tempering, painting, varnishing, trimming, cutting, punching, and/or slitting, or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of the investigations if performed in the country of manufacture of the common alloy sheet.

Excluded from the scope of these investigations is aluminum can stock, which is suitable for use in the manufacture of aluminum beverage cans, lids of such cans, or tabs used to open such cans. Aluminum can stock is produced to gauges that range from 0.200 mm to 0.292 mm, and has an H-19, H-41, H-48, or H-391 temper. In addition, aluminum can stock has a lubricant applied to the flat surfaces of the can stock to facilitate its movement through machines used in the manufacture of beverage cans. Aluminum can stock is properly classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7606.12.3045 and 7606.12.3055.

Where the nominal and actual measurements vary, a product is within the scope if application of either the nominal or actual measurement would place it within the scope based on the definitions set for the above.

Common alloy sheet is currently classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 7606.11.3060, 7606.11.6000, 7606.12.3090, 7606.12.6000, 7606.91.3090, 7606.91.6080, 7606.92.3090, and 7606.92.6080. Further, merchandise that falls within the scope of these investigations may also be entered into the United States under HTSUS subheadings 7606.11.3030, 7606.12.3030, 7606.91.3060, 7606.91.6040, 7607.11.9090. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these investigations is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2017–26068 Filed 12–1–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda E. Brown, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (the Department) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be 'collapsed'' (i.e., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of a review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to a

review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete a Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of a proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after December 2017, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of December

2017,¹ interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended

investigations, with anniversary dates in December for the following periods:

	Period of review
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
BRAZIL: Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings A-351-602	
CHILE: Certain Preserved Mushrooms A-337-804	
GERMANY: Non-Oriented Electrical Steel A-428-843	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
NDIA:	
Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 A-533-838	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Certain Hot-Rolled Čarbon Steel Flat Products A-533-820	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Commodity Matchbooks A-533-848	
Stainless Steel Wire Rod A-533-808	
NDONESIA: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products A-560-812	
Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand A-588-068	
Non-Oriented Electrical Steel A–588–857	
Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe A-588-857	
DMAN: Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe A-523-812	
	12/16/16–11/30/17
PAKISTAN: Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe A-553-903	
Non-Oriented Electrical Steel A-580-872	
Welded Astm A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe A-580-810	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Uncovered Innersprings Units A-580-821	
Welded Line Pipe A-580-876	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
RUSSIA: Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products A-821-809	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF VIETNAM: Uncovered Innerspring Units A-552-803	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
SWEDEN: Non-Oriented Electrical Steel A-401-809	
Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings A-583-605	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Non-Oriented Electrical Steel A-583-851	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Steel Wire Garment Hangers A-583-849	
Welded Astm A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe A-583-815	
Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 A-570-892	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Cased Pencils A-570-827	
Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether orNot Assembled Into Modules A-570-979	
Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof A-570-891	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Honey A-570-863	
Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings A-570-881	
Melamine A-570-020	
Multilayered Wood Flooring A-570-970	
Non-Oriented Electrical Steel A-570-996	
Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware A-570-020	
Silicomanganese A-570-828	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
TURKEY: Welded Line Pipe A–489–822	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe A-520-807	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	. 0/0/10 11/00/17
CANADA: Supercalendered Paper C-122-854INDIA:	. 1/1/16–12/31/16
Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 C-533-839	. 1/1/16–12/31/16
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products C–533–821	. 1/1/16 12/31/16
Commodity Matchbooks C-533-849	. 1/1/16–12/31/16
INDONESIA: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products C–560–813	1/1/10-12/31/10
TAIWAN: Non-Oriented Electrical Steel C–583–852	
THAILAND: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products C-549-818	
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA:	4/4/40 40/03/110
Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether orNot Assembled Into Modules C-570-980	
Melamine C-570-021	
Non-Oriented Electrical Steel C-570-997	
Multilayered Wood Flooring C-570-971	
TURKEY: Welded Line Pipe C-489-823	. 1/1/16–12/31/16
Suspension Agreements	
MEXICO:	
Sugar A-201-845	. 12/1/16–11/30/17
Sugar C-201-846	

¹Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which was produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011), the Department clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.2

The Department no longer considers the non-market economy (NME) entity

as an exporter conditionally subject to an antidumping duty administrative reviews.³ Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.4 In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) on Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS Web site at http://access.trade.gov.5 Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(l)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of December 2017. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of December 2017, a request for

review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: November 28, 2017.

James Maeder,

Senior Director, performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017–26070 Filed 12–1–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-560-826]

Monosodium Glutamate From Indonesia: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on monosodium glutamate (MSG) from Indonesia. The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2015 through October 31, 2016. The review covers a single mandatory respondent, PT Cheil Jedang Indonesia (CJI). The Department preliminarily determines that the respondent has not made sales of subject merchandise below normal value (NV). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 4, 2017.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Caitlin Monks or Joseph Traw, AD/CVD
Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and
Compliance, International Trade

² See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at http://trade.gov/enforcement/.

³ See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

⁴ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

⁵ See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).