

with respect to these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).⁴

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers whose entries will be liquidated as a result of this rescission notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that the reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

⁴ See Appendix. As stated in *Change in Practice in NME Reviews*, the Department will no longer consider the non-market economy (NME) entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013). The PRC-wide entity is not subject to this administrative review because no interested party requested a review of the entity. See *Initiation Notice*.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 16, 2017.

James Maeder,

Senior Director, performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

- BYD (Shangluo) Industrial Co., Ltd.
- Canadian Solar International Limited
- Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu) Inc.
- Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang) Inc.
- Jinko Solar Co., Ltd.
- Jinko Solar Import and Export Co., Ltd.
- JinkoSolar International Limited
- Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd.
- Zhejiang Jinko Solar Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2017-19773 Filed 9-15-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-840]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 6, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from India. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

DATES: Applicable September 18, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blaine Wiltse or Manuel Rey, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6345 or (202) 482-5518, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers 231 producers and/or exporters. The producers/

exporters which the Department selected for individual examination are Falcon Marine Exports Limited and its affiliate K.R. Enterprises (collectively, Falcon) and the Liberty Group.¹ The producers/exporters which were not selected for individual examination are listed in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

On March 6, 2017, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*.² On June 26, 2017, we received case briefs from Falcon and the Liberty Group (collectively, the respondents), the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee (the petitioner), and the American Shrimp Processors Association. On June 30, 2017, we received rebuttal briefs from the respondents and the petitioner.

On June 19, 2017, we postponed the final results by 60 days, until September 5, 2017.³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp.⁴ The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

¹ The Liberty Group consists of: Devi Marine Food Exports Private Ltd.; Kader Exports Private Limited; Kader Investment and Trading Company Private Limited; Liberty Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd.; Liberty Oil Mills Ltd.; Premier Marine Products Private Limited; and Universal Cold Storage Private Limited.

² See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 FR 12544 (March 6, 2017) (*Preliminary Results*).

³ See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Manuel Rey, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office II, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, entitled "Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India; 2015-2016 Administrative Review: Extension of Deadline for Final Results," dated June 19, 2017.

⁴ For a complete description of the Scope of the Order, see the memorandum from James Maeder, Senior Director performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2015-2016 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India," (dated concurrently with these results) (IDM), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the IDM. Parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users

at <http://access.trade.gov>; the IDM is also available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024, of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the IDM can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed IDM and the electronic version of the IDM are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the margin calculations performed for Falcon and the Liberty Group.⁵

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period of February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016:

	Percent margin
Manufacturer/Exporter	
Falcon Marine Exports Limited/K.R. Enterprises	0.00
The Liberty Group	0.84
Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies:	
Abad Fisheries	0.84
Adilakshmi Enterprises	0.84
Akshay Food Impex Private Limited	0.84
Allana Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Allanasons Ltd.	0.84
AMI Enterprises	0.84
Anand Aqua Exports	0.84
Ananda Aqua Applications/Ananda Aqua Exports (P) Limited/Ananda Foods	0.84
Ananda Enterprises (India) Private Limited	0.84
Andaman Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Angelique Intl	0.84
Anjaneya Seafoods	0.84
Apex Frozen Foods Private Limited	0.84
Aquatica Frozen Foods Global Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Arvi Import & Export	0.84
Asvini Exports	0.84
Asvini Fisheries Private Limited	0.84
Avanti Feeds Limited	0.84
B R Traders	0.84
Baby Marine Exports	0.84
Balasore Marine Exports Private Limited	0.84
Bhatsons Aquatic Products	0.84
Bhavani Seafoods	0.84
Bijaya Marine Products	0.84
Blue Fin Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Bluepark Seafoods Private Ltd.	0.84
BMR Exports	0.84
BMR Industries Private Limited	0.84
Britto Exports	0.84
C P Aquaculture (India) Ltd.	0.84
Calcutta Seafoods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Canaan Marine Products	0.84
Castlerock Fisheries Ltd.	0.84
Chemmeens (Regd)	0.84
Choice Canning Company	0.84
Choice Trading Corporation Private Limited	0.84
Coastal Aqua	0.84
Coastal Corporation Ltd.	0.84
Cochin Frozen Food Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Coreline Exports	0.84
Corlim Marine Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
D2 D Logistics Private Limited	0.84
Damco India Private Limited	0.84
Devi Fisheries Limited/Satya Seafoods Private Limited/Usha Seafoods	0.84
Diamond Seafoods Exports/Edhayam Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd./Kadalkanny Frozen Foods/Theva & Company	0.84
Devi Sea Foods Limited ⁶	0.84
Digha Seafood Exports	0.84
Esmario Export Enterprises	0.84
Exporter Coreline Exports	0.84
Febin Marine Foods	0.84

