associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

*Comment Date:* 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on August 17, 2016.

Dated: July 27, 2016.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–18575 Filed 8–4–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0242 and EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0226; FRL-9949-39]

Guidance for Pesticide Registrants on Pesticide Resistance Management Labeling and Guidance for Herbicide Resistance Management Labeling, Education, Training, and Stewardship; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA issued two notices in the Federal Register on June 3, 2016, each announcing the availability of a draft Pesticide Registration Notice (PR Notice) for review and comment: One entitled "Guidance for Pesticide **Registrants on Pesticide Resistance** Management Labeling" and the other entitled "Guidance for Herbicide Resistance Management Labeling, Education, Training, and Stewardship." In response to requests received, this document extends the comment period for 30 days, from August 2, 2016 to September 1, 2016. This is one of the busiest times of year for pest control experts and this will allow them extra time to complete their review and comment on the PRNs.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 1, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Follow the detailed instructions provided under **ADDRESSES** in the **Federal Register** documents of June 3, 2016 (81 FR 35766) (FRL–9946–52) and (81 FR 35767) (FRL–9946–53).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the Guidance for Pesticide Registrants on Pesticide Resistance Management Labeling; Notice of Availability, contact Nikhil Mallampalli, Biological and Economic Analysis Division (7503P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308-1924; email address: mallampalli.nikhil@epa.gov. For information on the Guidance for Herbicide Resistance Management Labeling, Education, Training, and Stewardship contact, Bill Chism, **Biological and Economic Analysis** Division (7503P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-8136; email address: chism.bill@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document extends the public comment period established in two Federal Register documents of June 3, 2016 (81 FR 35766) (FRL-9946-52) and (81 FR 35767) (FRL-9946-53), that each announced the availability of a draft PR Notice: One entitled "Guidance for Pesticide Registrants on Pesticide Resistance Management Labeling" and the other entitled "Guidance for Herbicide Resistance Management Labeling, Education, Training, and Stewardship." EPA is hereby extending the comment period, which was set to end on August 2, 2016, to now end September 1, 2016.

To submit comments, or access the docket, please follow the detailed instructions provided under **ADDRESSES** in the **Federal Register** documents of June 3, 2016. If you have questions, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.

Dated: July 21, 2016.

#### Wynne F. Miller,

Acting Director, Biological and Economic Analysis Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2016–17922 Filed 8–4–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### [ER-FRL-9028-4]

## Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7146 or *http://www.epa.gov/nepa*.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements (EISs)

Filed 07/25/2016 Through 07/29/2016 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

### Notice

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA's comment letters on EISs are available at: *http:// www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/ eisdata.html.* 

- EIS No. 20160177, Draft Supplement, FHWA, VA, Hampton Roads Crossing Study, Comment Period Ends: 09/19/ 2016, Contact: Edward Sundra 804– 775–3357
- EIS No. 20160178, Final, BLM, CO, Previously Issued Oil and Gas Leases in the White River National Forest, Review Period Ends: 09/06/2016, Contact: Gregory Larson 970–876– 9000
- EIS No. 20160179, Final, AFS, MT, Lower Yaak, OBrien, Sheep Project, Review Period Ends: 09/06/2016, Contact: Miles Friend 406–295–4693
- EIS No. 20160180, Final, FERC, TX, Golden Pass LNG Export Project, Review Period Ends: 09/06/2016, Contact: Eric Howard 202–502–6263
- EIS No. 20160181, Final, FERC, OH, Rover Pipeline, Panhandle Backhaul, and Trunkline Backhaul Projects, Review Period Ends: 09/06/2016, Contact: Kevin Bowman 202–502– 6287
- EIS No. 20160182, Final, BLM, CA, West of Devers Upgrade Project, Review Period Ends: 09/06/2016, Contact: Frank McMenimen 760–833–7150

Dated: August 2, 2016.

# Karin Leff,

Acting Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 2016–18661 Filed 8–4–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **EXPORT-IMPORT BANK**

[Public Notice: 2016-3024]

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Export-Import Bank of the United States.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review and Final Comments Request.

*Form Title:* EIB 92–51 Application for Special Buyer Credit Limit under the Multi-Buyer Export Credit Insurance Policy.

**SUMMARY:** The Export-Import Bank of the United States (EXIM Bank), as a part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal Agencies to comment on the proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

The Application for Special Buyer Credit Limit under the Multi-Buyer Export Credit Insurance Policy is used by policyholders, the majority of whom are U.S. small businesses, who export U.S. goods and services. This application provides EXIM Bank with the credit information necessary to make a determination of eligibility of a transaction for EXIM Bank support with a foreign buyer credit request and to obtain legislatively required assurance of repayment and fulfills other statutory requirements.

The application can be reviewed at: http://www.exim.gov/sites/default/files/ pub/pending/eib-92-51.pdf Application for Special Buyer Credit Limit Multibuyer Credit Insurance Policy.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before September 6, 2016 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically on *WWW.REGULATIONS.GOV* or by mail to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20038 Attn: OMB 3048–0015.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Titles and Form Number:* EIB 92–51 Application for Special buyer credit Limit Multi-buyer Credit Insurance Policy.

OMB Number: 3048–0015.

Type of Review: Regular. Need and Use: The information requested enables the applicant to provide EXIM Bank with the information necessary to obtain legislatively required assurance of repayment and fulfills other statutory requirements.

The only change to this form is to move a question about the buyer to an earlier section of the form. No new information is being collected.

### Affected Public

This form affects entities involved in the export of U.S. goods and services.

The number of respondents: 4,300.

*Estimated time per respondents:* 25 minutes.

*The frequency of response:* As needed.

Annual hour burden: 1,792 total hours.

#### **Government Expenses**

Reviewing time per hour: 1 hour. Responses per year: 4,300. Reviewing time per year: 4,300 hours. Average Wages per hour: \$42.50. Average cost per year (time \* wages): \$182,750.

Benefits and overhead: 20%. Total Government Cost: \$219,300.

#### Bonita Jones-McNeil,

Program Analyst, Agency Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2016–18636 Filed 8–4–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0707]

### Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Delegated Authority

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

**DATES:** Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before October 4, 2016. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email *PRA@ fcc.gov* and to *Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov*.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control Number:* 3060–0707. *Title:* Over-the-Air Reception Devices (OTARD).

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* State or Local, or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 77 respondents; 77 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 2–6 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting; third party disclosure.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in Section 207 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

*Total Annual Burden:* 288 hours. *Total Annual Cost:* 17,100.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* No impact.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Needs and Uses: Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act") directs the Commission to promulgate rules prohibiting restrictions on viewers' ability to receive over-theair signals by television broadcast, multichannel multipoint distribution, or direct broadcast satellite services.

In a Report and Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CS Docket No. 96–83, FCC 96–328, released August 6, 1996, the Commission fully implemented Section 207 of the 1996 Act by adopting final rules for a preemption of state, local and nongovernmental regulations that impair viewers ability to receive over-the-air signals. In doing so, the FCC acknowledged the necessity of allowing