

Commission could potentially mitigate or eliminate them?

3. Is an exemption appropriate for all or a subset of small generating facilities based on possible disproportionate cost impacts of installing the capability to provide primary frequency response? If so, please provide specific cost data demonstrating that is the case.

4. Given their increasing market penetration and operational role in the Bulk-Power System, please discuss the extent to which small generating facilities are necessary to ensure adequate primary frequency response.

5. Please discuss whether PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.'s (PJM's) recent changes to its interconnection agreements, which require new large and small non-synchronous generating facilities to install enhanced inverters that include primary frequency response capability,²³ address concerns regarding possible disproportionate costs or barriers resulting from applying the NOPR proposals to the entire set of small generating facilities. If yes, please discuss the viability of applying PJM's approach in other regions.

III. Comment Procedures

11. The Commission invites interested persons to submit comments on the matters and issues proposed in this document to be adopted, including any related matters or alternative proposals that commenters may wish to discuss. Comments are due September 14, 2017. Comments must refer to Docket No. RM16-6-000, and must include the commenter's name, the organization they represent, if applicable, and their address in their comments.

12. The Commission encourages comments to be filed electronically via the eFiling link on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>. The Commission accepts most standard word processing formats. Documents created electronically using word processing software should be filed in native applications or print-to-PDF format and not in a scanned format. Commenters filing electronically do not need to make a paper filing.

13. Commenters that are not able to file comments electronically must send an original of their comments to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

14. All comments will be placed in the Commission's public files and may be viewed, printed, or downloaded remotely as described in the Document

Availability section below. Commenters on this proposal are not required to serve copies of their comments on other commenters.

IV. Document Availability

15. In addition to publishing the full text of this document in the **Federal Register**, the Commission provides all interested persons an opportunity to view and/or print the contents of this document via the Internet through FERC's Home Page (<http://www.ferc.gov>) and in FERC's Public Reference Room during normal business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern time) at 888 First Street NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426.

16. From FERC's Home Page on the Internet, this information is available on eLibrary. The full text of this document is available on eLibrary in PDF and Microsoft Word format for viewing, printing, and/or downloading. To access this document in eLibrary, type the docket number excluding the last three digits of this document in the docket number field.

17. User assistance is available for eLibrary and the FERC's Web site during normal business hours from FERC Online Support at 202-502-6652 (toll free at 1-866-208-3676) or email at ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov, or the Public Reference Room at (202) 502-8371, TTY (202) 502-8659. Email the Public Reference Room at public.referenceroom@ferc.gov.

By direction of the Commission.

Issued: August 18, 2017.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-17952 Filed 8-23-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

33 CFR Part 209

[COE-2016-0016]

RIN 0710-AA72

Use of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Reservoir Projects for Domestic, Municipal & Industrial Water Supply

AGENCY: Army Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) is reopening the public comment period for the notice of

proposed rulemaking that appeared in the **Federal Register** of December 16, 2016.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published December 16, 2016 at 81 FR 91556 and extended to August 18, 2017 at 82 FR 22452 is reopened until November 16, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and/or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) and title, by any of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Email: WSRULE2016@usace.army.mil. Include the docket number, COE-2016-0016, in the subject line of the message.

Mail: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CECC-L, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 441 G St NW., Washington, DC 20314.

Hand Delivery/Courier: Due to security requirements, we cannot receive comments by hand delivery or courier.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Technical information: Jim Fredericks, 503-808-3856. *Legal information:* Daniel Inkelas, 202-761-0345.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In response to requests from multiple parties, USACE is extending the time for public comments to November 16, 2017. The date listed in the **DATES** section by which comments must be received is changed from August 18, 2017 to November 16, 2017.

Dated: August 17, 2017.

David R. Cooper,

Chief Counsel, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

[FR Doc. 2017-17779 Filed 8-23-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720-58-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0371; FRL-9966-46-Region 4]

Air Plan Approval; Alabama: PSD Replacement Units

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a portion of Alabama's State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Alabama, through the Alabama Department of

²³ See NOPR, 157 FERC ¶ 61,122 at P 42 (citing *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 151 FERC ¶ 61,097, at P 28 (2015)).

Environmental Management (ADEM), on May 7, 2012. The portion of the revision that EPA is proposing to approve relates to the State's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permitting regulations. In particular, the revision adds a definition of "replacement unit" and provides that a replacement unit is a type of existing emissions unit under the definition of "emissions unit." This action is being taken pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before September 25, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0371 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andres Febres of the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Mr. Febres can be reached via telephone at (404) 562-8966 or via electronic mail at febres-martinez.andres@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the portion of Alabama's May 7, 2012, SIP revision addressing the State's PSD program as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final

rule and incorporated herein by reference. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all adverse comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

Dated: August 7, 2017.

V. Anne Heard,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2017-17343 Filed 8-23-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2017-0416; FRL-9966-60-Region 7]

Approval of Iowa's Air Quality Implementation Plan; Muscatine Sulfur Dioxide Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision, which the State of Iowa (the state) submitted to the EPA on May 26, 2016, for attaining the 1-hour sulfur dioxide (SO₂) primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for the Muscatine nonattainment area. This plan (herein called a "nonattainment plan") includes the state's attainment demonstration and other elements required under Clean Air Act (CAA) sections 172, 191, and 192. In addition to an attainment demonstration, the plan addresses the requirement for meeting reasonable further progress (RFP) toward attainment of the NAAQS, reasonably available control measures and reasonably available control technology (RACT/RACM), base-year and projection-year emission inventories, and contingency measures. The EPA proposes to conclude that the state has appropriately demonstrated that the plan provisions provide for attainment of the 2010 1-hour primary SO₂ NAAQS in the Muscatine nonattainment area by the applicable attainment date and that the plan meets the other applicable requirements under CAA sections 172, 191, and 192.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 25, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2017-0416 to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tracey Casburn, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219 at (913) 551-7016, or by email at casburn.tracey@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," and "our" is used, we mean the EPA.

Organization of this document. The following outline is provided to aid in locating information in this preamble.

Table of Contents

- I. Why was Iowa required to submit an SO₂ plan for the Muscatine area?
- II. Requirements for SO₂ Nonattainment Area Plans
- III. Attainment Demonstration and Longer Term Averaging
- IV. Review of Modeled Attainment Plan
 - A. Model Selection
 - B. Meteorological Data
 - C. Emissions Data
 - D. Emission Limits
 1. Enforceability
 2. Longer Term Averaging
 - E. Background Concentrations
 - F. Summary of Results
 1. Phase 1—Preliminary Analysis
 2. Phase 2—Control Strategy Development
- V. Review of Other Plan Requirements
 - A. Emissions Inventory and the Quantification of Emissions
 - B. RACT/RACM