⁵ See IDM at 4.

	Percent margin
Five Star Marine Exports Private Limited	0.84
Forstar Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Frontline Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
G A Randerian Ltd.	0.84
Gadre Marine Exports	0.84
Galaxy Maritech Exports P. Ltd.	0.84
Gayatri Seafoods	0.84
Geo Seafoods	0.84
Goodwill Enterprises	0.84
Grandtrust Overseas (P) Ltd.	0.84
Haripriya Marine Export Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Harmony Spices Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
HIC ABF Special Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Hindustan Lever, Ltd.	0.84
Hiravata Ice & Cold Storage	0.84
Hiravati Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Hiravati International P. Ltd. (located at APM—Mafco Yard, Sector—18, Vashi, Navi, Mumbai—400 705, India)	0.84
Hiravati International Pvt. Ltd. (located at Jawar Naka, Porbandar, Gujarat, 360 575, India)	0.84
IFB Agro Industries Ltd.	0.84
Indian Aquatic Products	0.84
Indo Aquatics	0.84
Indo French Shellfish Company Private Limited	0.84
Innovative Foods Limited	0.84
International Freezefish Exports	0.84
Interseas	0.84
ITC Limited, International Business	0.84
ITC Ltd.	0.84
Jaya Satya Marine Exports	0.84
Jaya Satya Marine Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Jayalakshmi Sea Foods Private Limited	0.84
Jinny Marine Traders	0.84
Jiya Packagings	0.84
K R M Marine Exports Ltd.	0.84
K V Marine Exports	0.84
Kalyan Aqua & Marine Exports India Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Kalyanee Marine	0.84
Kanch Ghar	0.84
Karunya Marine Exports Private Limited	0.84
Kay Kay Exports	0.84
Kings Marine Products	0.84
Koluthara Exports Ltd.	0.84
Konark Aquatics & Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Landauer Ltd.	0.84
Libran Cold Storages (P) Ltd.	0.84
Magnum Estates Limited	0.84
Magnum Export	0.84
Magnum Sea Foods Limited	0.84
Malabar Arabian Fisheries	0.84
Malnad Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Mangala Marine Exim India Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Mangala Sea Products	0.84
Mangala Seafoods	0.84
Meenaxi Fisheries Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Milesh Marine Exports Private Limited	0.84
MSRDR Exports	0.84
MTR Foods	0.84
Munnangi Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
N.C. John & Sons (P) Ltd.	0.84
Naga Hanuman Fish Packers	0.84
Naik Frozen Foods Private Limited	0.84
Naik Seafoods Ltd.	0.84
Neeli Aqua Private Limited	0.84
Nekkanti Sea Foods Limited	0.84
Nezami Rekha Sea Foods Private Limited	0.84
NGR Aqua International	0.84
Nila Sea Foods Exports	0.84
Nila Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Nine Up Frozen Foods	0.84
Nutrient Marine Foods Limited	0.84
Oceanic Edibles International Limited	0.84
Overseas Marine Export	0.84
Paragon Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Paramount Seafoods	0.84

