identify additional opportunities for improving customers' experience.

Swarnali Haldar,

Executive for Information Services/CIO. [FR Doc. 2017–15212 Filed 7–19–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting:

Name and Committee Code: Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research— Partnership for Research and Education in Materials, University of Puerto Rico at Humacao (UPRH) (#1203) Site Visit

Date and Time: August 17, 2017; 8:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m.; August 18, 2017; 8:00 a.m.-12:00 p.m.

Place: University of Puerto Rico at Humacao, PR 908, Humacao, 00792 Puerto Rico.

Type of Meeting: Part-Open. Contact Person: Dr. Jose Caro, Program Director, Partnership for Research and Education in Materials, PREM. Division of Materials Research, Room 1065, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230; Telephone (703) 292–4914.

Purpose of Meeting: NSF site visit to provide advice and recommendations concerning further NSF support for the Center.

Agenda

Thursday, August 17, 2017

7:15 a.m. Bus leaves Hotel in Palmas del Mar, Humacao to UPRH

7:45 a.m.—8:15 a.m. Continental Breakfast Executive Session for Site Visit Team. (Closed)

8:15 a.m.-8:30 a.m. Break

8:30 a.m.–8:45 a.m. Welcome and Overview by Administration

8:45 a.m.–9:30 a.m. PI's Overview of PREM

9:30 a.m.–9:45 a.m. Q&A for PI's and Administrator's Overviews

9:45 a.m.–10:15 a.m. Partner Institutions Interactions Q&A

10:15 a.m.–10:30 a.m. Break 10:30 a.m.–12:00 a.m. Research

10:30 a.m.–12:00 a.m. Research Presentations/Q&A

12:00 p.m.–12:15 p.m. Q&A for Science Presentations

12:15 p.m.–1:15 p.m. Lunch with students and post docs (no faculty).

1:15 p.m.–2:15 p.m. Facilities Overview and Visit 2:15 p.m.–2:45 p.m. Visiting Team with University Management (Closed)

2:45 p.m.–4:00 p.m. Poster Session with refreshments

4:00 p.m.–5:00 p.m. Executive session—SV Team only (Closed)

5:00 p.m.–5:45 p.m. SV Team meets with PREM Management Team

5:45 p.m. Adjourn

6:00 p.m. Bus leaves from Natural Sciences Building for dinner

6:30 p.m. Dinner (Panel and Faculty): El Makito Restaurant, Naguabo,PR 9:00 p.m. Bus leaves Restaurant to

Hotel (Approximate time)
Friday, August 18, 2017

7:00 a.m. Bus leaves hotel to UPRH7:30 a.m.-8:00 a.m. ContinentalBreakfast

8:00 a.m.–9:30 a.m. Education and Outreach Activities

9:30 a.m.–9:45 a.m. Q&A for Educational and Outreach Presentations

9:45 a.m.–10:00 a.m. Break 10:00 a.m.–11:45 a.m. Executive Sessions for Site Visit Team only (Closed)

11:45 a.m.–12:00 p.m. NFS Debriefing with PREM PI

12:00 p.m. End of Site Visit 12:00 p.m. Working Lunch for Site Visit Team

Reason for Closing: The work being reviewed during closed portions of the site visit will include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: July 17, 2017.

Crystal Robinson,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2017–15264 Filed 7–19–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; National Science Board

The National Science Board, pursuant to NSF regulations (45 CFR part 614), the National Science Foundation Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1862n–5), and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a teleconference for the transaction of National Science Board business, as follows:

TIME AND DATE: Closed teleconference of the Committee on Strategy of the

National Science Board, to be held Tuesday, July 25, 2017 from 10:30 a.m. to 12:00 Noon. EDT.

PLACE: This meeting will be held by teleconference at the National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Committee Chair's opening remarks; Review and discussion of the FY 2019 budget submission to the Office of Management and Budget; Committee Chair's closing remarks.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Point of contact for this meeting is: Kathy Jacquart, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292–8000.

You may find meeting information and updates (time, place, subject matter or status of meeting) at http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices/.

Dated: July 17, 2017.

