Dated: March 6, 2017.

#### Hal R. Pitts,

Bridge Program Manager, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2017–05648 Filed 3–21–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2016-0727; FRL-9960-32-Region 9]

Limited Federal Implementation Plan; Prevention of Significant Deterioration Requirements for Fine Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>); California; North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is finalizing a limited Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) under the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) to apply to the North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District (North Coast Unified AQMD or District) in California. This limited FIP will implement provisions to regulate fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) under the CAA Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program within the District. The EPA previously issued two findings of failure to submit a State Implementation Plan (SIP) addressing these PSD requirements and also issued a partial disapproval action applicable to the North Coast Unified AQMD portion of the California SIP that triggered the duty under CAA section 110(c)(1) for the EPA to promulgate this limited FIP. Under this final rule, the EPA will be the CAA PSD permitting authority for any new or modified major sources subject to PSD review for PM<sub>2.5</sub>

**DATES:** This rule is effective on April 21, 2017.

or its precursors within the District.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established Docket ID Number EPA—R09—OAR—2016—0727 for this action. All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index for this rulemaking. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available (e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute). Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at

www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 during normal business hours. For security purposes, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section during normal business hours to view a hard copy of the docket.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laura Yannayon, (415) 972–3534 or yannayon.laura@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, the terms "we," "us," and "our" refer to the EPA.

# **Table of Contents**

I. Proposed Action
II. Public Comments
III. EPA Action

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. Proposed Action

On December 22, 2016 (81 FR 93872), the EPA proposed a limited FIP for the North Coast Unified AOMD in California, which would apply the EPA's PSD regulatory program under 40 CFR 52.21 specifically to sources in the District subject to PSD review for emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors. CAA section 110(c)(1) requires the EPA Administrator to promulgate a FIP at any time within two years after the Administrator either finds that a state has failed to make a required SIP submission or disapproves a state's SIP in whole or in part, unless the state submits and the EPA approves a SIP that corrects the deficiency before the Administrator promulgates the FIP. In this case, as discussed in the EPA's proposal for this limited FIP action, the EPA is required to promulgate this FIP for sources subject to PSD review for emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors in the North Coast Unified AQMD in order to address SIP deficiencies relating to the PSD requirements for such sources that EPA identified in earlier actions; California has not submitted revised rules that resolve these deficiencies and thus we have not approved a SIP submittal for the North Coast Unified AQMD to correct these deficiencies.

The requirement that the EPA promulgate this limited FIP for the North Coast Unified AQMD stems from several actions taken previously by the EPA in accordance with CAA requirements. In 2008, the EPA promulgated a rulemaking finalizing regulations to implement the New Source Review program for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (PM<sub>2.5</sub> NSR Rule). The PM<sub>2.5</sub> NSR Rule

required, among other things, that states develop SIPs addressing the PSD permitting requirements for the regulation of major stationary sources and major modifications of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, including such sources emitting precursors of  $PM_{2.5}$ . In 2010, the EPA promulgated a rulemaking amending the PSD program regulations for PM<sub>2.5</sub> to add provisions governing the maximum allowable increases in ambient pollutant concentrations (increments), with which new major stationary sources and major modifications of PM<sub>2.5</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursor emissions must demonstrate compliance as a condition of obtaining a PSD permit (PM<sub>2.5</sub> Increments Rule).<sup>2</sup> The PM<sub>2.5</sub> Increments Rule requires states to submit SIPs modifying their PSD permitting regulations to incorporate the PM<sub>2.5</sub> increment provisions.

On January 15, 2013, the EPA issued a finding of failure to submit for the State of California in which it found that California had failed to make an infrastructure <sup>3</sup> SIP submittal providing certain required basic program elements of CAA section 110(a)(2) that are necessary to implement the 2008 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS).4 Relevant here, the EPA found that California had not submitted a SIP to address the PSD permitting requirements of CAA section 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i)(II), and (J) for areas including the North Coast Unified AQMD. That finding resulted in a deadline of February 14, 2015, for the EPA to promulgate a FIP pursuant to CAA section 110(c)(1) to address the outstanding SIP elements unless, prior to that time, the State submitted, and the EPA approved, a SIP that corrected the identified deficiencies.5

On April 1, 2016, the EPA published a final rule partially approving and partially disapproving several CAA infrastructure SIP revisions submitted by the State of California related to the implementation, maintenance and enforcement of the NAAQS for ozone,  $PM_{2.5}$ , lead, nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). We partially

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Implementation of the New Source Review (NSR) Program for Particulate Matter Less than 2.5 Micrometers (PM $_{\!2}$ ), 73 FR 28321 (May 16, 2008).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) for Particulate Matter Less than 2.5 Micrometers (PM $_{2.5}$ )—Increments, Significant Impact Levels (SILs) and Significant Monitoring Concentrations (SMC), 75 FR 64864 (Oct. 20, 2010). The PM $_{2.5}$  Increments Rule also promulgated several optional revisions to the PSD permitting program which are not addressed in this notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We refer to such SIP revision submittals as "infrastructure" SIPs because they are intended to address the basic structural SIP requirements for new or revised NAAQS.

