

the level that would be achieved by the current regulation (49 CFR 381.305). The decision of the Agency must be published in the **Federal Register** (49 CFR 381.315(b)) with the reasons for denying or granting the application and, if granted, the name of the person or class of persons receiving the exemption, and the regulatory provision from which the exemption is granted. The notice must also specify the effective period and explain the terms and conditions of the exemption. The exemption may be renewed (49 CFR 381.300(b)).

III. Request for Exemption

HGT reports that it is an interstate motor carrier based in Oak Brook, Illinois with 23 terminal locations throughout the United States. HGT operates 2,700 trucks and utilizes approximately 2,700 drivers. The vast majority of HGT's trucks service the intermodal sector of freight transportation. All of HGT's trucks are currently equipped with AOBRDs and the entire fleet has been AOBRD compliant since late 2010.

The current ELD rule under section 49 CFR 395.15 includes a grandfather provision for a compliant AOBRD that a motor carrier installs and requires its drivers to use before the compliance date of December 18, 2017. A motor carrier may continue to use grandfathered AOBRDs no later than December 16, 2019.

HGT is requesting a limited exemption from the ELD rule to allow any truck added to its fleet after December 17, 2017, to be equipped with an AOBRD in lieu of an ELD until full transition to ELDs for all of its fleets can be accomplished. HGT reports that the company plans to add at least 160 new trucks and drivers to its fleet in 2018 to accommodate growth in its business. If the exemption is granted, it would cover these new trucks and drivers.

HGT contends that the company will face several challenges running two different electronic logging systems at the same time if the exemption is not granted. Challenges such as the complexity of managing the data in the back office, and more importantly, complexities in training and managing drivers and staff likely to use both systems.

According to HGT, with two systems, a company its size will create some roadside inspection enforcement-related challenges for the driver, enforcement officials involved, and for HGT's safety compliance staff. HGT further contends that it faces the expense of updating a legacy database to fully populate the new ELD header. HGT's InfoTrak

database currently does not contain at least three data elements that must be included in the new ELD "print/display daily header." Data elements include the driver's name, the driver's license State, and the truck number. HGT reports that it recently spent substantial resources to migrate to a new system that will contain all of the data fields and information needed to auto-populate the required ELD header. Without the exemption, HGT will be forced to spend a great deal of time and money to reprogram its legacy system to ensure compliance for the time between December 18, 2017, and the time in 2018 when HGT implements its new system and fully transitions to ELDs network-wide.

According to HGT, its AOBRD-compliant approach between December 18, 2017, and its full transition to ELDs by the end of 2018, will achieve a level of safety that is at least equivalent to the level of safety that would be obtained by strict compliance with a mixed AOBRD-ELD fleet. The requested exemption is for two years.

A copy of HGT's application for exemption is available for review in the docket for this notice.

Issued on: October 18, 2017.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2017-23632 Filed 10-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

[OST Docket No. DOT-OST-2011-0022]

Notice of Submission of Proposed Information Collection to OMB Agency Request for Renewal of a Previously Approved Collection: On-Line Complaint Form for Service-Related Issues in Air Transportation

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Department of Transportation's intention to renew an OMB control number for an on-line complaint form by which a consumer can electronically submit a service-related complaint against an airline and other travel-related companies.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by January 2, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that you do not duplicate your docket submissions,

please submit them by only one of the following means:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for submitting comments;
- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W-12/140, Washington, DC 20590-0001; or
- **Hand delivery:** West Building Ground Floor, Room W-12/140, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202-366-9329.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daeleen Chesley, Office of the Secretary, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (C-70), Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, 202 366-6792 (voice) or at Daeleen.Chesley@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 2105-0568.

Title: Renewal of Aviation Consumer Protection Division Web page On-Line Complaint Form.

Abstract: The Department of Transportation's (Department) Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office) has broad authority under 49 U.S.C., Subtitle VII, to investigate and enforce consumer protection and civil rights laws and regulations related to air transportation. The Enforcement Office, including its Aviation Consumer Protection Division (ACPD), monitors compliance with and investigates violations of the Department of Transportation's aviation economic, consumer protection, and civil rights requirements.

Among other things, the licensing office is responsible for receiving and investigating service-related consumer complaints filed against airlines and other travel-related companies. Once received, the complaints are reviewed by the office to determine the extent to which these entities are in compliance with federal aviation consumer protection and civil rights laws and what, if any, action should be taken.