Chris Blair,

Executive Assistant to the NSB Office.
[FR Doc. 2017–15309 Filed 7–18–17; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-1050; NRC-2016-0231]

Waste Control Specialists LLC's Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility Project

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: License application; withdrawal of notice of opportunity to request a hearing.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is withdrawing the notice of opportunity to request a hearing for Waste Control Specialists LLC's application to construct and operate a Consolidated Interim Storage Facility (CISF) for spent nuclear fuel at WCS's facility in Andrews County, Texas.

DATES: July 20, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2016–0231 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0231. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463;

email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publiclyavailable documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" Section II of this document.
- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John-Chau Nguyen, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–0262; email: John-Chau.Nguyen@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

By letter dated April 28, 2016, as supplemented on July 20, August 19,

August 31, September 27, October 7, November 16, December 16, December 22, 2016, and March 16, 2017, WCS submitted an application for a specific license pursuant to part 72 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), "Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel, High-Level Radioactive Waste, and Reactor-Related Greater Than Class C Waste." In its letter, WCS requested authorization to store up to 5,000 metric tons of uranium for a period of 40 years in a CISF.

In addition, by letter dated July 21, 2016, WCS requested that the NRC initiate its environmental impact statement (EIS) process for the WCS CISF license application as soon as practicable. By letter dated October 7, 2016, the NRC informed WCS of its decision to start the EIS process in advance of making a decision on docketing the application. On November 14, 2016 (81 FR 79531), the NRC published a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing its intent to prepare an EIS and to open the scoping period for the EIS.

By letter dated January 26, 2017, the NRC informed WCS of its decision to accept the application and proceed with the technical review. Subsequently, on January 30, 2017 (82 FR 8773), the NRC published a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing the acceptance for docketing and opportunity to request a hearing and to petition for leave to intervene of the WCS application.

By letter dated March 16, 2017, WCS submitted Revision 1 to its license application. By letter dated April 18, 2017, WCS requested that the NRC temporarily suspend all safety and environmental review activities as well as public participation activities associated with WCS' license application. On April 19, 2017, WCS and the NRC staff jointly requested that the Commission withdraw the hearing notice, explaining that a new Federal Register notice to provide a fresh opportunity for interested persons to request a hearing would be issued if review of the application resumes. On May 10, 2017, the NRC staff granted WCS' request to temporarily suspend all safety and environmental review activities as well as public participation activities associated with its license application. On June 22, 2017, the Commission granted WCS's and the NRC staff's request and further directed that the NRC staff to publish a **Federal Register** notice withdrawing the opportunity to request a hearing on this license application and directed the NRC staff to publish a new notice of opportunity to request a hearing in the **Federal Register** if WCS requests that the NRC staff resume its review of WCS's application.

II. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document	ADAMS Accession No.
WCS submittal of CISF license application, with Environmental Report WCS letter with schedule for response to NRC request for supplemental information WCS initial submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information WCS submittal of supplemental security information WCS request for NRC to begin EIS process as soon as practicable WCS second submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information WCS submittal of additional supplemental security information WCS submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information WCS fourth submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information WCS fourth submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information WCS fifth submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information	Accession No. ML16132A533 ML16193A314 ML16229A537 ML16235A467 ML16229A340 ML16265A454 ML16280A300 ML16285A317 ML16287A527 ML16330A116 ML16356A346
WCS sixth submittal in response to NRC request for supplemental information NRC letter accepting application for review WCS license application Revision 1 submittal WCS request NRC to temporarily suspend all safety and environmental review activities NRC granting WCS request to temporarily suspend all safety and environmental review activities	ML17018A292 ML17018A168 ML17082A007 ML17110A206 ML17129A314

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of July 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John McKirgan,

Chief, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2017-15239 Filed 7-19-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-81146; File No. SR-NYSEMKT-2017-44]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Notice of Filing and **Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed** Rule Change To Amend Rule 7.38E To Specify the Ranking of an Odd Lot Order That Has a Display Price That Is **Better Than Its Working Price**

July 14, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) 1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") 2 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,3 notice is hereby given that on June 30, 2017, NYSE MKT LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE MKT") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.38E (Odd and Mixed Lots) to specify the ranking of an odd lot order that has a display price that is better than its working price. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at

the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.38E (Odd and Mixed Lots) to specify the ranking of an odd lot order that has a display price that is better than its working price.