	Percent margin
Parayil Food Products Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Penver Products Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Pesca Marine Products Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Pijikay International Exports P Ltd.	0.84
Pisces Seafood International	0.84
Premier Exports International	0.84
Premier Marine Foods	0.84
Premier Seafoods Exim (P) Ltd.	0.84
R V R Marine Products Limited	0.84
Raa Systems Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Raju Exports	0.84
Ram's Assorted Cold Storage Ltd.	0.84
Raunaq Ice & Cold Storage	0.84
Raysons Aquatics Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Razban Seafoods Ltd.	0.84
RBT Exports	0.84
RDR Exports	0.84
Riviera Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Rohi Marine Private Ltd.	0.84
S & S Seafoods	0.84
S Chanchala Combines	0.84
S. A. Exports	0.84
S.J. Seafoods	0.84
Safa Enterprises	0.84
Sagar Foods	0.84
Sagar Grandhi Exports Private Limited	0.84
Sagar Samrat Seafoods	0.84
Sagarvihar Fisheries Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Sai Marine Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
SAI Sea Foods	0.84
Salvam Exports (P) Ltd.	0.84
Sanchita Marine Products Private Limited	0.84
Sandhya Aqua Exports	0.84
Sandhya Aqua Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Sandhya Marines Limited	0.84
Sarveshwari Exports	0.84
Sawant Food Products	0.84
Sea Foods Private Limited	0.84
Seagold Overseas Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Sharat Industries Ltd.	0.84
Sharma Industries	0.84
Shimpo Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Shippers Exports	0.84
Shiva Frozen Food Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Shree Datt Aquaculture Farms Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Shroff Processed Food & Cold Storage P Ltd.	0.84
Silver Seafood	0.84
Sita Marine Exports	0.84
Sowmya Agri Marine Exports	0.84
Sprint Exports Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Sri Chandrakantha Marine Exports	0.84
Sri Sakthi Cold Storage	0.84
Sri Satya Marine Exports	0.84
Sri Venkata Padmavathi Marine Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Srikanth International	0.84
Star Agro Marine Exports Private Limited	0.84
Star Organic Foods Incorporated	0.84
Sun-Bio Technology Ltd.	0.84
Supran Exim Private Limited	0.84
Suryamitra Exim Pvt. Ltd.	0.84
Suvarna Rekha Exports Private Limited	0.84
Suvarna Rekha Marines P Ltd.	0.84
TBR Exports Pvt Ltd.	0.84
Teekay Marine P. Ltd.	0.84
Tejaswani Enterprises	0.84
The Waterbase Ltd.	0.84
Triveni Fisheries P Ltd.	0.84
Uniroyal Marine Exports Ltd.	0.84
Unitriveni Overseas	0.84
V V Marine Products	0.84
V.S Exim Pvt Ltd.	0.84
Vasista Marine	0.84
Veejay Impex	0.84

	Percent margin
Victoria Marine & Agro Exports Ltd.	0.84
Vishal Exports	0.84
Vitality Aquaculture Pvt., Ltd.	0.84
Wellcome Fisheries Limited	0.84
West Coast Frozen Foods Private Limited	0.84
Z A Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.	0.84

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

Because the weighted-average dumping margin for Falcon is zero, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because the Liberty Group reported the entered value for all its U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. To determine whether the duty assessment rates are *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the entered value.

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, we used as the assessment rate the cash deposit rate assigned to these exporters, in accordance with our practice.⁷

The Department's "automatic assessment" practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Falcon or the Liberty Group for which these companies did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁸

⁶ Shrimp produced and exported by Devi Sea Foods (Devi) was excluded from this order effective February 1, 2009. See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Notice of Revocation of Order in Part*, 75 FR 41813, 41814 (July 19, 2010). Accordingly, we conducted this administrative review with respect to Devi only for shrimp produced in India where Devi acted as either the manufacturer or exporter (but not both).

⁷ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 79 FR 51309 (August 28, 2014).