The key reason for this request is to enable consumers to continue to file their complaints (or comments) to the Department using an on-line form, whether via their personal computer or on a mobile/electronic device. If the information collection form is not available, the Department may receive fewer complaints from consumers. The lack of consumer-driven information

could inhibit the office's ability to effectively investigate both individual complaints against airlines and other air travel-related companies. It would also impact the Department's Enforcement Office's ability to become aware of patterns and practices that may develop in violation of our rules. The information collection continues to further the objectives of 49 U.S.C. 41712, 40101, 40127, 41702, and 41705 to protect consumers from unfair or deceptive practices, to protect the civil rights of air travelers, and to ensure safe and adequate service in air transportation.

Filing a complaint using a web-based form is voluntary and minimizes the burden on respondents. Based on CY16 information, 17,162 of the 17,909 cases received by ACPD were filed using the web-based form (95.8%). At times, consumers may also choose to file a complaint with the Department using regular mail or by phone message. The type of information requested on the form includes complainant's name, address, phone number (including area code), email address, and name of the airline or company about which she/he is complaining, as well as the flight date and flight itinerary (where applicable) of a complainant's trip. A consumer may also use the form to give a description of a specific air-travel related problem or to ask for air-travel related information from the ACPD. The Department has limited its informational request to that necessary to meet its program and administrative monitoring and enforcement activities.

Respondents: Consumers that Choose to File an On-Line Complaint with the Aviation Consumer Protection Division.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 17,162 (based on CY 2016 data).

Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 4,290.5 hours, (257,430 minutes). The estimate was calculated by multiplying the number of cases filed using the on-line form in CY16 (17,162) by the time needed to fill out the on-line form (15 minutes).

The information collection is available for inspection in regulations.gov, as noted in the **ADDRESSES** section of his document.

Comments are Invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the

burden of the collection of information on respondents.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record on the docket.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued in Washington, DC on October 25, 2017.

Jonathan Dols,

Deputy Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings.

[FR Doc. 2017-23631 Filed 10-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-9X-X

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been placed on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List based on OFAC's determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S. persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: OFAC: Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202-622-2420; Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202-622-2490; Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202-622-2480; Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel. 202-622-4855; or the Department of the Treasury's Office of the General Counsel: Office of the Chief Counsel (Foreign Assets Control), tel.: 202-622-2410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's Web site (www.treasury.gov/ofac).

Notice of OFAC Action(s)

On October 26, 2017, OFAC determined that the property and

interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following persons are blocked under the relevant sanctions authorities listed below. Dealings in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction in which a person identified as Government of North Korea has an interest are prohibited effective as of the date of that status, which may be earlier than the date of OFAC's determination.

Individuals

1. JONG, Yong Su, Korea, North; DOB 15 Dec 1950; nationality Korea, North; Gender Male; Passport 563310172; Minister of Labor (individual) [DPRK2].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii) of Executive Order 13687 of January 2, 2015, "Imposing Additional Sanctions With Respect to North Korea" (E.O. 13687), for being an official of the Government of North Korea.

2. JO, Kyong-Chol (a.k.a. CHO, Kyo'ng-ch'o'l), Korea, North; DOB 1944 to 1945; POB Korea, North; nationality Korea, North; DPRK Director of Military Security Command (individual) [DPRK2].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii) of E.O. 13687 for being an official of the Government of North Korea.

3. KIM, Kang Jin (a.k.a. KIM, Kang-chin), Korea, North; DOB 22 Apr 1961; POB Pyongyang, North Korea; nationality Korea, North; Gender Male; Director, External Construction Bureau (individual) [DPRK2].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii) of E.O. 13687 for being an official of the Government of North Korea.

4. KU, Sung Sop (a.k.a. KU, Seung Sub; a.k.a. KU, Su'ng-so'p; a.k.a. KU, Young Hyok), Shenyang, China; DOB 07 Nov 1959; POB Pyongan-bukdo, North Korea; nationality Korea, North; Passport 321233 (Korea, North); Consul General, Shenyang, China (individual) [DPRK2].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii) of E.O. 13687 for being an official of the Government of North Korea.

5. KIM, Min Chol, Vietnam; DOB 21 Sep 1967; POB North Korea; nationality Korea, North; Diplomat at North Korean Embassy (individual) [DPRK2].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii) of E.O. 13687 for being an official of the Government of North Korea.

6. RI, Thae Chol (a.k.a. RI, Tae-Chol; a.k.a. RI, T'ae-Ch'o'l); DOB 01 Jan 1947 to 31 Dec 1947; nationality Korea, North; DPRK First Vice Minister of People's Security (individual) [DPRK2] (Linked To: KOREAN PEOPLE'S ARMY).

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii) of E.O. 13687 for being an official of the Government of North Korea and the Worker's Party of Korea.