

respect to 194 companies.<sup>3</sup> On April 21, 2017, the petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review for 191 out of 194 companies.<sup>4</sup> See the *Initiation Notice* for the full list of companies for which the Department initiated a review. No other party requested an administrative review of this order.

#### Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, the petitioners timely withdrew their review request, in part, by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter graphite electrodes from the PRC for the period February 1, 2016, through January 31, 2017, with respect to the 191 for which all review requests were withdrawn. The review will continue with respect to the remaining three companies: (1) Fangda Group;<sup>5</sup> (2) Fushun Jinly Petrochemical Carbon Co., Ltd.; and (3) Xuzhou Jianglong Carbon Products Co., Ltd.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 17188 (April 10, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>4</sup> See the petitioners' submission, "Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China—Petitioners' Withdrawal of Certain Requests for Review and Respondent Selection Comments," dated April 20, 2017.

<sup>5</sup> The Fangda Group consists of Beijing Fangda Carbon Tech Co., Ltd., Chengdu Rongguang Carbon Co., Ltd., Fangda Carbon New Material Co., Ltd., Fushun Carbon Co., Ltd., and Hefei Carbon Co., Ltd.

certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We intend to issue and publish this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 31, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2017-11670 Filed 6-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-813]

#### Certain Preserved Mushrooms From India: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms (mushrooms) from India for the period of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017.

**DATES:** Effective June 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katherine Johnson or Denisa Ursu, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; Telephone: (202) 482-4929 or (202) 482-2285, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On February 8, 2017, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India for the period of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017.<sup>1</sup>

On February 28 2017, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department received a timely request from Himalya International Limited and Himalya International Inc. (collectively, Himalya) to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on mushrooms from India manufactured and exported by Himalya International Limited.<sup>2</sup>

On April 10, 2017, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order.<sup>3</sup> This administrative review covers Himalya during the period February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017. On May 16, 2017, Himalya timely withdrew its request for an administrative review.<sup>4</sup>

#### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. Himalya withdrew its review request before the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. Therefore, in response to the timely withdrawal of the review request, the Department is rescinding in its entirety the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on mushrooms from India for the review period February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 82 FR 9709 (February 8, 2017).

<sup>2</sup> See Himalya's letter, "Preserved Mushrooms from India—Request for Administrative Review of Himalya International Inc & Himalya International Limited," dated February 28, 2017.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 17188 (April 10, 2017).

<sup>4</sup> See Himalya's letter, "Certain Preserved Mushrooms from India: Withdraw of Admin Review Request Made on February 28th, 2017" dated May 16, 2017.

assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers whose entries will be liquidated as a result of this rescission notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 31, 2017.

#### Gary Taverman,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2017-11671 Filed 6-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-552-818]

#### Certain Steel Nails From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam). The period of review (POR) is December 29, 2014, through June 30, 2016. The Department preliminarily determines that Truong Vinh Ltd. (Truong Vinh), Rich State, Inc. (Rich State), and Dicha Sombrilla Co., Ltd. (Dicha Sombrilla) did not demonstrate their eligibility for a separate rate and are, therefore, part of the Vietnam-wide entity. Further, because Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (the petitioner) withdrew its request for review of eight companies, we are rescinding the administrative review with regard to them. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective June 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chelsey Simonovich or Mark Flessner, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1979 or (202) 482-6312, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 13, 2015, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from Vietnam.<sup>1</sup> On July 5, 2016, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an opportunity to request administrative review of the *Order*.<sup>2</sup> The Department received requests for a review of 11 companies.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 80 FR 39994 (July 13, 2015) (the *Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 81 FR 43584 (July 5, 2016).

<sup>3</sup> See Letter from Amifast Corporation, "Amifast Corporation; Request for Administrative Review;

On September 12, 2016, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this review, covering the above-referenced companies.<sup>4</sup> On September 28, 2016, the Department placed entry data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) on the record of this review.<sup>5</sup> On October 18, 2016, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaires to Truong Vinh and Rich State. On November 8, 2016, both companies, in a joint submission, stated that neither intended to respond to the Department's questionnaires.<sup>6</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is certain steel nails having a nominal shaft length not exceeding 12 inches. Certain steel nails subject to this order are currently classified under HTSUS subheadings 7317.00.55.02, 7317.00.55.03, 7317.00.55.05, 7317.00.55.07, 7317.00.55.08, 7317.00.55.11, 7317.00.55.18, 7317.00.55.19, 7317.00.55.20, 7317.00.55.30, 7317.00.55.40, 7317.00.55.50, 7317.00.55.60, 7317.00.55.70, 7317.00.55.80, 7317.00.55.90, 7317.00.65.30, 7317.00.65.60 and 7317.00.75.00. Certain steel nails subject to this order also may be classified under HTSUS subheadings 7907.00.60.00,

Case No. A-522-818; Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam," dated August 1, 2016. See also Letter from Petitioner, "Certain Steel Nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Request for Administrative Reviews," dated August 1, 2016. See also Letter from Olympic Manufacturing Group, "Request for Administrative Review and Request to Defer Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (A-552-818) (POR: December 29, 2014-June 30, 2016)," dated August 1, 2016. See also Letter from Truong Vinh, "Request for Administrative Review and Request to Defer Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (A-552-818) (POR: December 29, 2014-June 30, 2016)," dated August 1, 2016.

<sup>4</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 62720 (September 12 2016) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Steel Nails from Vietnam: U.S. Customs and Border Protection Information for 12/29/14-6/30/2016 Review Period," dated September 28, 2016.

<sup>6</sup> See Letter from Truong Vinh and Rich State, "Truong Vinh Ltd. and Rich State Inc., Questionnaire Response, First Annual Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, (A-552-818)," dated November 8, 2016. Therein, Truong Vinh and Rich State indicated that they believe that their zinc anchors would be found outside of the scope of the *Order* based on an ongoing scope proceeding. As such, Truong Vinh and Rich State stated that they would not respond to the Department's October 18, 2016, request for information, and that this letter constituted their full response to sections A, C, and D of the Department's questionnaire. Neither company submitted a separate rate application.