

- Certify that it has identified to the Department of Commerce any business matter pending before any bureau or office in the Department of Commerce;
- Certify that it has identified any pending litigation (including any administrative proceedings) to which it is a party that involves the Department of Commerce; and
- Sign and submit an agreement that it and its affiliates (1) have not and will not engage in the bribery of foreign officials in connection with a company's/participant's involvement in this event, and (2) maintain and enforce a policy that prohibits the bribery of foreign officials.

Selection Criteria

Selection will be based on the following criteria:

- Suitability of the company's (or, in the case of another organization, represented companies' or constituents') products or services to the KSA market.
- The company's (or, in the case of another organization, represented companies' or constituents') potential for business in the KSA, including likelihood of exports resulting from the Roundtable.
- Consistency of the applicant company's (or, in the case of another organization, represented companies' or constituents') goals and objectives with the stated Roundtable scope.
- Applicants will be evaluated on their ability to meet the Roundtable focus area criteria (advanced reactor technologies and human capacity/workforce development).

Applicants are encouraged to send representatives at the CEO, President, Vice President, or Senior VP level. For academic and research institutions, representatives should be knowledgeable about their organization's program offerings and capability to partner internationally.

Referrals from political organizations and any documents containing references to partisan political activities (including political contributions) will be removed from an applicant's submission and will not be considered.

Fees and Expenses

After a company or organization has been selected to participate in the Roundtable, a payment to the DOC in the form of a participation fee is required. The fee covers direct and indirect costs related to DOC support for organizing the Roundtable.

- The fee to participate in the Roundtable is \$1,740 for a large company, trade association, or a university or research institution. The fee to participate in the Roundtable is

\$1,313 for a small or medium-sized company (SME).

- The fee for each additional representative (large company, trade association, university/research institution) \$1,740. The fee for each additional representative (SME) is \$1,313.
- To apply for the Roundtable, complete the event application at <https://emenuapps.ita.doc.gov/ePublic/TM/8ROT>.

Participants selected for the Roundtable will be expected to pay for the cost of all personal expenses, including, but not limited to, international travel, lodging, meals, transportation, communication, and incidentals, unless otherwise noted. In the event that the Roundtable is cancelled, no personal expenses paid in anticipation of the event will be reimbursed. However, participation fees for a cancelled Roundtable will be reimbursed to the extent they have not already been expended in the anticipation of the event.

Visas

All attendees are responsible for handling their own visa processing to enter the KSA. Any private sector visitor to the KSA must submit an original, signed passport valid for six months beyond their stay in the KSA, with at least two adjacent, blank passport pages available for Saudi visa stamp and Saudi entry stamps. Amendment pages in the back of the passport are not suitable for a Saudi Arabia visa. A Saudi visa is usually processed in 4 to 7 business days after all materials, including signed enjaf forms, have been received by the visa processing company. There are numerous companies with which the KSA Embassy in Washington, DC works to handle visa applications. Accepted applicants will receive information on how to process their visa application.

All visitors to the KSA also require a letter of invitation from a Saudi partner. DOC will work with K.A.CARE to facilitate a Letter of Invitation for Roundtable participants.

Timeframe for Recruitment and Participation

Recruitment for participation in the Roundtable will be conducted in an open and public manner, including publication in the **Federal Register**, posting on the DOC trade mission calendar, and notices to industry trade associations and other multiplier groups. The recruitment period will end two weeks after publication in the **Federal Register** or when recruitment is at capacity. The Department of

Commerce will evaluate applications and inform applicants of selection decisions on a rolling basis until the maximum number of participants has been selected. Applications received after December 8, 2017, will be considered only if space and scheduling permit.

Contacts

Jonathan Chesebro, Industry & Analysis, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries, Washington, DC, Tel: (202) 482-1297, Email: jonathan.chesebro@trade.gov

Devin Horne, Industry & Analysis, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries, Washington, DC, Tel: (202) 482-0775, Email: devin.horne@trade.gov

Edward A. O'Malley,

Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

[FR Doc. 2017-26225 Filed 12-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-819]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part; 2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015. This review covers two producers/exporters of subject merchandise that the Department selected for individual examination: Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. (COTAS) and Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. (Colakoglu Metalurji) (collectively, Colakoglu) and Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (Icdas) (collectively, the mandatory respondents). This review also covers the following firms that were not individually examined: Acemar International Limited, As Gaz Sinai ve Tibbi Azlar A.S., Asil Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Izmir Demir Celik Sanayi A.S., Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S., Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S., Kocaer

Haddecilik Sanayi Ve Ticar L, Mettech Metalurji Madencilik Muhendislik Uretim Danismanlik ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi, MMZ Onur Boru Profil A.S., Ozkan Demir Celik Sanayi A.S., and Wilmar Europe Trading BV. We preliminarily find that the mandatory respondents each received a *de minimis* net subsidy rate during the POR. See the “Preliminary Results of the Review” section of this notice below for the preliminary rates calculated for all companies covered in this review.

DATES: Applicable December 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4793.