<sup>478</sup> FR 2882, 2889.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 78 FR at 2886.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>81 FR 18766.

disapproved a portion of these infrastructure SIP submittals as they pertained to the North Coast Unified AQMD with respect to the PSD-related requirements of CAA sections 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i)(II), and (J) for all of these NAAQS, in part because we found that the District's SIP-approved PSD program did not include requirements for the regulation of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ precursors, condensable PM2.5, or PSD increments for PM<sub>2.5</sub>.7 This infrastructure SIP partial disapproval action also triggered a duty for the EPA to promulgate a FIP pursuant to CAA section 110(c)(1) to address the identified deficiencies related to the District's PSD program for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, unless, prior to that time, the State submitted, and the EPA approved, a SIP that corrected the identified deficiencies.8 The State has not submitted a SIP revision that would correct the North Coast Unified AQMD's SIP deficiencies relating to the PSD program for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and therefore EPA has not approved such a SIP revision. Thus, for these PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD requirements, the EPA remains subject to the duty to promulgate a FIP for the District that was triggered by our January 15, 2013 finding of failure to submit and our April 1, 2016 partial disapproval action for the infrastructure SIP requirements for the NAAQS discussed above.

On September 2, 2014, the EPA published a final rule finding that the North Coast Unified AQMD had failed to make a complete submittal to address new requirements for PM<sub>2.5</sub> increments in its PSD program as required by implementing regulations that the EPA promulgated on October 20, 2010.9 That finding resulted in a duty and a deadline of October 2, 2016 for the EPA to promulgate a FIP pursuant to CAA section 110(c)(1) to address these outstanding SIP elements unless, prior to that time, the State submitted, and the EPA approved, a SIP that corrected the identified deficiencies. As noted above, the EPA has not approved a SIP revision for California that would address the requirements for PM<sub>2.5</sub> increments in the PSD program for the

North Coast Unified AQMD, thus the EPA remains subject to the requirement that it promulgate a FIP to do so.

In sum, the EPA has not approved a PSD SIP revision for California that would address the District's PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD program SIP deficiencies identified in the January 15, 2013, September 2, 2014, and April 1, 2016 EPA actions discussed above. Accordingly, as authorized by CAA section 110(c)(1), the EPA proposed to promulgate a limited FIP for the North Coast Unified AQMD in order to address the identified deficiencies in the State's PSD program with respect to the regulation of major stationary sources and major modifications of sources subject to PSD review for emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors.

#### II. Public Comments

The EPA's proposed FIP action provided a 30-day public comment period, which closed on January 23, 2017. The EPA also preliminarily scheduled a public hearing for January 13, 2017 to receive written and oral comments on our proposed action, which we stated would be held only if we received a written request for such a hearing by December 29, 2016. No one requested such a hearing during this period and therefore the hearing was canceled. During the public comment period, we received no comments on our proposed action.

## III. EPA Action

Under CAA section 110(c)(1) and for the reasons discussed in our December 22, 2016 proposed rule and in the Proposed Action section of this notice, we are finalizing the limited PSD FIP for the North Coast Unified AQMD as proposed. CAA section 110(c)(1) requires the Administrator to promulgate a FIP at any time within two vears after the Administrator either finds that a state has failed to make a required submission or disapproves a state's SIP in whole or in part, unless the state submits and the EPA approves a SIP that corrects the deficiency before the Administrator promulgates a FIP. As indicated earlier in this notice, the EPA has not approved a PSD SIP revision for California to regulate PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors in the North Coast Unified AQMD that would address the District's PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD program deficiencies identified in the January 15, 2013, September 2, 2014, and April 1, 2016 EPA actions discussed above. Accordingly, as authorized by CAA section 110(c)(1), the EPA is promulgating a limited FIP for the North Coast Unified AQMD in order to address the identified deficiencies in the State's

PSD program with respect to the regulation of major stationary sources and major modifications of sources subject to PSD review for emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$  or  $PM_{2.5}$  precursors.

