

merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

For DSM and Hyundai Steel, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>7</sup> For entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by DSM or Hyundai Steel for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, BDP International, Bookuk Steel Co., Ltd., Samsung C&T Engineering & Construction Group, Samsung C&T Trading and Investment Group, and Sung Jin Steel Co., Ltd., we will instruct CBP to apply the rates listed above to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by these firms. For the eight companies that we determined had no reviewable entries of the subject merchandise in this review period, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any applicable entries of subject merchandise at the all-others rate. We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of these reviews.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be applicable upon publication of this notice for all shipments of CTL plate from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer has been covered in a prior

complete segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 0.98 percent,<sup>8</sup> the all-others rate determined in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: August 30, 2017.

**Gary Taverman.**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary  
Background  
Scope of the Order  
Rates for Respondents Not Selected for

Individual Examination  
Discussion of the Issues  
Comment 1: Differential Pricing  
Comment 2: Major-Input Rule  
Comment 3: Cost Differences Not Associated With Physical Characteristics  
Comment 4: Cost of Prime Products  
Comment 5: Affiliated-Party Inputs  
Comment 6: Overrun Sales  
Comment 7: Marine Insurance  
Comment 8: Reviewable Entries

[FR Doc. 2017-18824 Filed 9-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-836]

#### Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube (LWRPT) from Mexico. The period of review is August 1, 2015, through July 31, 2016. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Productos Laminados de Monterrey S.A. de C.V. (Productos Laminados). We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise by Productos Laminados and affiliated reseller, Aceros Cuatros Caminos S.A. de C.V. (A4C) (collectively, Prolamsa), were not made at less than normal value during the period of review. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable September 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Madeline Heeren, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9179.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

These preliminary results of review are made in accordance with section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On October 14, 2016, the Department published the notice of initiation for the administrative review.<sup>1</sup> For a complete

<sup>7</sup> In these final results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings*; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review*; 2014-2015, 81 FR 62712, 62714 (September 12, 2016).

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR

description of the events that followed the initiation of the review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, located in room B8094 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the scope of the order are LWRPT from Mexico. For a complete description of the scope, *see* Appendix I of this notice.

### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying the preliminary results, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

### Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that, for the period August 1, 2015, through July 31, 2016, the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:<sup>3</sup>

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Productos Laminados de Monterrey S.A. de C.V./ Aceros Cuatro Caminos S.A. de C.V. ....	0.00

### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results of review within five days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>4</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.<sup>5</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>6</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>7</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>8</sup>

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>9</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined.<sup>10</sup> Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Unless extended, the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>11</sup> If a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* in the final results of this review and the respondent reported reliable entered values, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales made during the period of review to each importer to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If the respondent has not reported reliable entered values, we will calculate a per-unit assessment rate for each importer by dividing the total amount of dumping for the examined sales made during the period of review to that importer by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. Where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). If the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*, i.e., "{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed."<sup>12</sup>

Regarding entries of subject merchandise during the period of review that were produced by Prolamsa and for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at the all-others rate of 3.76 percent, as established in the less-than-fair-value investigation of the order, if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>13</sup> For a full

71061 (October 14, 2016) (*Initiation Notice*) as corrected in *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 78778 (November 9, 2016) (*Correction Notice*).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico; 2015–2016," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>3</sup> The Department has preliminarily determined to collapse, and treat as a single entity, affiliates Productos Laminados de Monterrey S.A. de C.V. and Aceros Cuatro Caminos S.A. de C.V. For our analysis of the collapsing criteria, *see* Memorandum, "Analysis Memorandum for Productos Laminados de Monterrey S.A. de C.V. and Aceros Cuatro Caminos S.A. de C.V. in the Preliminary Results of the 2015/2016 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty order on Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>12</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>13</sup> See *Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders; Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 73 FR 45403, (August 5, 2008) (*Order*).

discussion of this matter, *see Assessment Policy Notice*.<sup>14</sup>

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Prolamsa will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be the all-others rate of 3.76 percent. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 30, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix I**

**Scope of the Order**

The scope of this order covers certain welded carbon-quality light-walled steel pipe and tube, of rectangular (including square) cross section, having a wall thickness of less than 4 mm.

The term carbon-quality steel includes both carbon steel and alloy steel which contains only small amounts of alloying elements. Specifically, the term carbon-quality includes products in which none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity by weight respectively indicated; 1.80 percent of manganese, or 2.25 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent of zirconium.

The description of carbon-quality is intended to identify carbon-quality products within the scope. The welded-carbon quality rectangular pipe and tube subject to the order is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7306.61.50.00 and 7306.61.70.60. This tariff classification is provided for convenience and Customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

**Appendix II**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

1. Background
2. Scope of the Order
3. Affiliation and Collapsing of Affiliates
4. Comparisons to Normal Value
  - A. Determination of Comparison Method
  - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
5. Product Comparisons

6. Date of Sale
7. Export Price/Constructed Export Price
8. Normal Value
  - A. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
  - B. Level of Trade
  - C. Sales to Affiliates
  - D. Cost of Production
    1. Calculation of Cost of Production
    2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
    3. Results of the Cost of Production Test
  - E. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
  - F. Price-to-Constructed Value Comparison
9. Currency Conversion
10. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-18825 Filed 9-5-17; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**Background**

Every five years, pursuant to Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

**Upcoming Sunset Reviews for October 2017**

Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in October 2017 and will appear in that month's *Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews* (Sunset Reviews).

Antidumping Duty Proceedings		Department contact
Silicomanganese from China (A-570-828) (4th Review)	.....	Robert James (202) 482-0649.
Silicomanganese from Ukraine (A-823-805) (4th Review)	.....	Robert James (202) 482-0649.

<sup>14</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).