Rule 7.38E provides that the working price of an odd lot order will be adjusted both on arrival and when resting on the Exchange Book based on the limit price of the order as follows:

 If the limit price of an odd lot order is equal to or worse than the contra-side PBBO, it will have a working price equal to the limit price.

• If the limit price of an odd lot order is better than the contra-side PBBO, it will have a working price equal to the contra-side PBBO.

• If the PBBO is crossed, the odd lot order will have a working price equal to the same-side PBB or PBO.

By moving the working price, an odd lot order to buy (sell) will not trade at a price above (below) the PBO (PBB), or if the PBBO is crossed, above (below) the PBB (PBO). In either case, if the odd lot order is ranked Priority 2—Display Orders,4 its display price would not change when its working price is adjusted.

Éxchange rules are currently silent regarding how a resting odd lot order that has a display price that is better than its working price would be ranked for trading at that working price.5 This scenario would only occur if a resting odd lot order is displayed at a price, and then an Away Market PBBO crosses that display price. In that limited scenario, pursuant to Rule 7.38E(b)(1) described above, the working price of the odd-lot order would be adjusted to a price inferior to the display price, but it would remain displayed at the now crossed price.

The Exchange proposes to specify that in such case, the ranking and priority category applicable to such an order at its display [sic], *i.e.*, the price it is

displayed and Priority 2—Display Orders, would govern its ranking for purposes of a trade at its different, inferior working price.⁶ This ranking would differ from the Exchange's general rule that an order is ranked based on its working price.7 However, the Exchange believes that if the display price of an order is better than its working price, such order has already demonstrated a public willingness to trade at a more aggressive price because it continues to be published in a market data feed at the more aggressive display price.8 In such case, the order should receive the benefit of the ranking (both price and priority category) associated with its better display price when determining how that order would be traded at its working price. In other words, an odd-lot order with a better display price than its working price would not be ranked based on its working price, including that it would not be assigned Priority 3—Non-Display Orders at its working price.

The Exchange further believes that if an odd-lot order is assigned a new working price that is worse than its display price, such order should not be assigned a new working time. In other words, when trading at its working price, its time ranking would be based on the working time associated with its display price. Maintaining the original working time of such order would ensure that it maintains its original ranking, even if it trades at a different

price.

To effect this change, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.38E(b)(1) to provide that an odd-lot order ranked Priority 2—Display Orders would not be assigned a new working time if its working price is adjusted under Rule 7.38E(b)(1). In addition, if the display price of an odd lot order to buy (sell) is above (below) its working price, it would be ranked based on its display price. 10

Continued

^{1 15} U.S.C.78s(b)(1).

^{2 15} U.S.C. 78a

^{3 17} CFR 240.19b-4.

⁴ As described in Rule 7.36E(e)(2), Priority 2— Display Orders are non-marketable Limit Orders with a displayed working price.

⁵ Pursuant to Rule 7.38E(b)(1), on arrival, an odd lot order's working price may be adjusted consistent with the terms of the order. However, an arriving odd-lot order would not be assigned a working price that would be inferior to the price at which the arriving odd lot order would be displayed.

 $^{^{6}\,\}mathrm{As}$ described in Rule 7.36E(c), an order is ranked based on price, priority category, and time. Such ranking is only applicable once an order is resting on the Exchange Book.

⁷ Rule 7.36E(d) provides that all orders are ranked based on the working price of the order. Rule 7.36E(e)(3) generally provides that non-marketable orders for which the working price is not displayed have third priority behind Market Orders and nonmarketable Limit Orders that are displayed at their working price. This proposed rule change would be an exception to these rules.

⁸ See Rule 7.36E(b)(1) (odd-lot sized orders are considered displayed for ranking purposes).

⁹ Rule 7.36E(f)(2) provides that an order is assigned a new working time any time the working price of the order changes. This proposed rule change would be an exception to this general rule.

 $^{^{10}\,\}text{For}$ example, assume the PBBO is 10.07×10.10 and the Exchange receives orders ranked Priority