This limited FIP consists of the EPA regulations found in 40 CFR 52.21, including the PSD applicability provisions, with a limitation to assure that, strictly for purposes of this rulemaking, the FIP applies only to the regulation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors. Accordingly, for the purposes of ensuring compliance with the PSD permitting requirements with respect to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors for sources within the North Coast Unified AQMD, the EPA will serve as the PSD permitting authority.

The EPA has previously promulgated limited CAA PSD FIPs for the North Coast Unified AQMD to implement the federal PSD permitting program under 40 CFR 52.21 for certain other sources and pollutants, including the PSD program as it regulates oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) as an ozone precursor, as discussed above; these limited FIPs remain in effect. See 40 CFR 52.270(b)(2). The EPA and the District have entered into partial delegation agreements pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21(u), dated January 8, 1993 and October 6, 2015, whereby the EPA has delegated authority to the District to conduct PSD review for certain sources subject to these limited PSD FIPs. The District may similarly seek a partial delegation of authority from the EPA, pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21(u), to conduct PSD review for the sources regulated under this limited PSD FIP. For all other major emitting facilities and pollutants not covered by the limited PSD FIPs applicable to the District as specified in 40 CFR 52.270(b)(2), the North Coast Unified AQMD will continue to serve as the PSD permitting authority under its

SIP-approved PSD program.
This limited FIP is narrow in scope, in that it will only address the PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD deficiencies for the District that were identified in our 2016 infrastructure SIP partial disapproval action. We note that such deficiencies include the deficiencies for PSD requirements for  $PM_{2.5}$  increments that were also the focus of the EPA's September 2, 2014 finding of failure to submit action. Today's final limited FIP action will satisfy the remaining FIP requirements for the North Coast Unified AQMD that were triggered by our January 15, 2013 finding of failure to submit relating to ozone infrastructure SIP requirements; our September 2, 2014 finding of failure to submit related to the District's PSD requirements for PM<sub>2.5</sub> increments; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The EPA's April 1, 2016 partial disapproval action for infrastructure SIP requirements in CAA sections 110(a)(2)(C), (D)(i)(II), and (J) for the North Coast Unified AQMD was also based on the EPA's finding that the District's SIP-approved PSD program did not regulate oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) as an ozone precursor. 81 FR at 18773. However, we noted in that action that the EPA had already promulgated a limited FIP on August 8, 2011 to remedy that SIP deficiency, and thus our 2016 partial disapproval action did not trigger a new PSD FIP obligation related to NO<sub>X</sub> as an ozone precursor. See 81 FR at 18773, 18775; see also 76 FR 48006 (Aug. 8, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See 81 FR at 18775-18776.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 79 FR 51913.

our April 1, 2016 partial disapproval action for the infrastructure SIP requirements for the NAAQS for ozone, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, lead, NO<sub>2</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>. This limited FIP will be codified in 40 CFR 52.270(b)(2)(v).

This limited FIP will remain in place until California submits a SIP revision addressing the identified deficiencies relating to the District's PSD program for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and we approve that SIP revision. The EPA is working with the North Coast Unified AQMD to develop District rules that would address these requirements.

# IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Additional information about these statutes and Executive Orders can be found at http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/laws-and-executive-orders.

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning, and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

This action is not a significant regulatory action and therefore was not submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review.

## B. Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not impose any new information collection burden. The OMB has previously approved the information collection requirements contained in the existing regulations for PSD (e.g., 40 CFR 52.21) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. and has assigned OMB control number 2060–0003. The OMB control numbers for the EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

# C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the RFA. The small entities subject to the requirements of this action are a single biomass generating facility, which is currently not operating. The Agency has determined that this single facility may experience an impact associated with the requirements of this action, but only in the event that the facility elects to significantly expand its operations. The EPA is not aware of any specific new sources that would be subject to regulation under this action in the future. We expect a negligible financial impact on any facilities subject to the requirements of this action because any such facility would be subject to substantially similar, and in some respects more stringent, regulatory

requirements that are already in effect under state and federal law.

