Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of September 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Marc L. Dapas,

Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2016–24598 Filed 10–11–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service[™]. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List. **DATES:** *Effective date:* October 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on October 5, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 246 to Competitive Product List.* Documents are available at *www.prc.gov*, Docket Nos. MC2017–2, CP2017–2.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Compliance. [FR Doc. 2016–24562 Filed 10–11–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List. DATES: Effective date: October 12, 2016. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on October 5, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 247 to Competitive Product List. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2017–3, CP2017–3.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Compliance. [FR Doc. 2016–24560 Filed 10–11–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, & First-Class Package Service Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List. DATES: Effective date: October 12, 2016. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202-268-3179. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on October 5, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, & First-Class Package Service Contract 11 to Competitive Product List. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2017-4, CP2017-4.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Compliance. [FR Doc. 2016–24558 Filed 10–11–16; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7710–12–P**

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List. **DATES:** *Effective date:* October 12, 2016. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The

United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on October 5, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 245 to Competitive Product List.* Documents are available at *www.prc.gov*, Docket Nos. MC2017–1, CP2017–1.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Compliance. [FR Doc. 2016–24561 Filed 10–11–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

PRESIDIO TRUST

Notice of Renewal of the Charter of the Presidio Institute Advisory Council

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust. **ACTION:** Notice of renewal of the charter of the Presidio Institute Advisory Council.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), the Chief Executive Officer of the Presidio Trust announces the intent to renew the charter of the Presidio Institute Advisory Council ("Council"). The Council was formed to advise the Chief Executive Officer of the Presidio Trust ("Trust") on matters pertaining to the rehabilitation and reuse of Fort Winfield Scott as a new national center focused on service and leadership development.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Trust's Chief Executive Officer, in consultation with the Chair of the Board of Directors, has determined that the Council is in the public interest and supports the Trust in performing its duties and responsibilities under the Presidio Trust Act, 16 U.S.C. 460bb appendix.

The Council will continue to advise on the establishment of a new national center ("Presidio Institute") focused on service and leadership development, with specific emphasis on: (a) Assessing the role and key opportunities of a national center dedicated to service and leadership at Fort Scott in the Presidio of San Francisco; (b) providing recommendations related to the Presidio Institute's programmatic goals, target audiences, content, implementation and evaluation; (c) providing guidance on a phased development approach that leverages a combination of funding sources including philanthropy; and (d) making recommendations on how to structure the Presidio Institute's business model to best achieve the

Presidio Institute's mission and ensure long-term financial self-sufficiency.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Additional information is available online at http://www.presidio.gov/ institute/about/Pages/advisorycouncil.aspx.

Dated: October 5, 2016.

Andrea M. Andersen,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2016–24585 Filed 10–11–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–4R–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–79047; File No. SR–Phlx– 2016–96]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Options Floor Procedure Advice F–1, Entitled "Use of Identification Letters and Numbers."

October 5, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 23, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Options Floor Procedure Advice F–1, entitled "Use of Identification Letters and Numbers."

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at

http://nasdaqphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements. A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Options Floor Procedure Advice ("OFPA") F-1, entitled "Use of Identification Letters and Numbers" to eliminate the current fine schedule. Pursuant to this OFPA F-1, today, all Specialists, ROTs, and Floor Brokers must use the complete alpha/numeric identification assigned by the Exchange. Specifically, all Floor Brokers or their employees must indicate their complete alpha/numeric identifiers on the **Options Floor Broker Management** System ("FBMS") for each order they receive and represent in the trading crowd. The FMBS system is automated and requires this field to be completed before the transaction may be submitted. These numbers are important because they represent the parties to the particular transaction for purposes of audit trail. clearance and settlement of that transaction. This information is submitted to The Options Clearing Corporation at the end of the day to complete the back-office portion of the transaction. The information is available to and reviewed by both parties to the transaction. The Exchange currently has a fine schedule for violations of OFPA F-1 as follows:

FINE SCHEDULE

[Implemented on a two-year running calendar basis]

2nd Occurrence 3rd Occurrence	\$250.00. \$500.00. \$1,000.00. Sanction is discretionary with Business Conduct Committee.
----------------------------------	---

The Exchange notes that the violations of this rule today consist of inadvertent failures to include the requisite alpha/numeric identification.³ These errors are corrected post-trade, by end of day by the party in error. The Floor Brokers receive inter-day reports which allow them to review this information and determine if any errors occurred. Also, the contra-party to the transaction may alert the Floor Broker that an error occurred. The Exchange notes that where errors have been identified through surveillance, it has not witnessed any manipulative conduct, rather the error was an

inadvertent data entry error, which was subsequently corrected.

By way of background, the Exchange notes that when the floor operated with more manual procedures and inter-day reports were not available, these types of error occurred with more frequency. The Exchange at that time employed a greater number of staff employees on the Exchange floor when the population on the floor was also at greater numbers. The amount of time that staff was devoted to assisting with these types of errors placed an administrative burden on the Exchange and presented an administrative cost to the Exchange to employ staff to assist with clerical

 3 For example in 2016, the Exchange statistically had .00004% of violations of this minor rule. In

errors. The fine at that time was justified to prevent a greater number of violations and also to support the amount of regulatory resources that were required to surveil for such violations and assist in the correction of errors.

Today, the automated processes and inter-day reports alleviate many of the issues that previously existed, including the burden on staff to correct errors. The Exchange does not believe that a single error necessitates the imposition of a \$250.00 fine, for example, where a data entry error occurred and was corrected by the firm.

The Exchange proposes to eliminate the current fine schedule and instead

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.

^{2015,} the Exchange statistically had .00003% of violations of this minor rule.