

SOUTH DAKOTA**Clay County**

Bluff Historic District, Oak Pl., Court, Kidder,
Church & Bloomingdale Sts., Vermillion,
16000415

TENNESSEE**Davidson County**

Fire Hall for Engine Company No. 18, 1220
Gallatin Ave., Nashville, 16000416

UTAH**Salt Lake County**

Bourne, Ernie and Irmgard, House, (Mount
Olympus—Millcreek Community MPS)
3460 E. Ranch View Dr., Millcreek
Township, 16000418
Butler, Donald and Erma, House, (Mount
Olympus—Millcreek Community MPS)
3450 E. Ranch View Dr., Millcreek
Township, 16000419
Fish—Baughman House, (Mount Olympus—
Millcreek Community MPS) 3436 E. Ranch
View Dr., Millcreek Township, 16000420

WISCONSIN**Sheboygan County**

Downtown Plymouth Historic District,
Generally bounded by the 100, 200, 300 &
400 blks. of E. Mill St., Plymouth,
16000421

Authority: 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60

Dated: May 18, 2016.

J. Paul Loether,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2016–12982 Filed 6–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**National Park Service**

[NPS–WASO–NRNHL–21141;
PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]

**National Register of Historic Places;
Notification of Pending Nominations
and Related Actions**

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service is soliciting comments on the significance of properties nominated before May 21, 2016, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

DATES: Comments should be submitted by June 17, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent via U.S. Postal Service to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St. NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202–371–6447.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before May 21, 2016. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

CALIFORNIA**Santa Clara County**

Willow Glen Trestle over Los Gatos Creek,
On former Western Pacific RR. approx. 1/
4 mi. N. of jct. of Coe Ave. & Leona Ct.,
San Jose, 16000422

FLORIDA**Orange County**

Laughlin, James, House, 5538 Sydonie Dr.,
Mount Dora, 16000423

MASSACHUSETTS**Hampden County**

Chapin School, 40 Meadow St., Chicopee,
16000424

OREGON**Clackamas County**

Willamette National Cemetery, 11800 SE. Mt.
Scott Blvd., Portland, 16000426

PENNSYLVANIA**Philadelphia County**

Delaware Station of the Philadelphia Electric
Company, The, 1325 Beach St.,
Philadelphia, 16000427
Times Finishing Works, 1136–1148 N.
American St., Philadelphia, 16000428

WISCONSIN**Dane County**

Willow Drive Mounds and Habitation Site
Complex, (Late Woodland Stage in
Archeological Region 8 MPS) N. end of
Willow Dr., Madison, 16000430

Marathon County

Dells of the Eau Claire County Park, P2150
Cty. Rd. Y, Plover, 16000429

A request for removal was received for the following resource:

TENNESSEE**Marion County**

Marion Memorial Bridge, US 41 at Nickajack
Lake, Halletown, 07000930

Authority: 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60.

Dated: May 23, 2016.

J. Paul Loether,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2016–12984 Filed 6–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–959]

**Certain Electric Skin Care Devices,
Brushes and Chargers Therefor, and
Kits Containing the Same;
Commission Determination To Review
in Part an Initial Determination
Granting Complainant's Motion for
Summary Determination of Violation of
Section 337; Request for Written
Submissions on Remedy, the Public
Interest, and Bonding**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 42) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting complainant’s motion for summary determination of violation of section 337.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), on June 25, 2015, based on a complaint filed by Pacific Bioscience Laboratories, Inc. of Redmond, Washington (“PBL”). 80 FR 36576–77 (Jun. 25, 2015). The amended complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electric skin care devices, brushes and chargers therefor, and kits containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,320,691 and 7,386,906, and U.S. Design Patent No. D523,809. The complaint further alleges violations of section 337 by reason of trade dress infringement, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. *Id.* The complaint named numerous respondents. The Commission’s Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party.

During the course of the investigation, eight of the respondents were terminated by consent order: Nutra-Luxe M.D., LLC of Fort Myers, Florida (Order No. 10) (consent order issued Jan. 5, 2016); SkincarebyAlana of Dana Point, California (Order No. 11) (consent order issued Oct. 6, 2015); Unicos USA, Inc. of LaHabra, California (Order No. 15) (consent order issued Oct. 20, 2015); H2PRO Beautylife, Inc. of Placentia, California (Order No. 19) (consent order issued Oct. 22, 2015); Jewlz of New York, New York (Order No. 20) (consent order issued Oct. 22, 2015); Home Skinovations Inc. of Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada, and Home Skinovations Ltd. of Yokneam, Israel (Order No. 30) (consent order issued Dec. 23, 2015); and Accord Media, LLC of New York, New York (Order No. 31) (consent order issued Dec. 23, 2015). Respondent RN Ventures Ltd. of London, United Kingdom, was terminated based on a settlement agreement (Order No. 36) (*not reviewed* Feb. 4, 2016). Respondents Michael Todd LP and MTTO LLC, both of Port St. Lucie, Florida, were also terminated based on a settlement agreement (Order No. 37) (*not reviewed* Mar. 3, 2016).