# D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

This action does not contain an unfunded mandate of \$100 million or more as described in UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531-1538, and does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. While the EPA's action will lead to the application of federal PSD regulations for PM<sub>2.5</sub> to sources within the North Coast Unified AQMD, general PSD requirements for major emitting facilities with emissions of other regulated NSR pollutants already apply within the District, and thus the incremental impact associated with application of the specific requirements of the PSD regulations for certain sources emitting PM<sub>2.5</sub> or its precursors is expected to be relatively minor. In addition, there are few major emitting facilities currently located in the District that would be subject to the requirements of the FIP. The EPA is not aware of any specific new sources that would be subject to regulation under our narrow FIP in the future. Accordingly, the EPA has determined that this action does not contain an unfunded mandate of \$100 million or more as described in UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531-1538, and that it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments.

# E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

## F. Executive Order 13175: Coordination and Consultation With Indian Tribal Governments

This action does not have tribal implications, as specified in Executive Order 13175. It will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes. The FIP is not applicable on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175.

Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

The EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern environmental health or safety risks that the EPA has reason to believe may disproportionately affect children, per the definition of "covered regulatory action" in section 2-202 of the Executive Order. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because, as a limited FIP establishing PSD regulatory requirements for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS for certain sources located in the North Coast Unified AQMD, it implements a previously promulgated federal standard.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

This rulemaking does not involve technical standards.

J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations

The EPA believes that this action does not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority populations, lowincome populations and/or indigenous peoples, as specified in Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). This action does not affect the level of protection provided to human health or the environment. With this action, the EPA is only implementing the PSD permitting requirements mandated by the CAA in order to ensure compliance with the PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS and PM<sub>2.5</sub> increments, which were promulgated in separate, prior rulemaking actions.

# K. Congressional Review Act

This action is subject to the CRA, and the EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

## L. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States

Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 22, 2017. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section CAA 307(b)(2)).

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Environmental protection, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: March 14, 2017.

#### E. Scott Pruitt,

Administrator.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.270 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(2)(v) to read as follows:

# § 52.270 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(1) \* \* \*

- (b) \* \* \*
- (2) \* \* \*
- (v) Those projects that are major stationary sources or major modifications for emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$  or its precursors under § 52.21, and those projects that are major stationary sources under § 52.21 with the potential to emit  $PM_{2.5}$  or its precursors at a rate that would meet or exceed the rates specified at § 52.21(b)(23)(i).

[FR Doc. 2017–05557 Filed 3–21–17; 8:45 am]

\* \* \* \*

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2015-0248; FRL-9957-89-Region 4]

# Air Plan Approval; Georgia; Atlanta; Requirements for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking direct final action to approve the portion of a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted on February 6, 2015, by the State of Georgia, through the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GA EPD), addressing the nonattainment new source review (NNSR) requirements for the 2008 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the Atlanta, Georgia 2008 8-hour ozone nonattainment area (hereinafter referred to as the "Atlanta Area" or "Area"). The Atlanta Area is comprised of 15 counties in Atlanta (Bartow, Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, Coweta, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Forsyth, Fulton, Gwinnett, Henry, Newton, Paulding, and Rockdale). This action is being taken pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and its implementing regulations.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective May 22, 2017 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by April 21, 2017. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2015-0248 at http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For

additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <a href="http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets/">http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets</a>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Sheckler of the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Mrs. Sheckler can be reached by telephone at (404) 562–9222 or via electronic mail at sheckler.kelly@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On March 12, 2008, EPA promulgated a revised 8-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.075 parts per million (ppm). See 73 FR 16436 (March 27, 2008). Under EPA's regulations at 40 CFR 50.15, the 2008 8hour ozone NAAQS is attained when the 3-year average of the annual fourthhighest daily maximum 8-hour average ambient air quality ozone concentrations is less than or equal to 0.075 ppm. Ambient air quality monitoring data for the 3-year period must meet a data completeness requirement. The ambient air quality monitoring data completeness requirement is met when the average percent of days with valid ambient monitoring data is greater than 90 percent, and no single year has less than 75 percent data completeness as determined in appendix I of part 50.

Upon promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, the CAA requires EPA to designate as nonattainment any area that is violating the NAAQS based on the three most recent years of ambient air quality data at the conclusion of the designation process. The Atlanta Area was designated nonattainment for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS on April 30, 2012 (effective July 20, 2012) using 2009–2011 ambient air quality data. See 77 FR 30088 (May 21, 2012). At the time of designation, the Atlanta Area was classified as a marginal nonattainment area. On March 6, 2015, EPA issued a final rule entitled, "Implementation of the 2008 National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone: State Implementation Plan Requirements" (SIP Requirements Rule), which establishes the requirements that state, tribal, and local air quality management agencies must meet as they develop implementation plans for areas where air quality exceeds the 2008 8-hour