⁸ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*:

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: 1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent (*de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1)), the cash deposit will be zero; 2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; 3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and 4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 10.17 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties

Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 70 FR 5147, 5148 (February 1, 2005).

occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), this notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: September 5, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the IDM

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Margin Calculations
Discussion of the Issues

- 1: How to Define Time Periods for the Differential Pricing Analysis
- 2: Whether the Department Should Revise its Differential Pricing Analysis
- 3: Ministerial Error for Falcon
- 4: Species Product Characteristic
- 5: Date of Sale
- 6: Payment Terms/Payment Dates
- 7: Insurance Expenses
- 8: "Other" Selling Expenses
- 9: Packing Expenses
- 10: Methodology for Determining Raw Materials on an "As Sold" Basis
- 11: Raw Material Transportation Costs
- 12: Treatment of Certain Offsets
- 13: Labor Costs
- 14: Financial Expenses
- 15: Methodological Issues at Verification and New Factual Information

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–19912 Filed 9–15–17; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 25, 2017, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment sustaining the Department of Commerce's (the Department) final results of remand redetermination pursuant to court order. The Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's final results in the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the People's Republic of China (PRC), and that the Department is amending its determination with respect to Linyi Bonn Flooring Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Linyi Bonn).

DATES: Applicable September 4, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Aleksandras Nakutis, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance—International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Linyi Bonn was reviewed in a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC, covering the period of review from December 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013.¹ In the *Final Results of NSR*, the Department calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Linyi Bonn of zero percent, also finding that Linyi Bonn had

demonstrated its entitlement to a separate rate.²

Linyi Bonn was also subsequently a respondent in an administrative review that partially overlapped the period of review for the NSR, in that it covered the period of review December 1, 2012 through November 30, 2013. On July 15, 2016, the Department published the *Final Results* in the administrative review, in which it found that Linyi Bonn was part of the PRC-wide entity, because Linyi Bonn failed to submit either a timely certification of no sales, a separate rate certification, or a separate rate application.³

On April 21, 2017, the CIT remanded the *Final Results*, finding the Department's determination to assign Linyi Bonn the PRC-wide rate of 58.84 percent was contrary to law. The CIT held that the Department's *Initiation Notice*⁴ failed to provide notice to Linyi Bonn of the need to file a "partial" no shipments certification for only a portion of the review. The CIT remanded for the Department to "correct the problem created by its failure to provide notice."⁵ In particular, the CIT ordered the Department to afford Linyi Bonn "the opportunity it would have had if the Department's failure to provide notice had not occurred."⁶

On June 19, 2017, the Department issued its *Remand Results*, in which the Department determined that Linyi Bonn did not have shipments during the period of review other than those already reviewed in the *Final Results of NSR*.⁷

On August 25, 2017, the CIT issued its decision sustaining the Department's *Remand Results*.⁸

² *Id.* at 66356.

³ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Results of New Shipper Review*; 2012–2013, 80 FR 41476 (July 15, 2015), as corrected by *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China*, 80 FR 49,986 (Dep't of Commerce Aug. 18, 2015) (correction to final admin. review), and *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China*, 80 FR 52,447 (Dep't of Commerce Aug. 31, 2015) (correction to final admin. review) (collectively, *Final Results*).

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 79 FR 6147 (February 3, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁵ See *Linyi Bonn Flooring Manufacturing Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 15–00227, Slip Op. 17–46, at 28.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order* (June 19, 2017) (*Remand Results*).

⁸ See *Linyi Bonn Flooring Manufacturing Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 15–00227, Slip Op. 17–113.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁹ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,¹⁰ the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's August 25, 2017, judgment constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to this case, the Department is amending its *Final Results* with respect to Linyi Bonn. Based on the *Remand Results*, we no longer find that Linyi Bonn is part of the PRC-wide entity. Instead, we have found that Linyi Bonn had no reviewable shipments during the period of review that were not otherwise covered in the overlapping period of review for the partially concurrent NSR.

In the event that the CIT's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, is upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, the Department will issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection to give effect to the finding of no shipments during the period June 1, 2013, through November 30, 2013, and to ensure that any entries of subject merchandise that were produced and exported by Linyi Bonn during the period December 1, 2012, through May 31, 2013, are liquidated in accordance with the *Final Results of NSR*.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because there has been a subsequent administrative review for Linyi Bonn, the cash deposit rate for Linyi Bonn will remain the rate established in the most recently-completed administrative review, which is zero percent.¹¹

⁹ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

¹⁰ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

¹¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Final Partial*

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews 2012–2013*, 79 FR 66355 (November 7, 2014) (*Final Results of NSR*).