avenue NE., Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98105.
UAB Research Foundation, 701 20th Street South, Administration Building 770, Birmingham, AL 35233.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Oxford Nanopore Technologies Ltd., Edmund Cartwright House, 4 Robert Robinson Avenue, Oxford Science Park, Oxford, OX4 4GA, United Kingdom.
Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Inc. 1 Kendall Square, Bldg 200, Cambridge, MA 02139.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to

the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 25, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016-07176 Filed 3-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Registration: Halo Pharmaceutical, Inc.

Correction

Notice document 2016-06532, beginning on page 15567 in the issue of Wednesday, March 23, 2016, was inadvertently published and is withdrawn from that issue.

[FR Doc. C1-2016-06532 Filed 3-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2016-0061]

In the Matter of All Operating Reactor Licensees

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Request for action; receipt.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is giving notice that by petition dated February 19, 2016, Roy Mathew, Sheila Ray, Swagata Som, Gurcharan Singh Matharu, Tania Martinez Navedo, Thomas Koshy, and Kenneth Miller (the petitioners) have requested that the NRC take action with regard to all current operating nuclear power plants. The petitioners' requests are included in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2016-0061 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search

for Docket ID NRC-2016-0061. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 19, 2016, the petitioners requested that the NRC take action with regard to all current operating nuclear power plants (ADAMS Accession No. ML16050A212). The petitioners requested that the NRC either: (1) Issue orders which require immediate corrective actions including compensatory measures to address the operability of electric power systems in accordance with their plant Technical Specifications, and to implement plant modifications in accordance with current NRC regulatory requirements and staff guidance provided in the references within the 2.206 petition, or (2) issue orders to immediately shutdown the nuclear power plants that are operating without addressing the significant design deficiency identified in NRC Bulletin 2012-01, "Design Vulnerability in Electric Power System," since the licensees are not in compliance with their Technical Specifications 3.8.1 (typical) requirements related to onsite and offsite power systems.

As the basis for this request, the petitioners refer to a Byron Station operating event, which led to the NRC's issuance of Information Notice 2012-03, "Design Vulnerability in Electric Power System," dated March 1, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML120480170). On July 27, 2012, the NRC issued Bulletin 2012-01, "Design Vulnerability in Electric Power System" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12074A115), to require that the addressees comprehensively verify their

compliance with the regulatory requirements of General Design Criteria (GDC) 17, "Electric Power System," in Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to 10 CFR part 50 or the applicable principal design criteria in the updated final safety analysis report; and the design criteria for protection systems under 10 CFR 50.55a(h)(2) and 10 CFR 50.55a(h)(3). All licensees provided a response to Bulletin 2012-01. The NRC staff conducted an analysis of these responses, and documented the details of its review in a summary report dated February 26, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13052A711). Based on the analysis, the NRC staff determined that some licensees may not fully comply with their site-specific license. The licensees began implementing compensatory measures and corrective actions that the NRC staff has been monitoring.

The request is being treated pursuant to § 2.206 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) of the Commission's regulations. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this petition within a reasonable time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of March 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William M. Dean,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2016-06940 Filed 3-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-275; Docket No. 50-323]

In the Matter of Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2); Notice of Appointment of Adjudicatory Employee

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.4, notice is hereby given that Dr. Tianqing Cao, Senior Seismologist, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has been appointed as a Commission adjudicatory employee within the meaning of section 2.4, to advise the Commission regarding issues relating to a pending appeal filed by petitioner Friends of the Earth. Dr. Cao has not previously performed any investigative or litigating function in connection with this proceeding. Until such time as a final decision is issued in this matter, interested persons outside the agency