The remaining ten respondents were found in default: Coreana Cosmetics Co., Ltd. of Chungcheongnam-do, Republic of Korea; Flageoli Classic Limited of Las Vegas, Nevada; Serious Skin Care, Inc. of Carson City, Nevada; Shanghai Anzikang Electric Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China; and Wenzhou Ai Er Electrical Technology Co., Ltd. of

Zhejiang, China (Order No. 13) (*not reviewed*, as modified by Order No. 15, Oct. 20, 2015); ANEX Corporation of Seoul, Republic of Korea; Korean Beauty Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea; and Our Family Jewels, Inc. of Parker, Colorado (Order No. 18) (*not reviewed* Oct. 22, 2015); Beauty Tech, Inc. of Coral Gables, Florida (Order No. 24) (*not reviewed* Nov. 13, 2015); and Xnovi Electronic Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (Order No. 32) (*not reviewed* Dec. 23, 2015) (collectively, “the defaulting Respondents”).

On February 18, 2016, complainant PBL filed a motion for summary determination of violation of Section 337 by the defaulting Respondents. The Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses were filed.

On April 11, 2016, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 42) granting complainant’s motion and making recommendations regarding remedy and bonding. The IA filed a timely petition for review-in-part of the ID. No other party petitioned for review of the ID. Complainant PBL filed a response in support of the IA’s petition. No other responses were filed.

The Commission has determined to review the ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the ID’s findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to the patent-based allegations, all issues related to violation of the asserted trade dress, and to correct certain minor typographical errors. The Commission does not request any submissions on the issues under review.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337–TA–360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (Dec. 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission’s action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission’s consideration. Complainant is further requested to provide the expiration dates of each of the asserted patents, and state the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused articles are imported. Complainant is also requested to supply the names of known importers of the infringing articles. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on June 9, 2016. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on June 16, 2016. Such submissions should address the ALJ’s recommended determinations on remedy and bonding which were made in Order No. 42. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to

the investigation number (“Inv. No. 337–TA–959”) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 26, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–12923 Filed 6–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–946]

Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to issue: (1) A general exclusion order (“GEO”) barring entry of certain ink cartridges and components thereof that infringe the patents asserted in this investigation; and (2) cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) directed against two domestic defaulting respondents. The

Commission has terminated this investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), on January 27, 2015, based on a complaint filed by Epson Portland Inc., Epson America, Inc. and Seiko Epson Corporation (collectively, “Epson,” or Complainants). 80 FR 4314–16 (Jan. 27, 2015). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,366,233 (“the ‘233 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,454,116 (“the ‘116 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,794,749 (“the ‘749 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,801,163 (“the ‘163 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,882,513 (“the ‘513 patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”) by numerous respondents. *Id.* In particular, the notice of investigation named the following nineteen entities as respondents: Zhuhai Nano Digital Technology Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; Nano Business & Technology, Inc. of Lake Oswego, Oregon; Zhuhai National Resources & Jingjie Imaging Products Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; Huebon Co. Ltd. of Hong Kong; Chancan Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Zhuhai Rich Imaging Technology Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; Shanghai Orink Infotech International Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China; Orink Infotech International Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Zinyaw LLC of Houston, Texas; Yotat Group Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Yotat (Zhuhai) Technology Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; Ourway Image Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; Kingway Image Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; Zhuhai Chinamate Technology Co., Ltd. of

Zhuhai, China; InkPro2day, LLC of Los Angeles, California; Dongguan OcBestjet Printer Consumables Co., Ltd. of Dongguan, China; OcBestjet Printer Consumables (HK) Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Aomya Printer Consumables (Zhuhai) Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; and Zhuhai Richeng Development Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China. The Commission’s Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party.

On June 18, 2015, the ALJ issued an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) finding in default respondents Huebon Co., Ltd., Chancan Co., Ltd.; Yotat Group Co., Ltd.; Ourway Image Co., Ltd.; Shanghai Orink Infotech International Co., Ltd.; Orink Infotech International Co., Ltd.; Kingway Image Co., Ltd.; Zhuhai Chinamate Technology Co., Ltd.; Yotat (Zhuhai) Technology Co., Ltd.; Zhuhai Richeng Development Co., Ltd.; Dongguan OcBestjet Printer Consumables Co., Ltd.; OcBestjet Printer Consumables (HK) Co., Ltd.; Zinyaw LLC; InkPro2day; LLC, Aomya Printer Consumables (Zhuhai) Co., Ltd.; Zhuhai National Resources & Jingjie Imaging Products Co., Ltd.; and Zhuhai Rich Imaging Technology Co., Ltd. (collectively, “the Defaulting Respondents”) (*not reviewed* Jul. 10, 2015). On July 8, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 10) terminating the investigation as to remaining named respondents Zhuhai Nano Digital Technology Co., Ltd. (China) and Nano Business and Technology, Inc. (USA) based on a settlement agreement and consent order (*not reviewed* Aug. 5, 2015).

All of the respondents in this investigation have either defaulted or entered into consent orders that have been approved by the Commission. On September 16, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 11) partially terminating the investigation based on Epson’s withdrawal of certain claims (*not reviewed* Oct. 15, 2015). Claims 1 and 10 of the ‘233 patent; claims 9, 14, 18, and 21 of the ‘116 patent; claims 1, 18, 49, and 60 of the ‘749 patent; claims 1 and 6 of the ‘163 patent; and claims 14, 15, and 19 of the ‘513 patent remain pending in this investigation. ID at 3.

On August 31, 2015, Epson filed a motion for summary determination of violation by the Defaulting Respondents. The IA filed a response in support of the motion on September 11, 2015. No respondent filed a response to the motion.

On October 28, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (order No. 12) granting Complainants’ motion for summary determination. No party petitioned for review of the ID. The Commission