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order consists of steel concrete reinforcing bar imported in either straight length or coil form (rebar) regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade. The subject merchandise is classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) primarily under item numbers 7213.10.0000, 7214.20.0000, and 7228.30.8010. The subject merchandise may also enter under other HTSUS numbers including 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.5000, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, 7222.11.0001, 7222.11.0057, 7222.11.0059, 7222.30.0001, 7227.20.0080, 7227.90.6085, 7228.20.1000, and 7228.60.6000. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this *Order* is dispositive.¹

Methodology

We are conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each subsidy program found countervailable, we preliminarily find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an “authority” that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the

¹ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Countervailing Duty Order*, 79 FR 65926 (November 6, 2014) (*Order*). For a full description of the scope of this order, see Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, and the Preliminary Intent to Rescind, in Part: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey; 2015,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

subsidy is specific.² For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided in the Appendix to this notice.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part

Agir Haddecilik A.S. (Agir) timely filed a no-shipments certification.³ U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) did not provide to the Department any contradictory information.⁴ Because there is no evidence on the record to indicate that Agir had entries, exports, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we intend to rescind the review with respect to Agir.

Entries of merchandise produced and exported by Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas) are not subject to countervailing duties under this *Order* because the Department’s final determination with respect to this producer/exporter combination was negative.⁵ However, any entries of merchandise produced by any other entity and exported by Habas or produced by Habas and exported by another entity are subject to this *Order*.

² See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

³ Agir was previously known as Agir Haddecilik Makina ve Sanayi Ticaret Ltd. Sti. Agir’s former name was included in the *Initiation Notice*. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 4294, 4298 (January 13, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁴ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at Intent to Rescind the 2015 Administrative Review, in Part.

⁵ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination*, 79 FR 54963, 54964 (September 15, 2014).

Because there is no evidence on the record of entries of merchandise produced by another entity and exported by Habas, or entries of merchandise produced by Habas and exported by another entity, we preliminarily determine that Habas is not subject to this administrative review. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we intend to rescind the review with respect to Habas. A final decision on whether to rescind the review of Agir and Habas will be made in the final results of this administrative review.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily calculated individual subsidy rates for the mandatory respondents, Colakoglu and Icdas, and find that each company each received a *de minimis* net subsidy rate during the POR.

In CVD proceedings, where the number of respondents being individually examined has been limited, the Department has determined that a “reasonable method” to use to determine the rate applicable to companies that were not individually examined when all the rates of selected mandatory respondents are zero or *de minimis* is to assign to the non-selected respondents the average of the most recently determined rates that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.⁶ However, if a non-selected respondent has its own calculated rate that is contemporaneous with or more recent than such previous rates, the Department has found it appropriate to apply that calculated rate to the non-selected respondent, even when that rate is zero or *de minimis*.⁷

In the *Turkey Rebar First Review*, the most recently completed administrative review of this order, we calculated a net subsidy rate of 0.02 percent *ad valorem* for Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S. (collectively, Kaptan).⁸ Therefore, consistent with the Department’s practice, described above, we are assigning the rate of 0.02 percent

⁶ See, e.g., *Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2012 and Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, in Part*, 79 FR 51140, 51141 (August 27, 2014); and *Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012*, 79 FR 46770 (August 11, 2014), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Non-Selected Rate.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014*, 82 FR 26907, 26908 (June 12, 2017) (*Turkey Rebar First Review*).

ad valorem to Kaptan, based on the company's rate calculated in the prior review.

With regard to the 10 remaining non-selected companies, for which an

individual rate was not calculated, we are assigning the rate of 1.25 percent *ad valorem*, which is the sole above *de minimis* rate calculated within a segment of this proceeding.⁹

We preliminarily find that the net countervailable subsidy rates for the period January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015 are as follows:

Company	Subsidy rate Ad Valorem (%)
Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. and its cross-owned affiliates ¹⁰	0.02
Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. and Colakoglu Metalurji A.S	0.18
Acemar International Limited	1.25
As Gaz Sinai ve Tibbi Azlar A.S. ¹¹	1.25
Asil Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. ¹²	1.25
Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. ¹³	1.25
Izmir Demir Celik Sanayi A.S.	1.25
Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. ¹⁴ and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S. ¹⁵	0.02
Kocaer Haddecilik Sanayi Ve Ticar L	1.25
Mettech Metalurji Madencilik Muhendislik Uretim Danismanlik ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi	1.25
MMZ Onur Boru Profil A.S	1.25
Ozkan Demir Celik Sanayi A.S	1.25
Wilmar Europe Trading BV	1.25

Assessment Rates

Consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the Department intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount shown above for the reviewed companies should the final results remain the same as these preliminary results. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose to the parties in this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results

within five days of the date of publication of this notice.¹⁶ Interested parties may submit written arguments (case briefs) on the preliminary results no later than 30 days from the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice, and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.¹⁷ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) Statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁸ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If the Department receives a request for a hearing, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the main Department of Commerce building at a time and location to be determined.¹⁹ Parties should confirm by

telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Parties are reminded that briefs and hearing requests are to be filed electronically using ACCESS and that electronically filed documents must be received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after publication of these preliminary results.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4).

⁹ The rate of 1.25 percent was calculated for Icdas in the underlying investigation. See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination*, 79 FR 54963, 54964 (September 15, 2014).

¹⁰ The Department preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Icdas: Mardas Marmara Deniz Isletmeciligi A.S., Oraysan Insaat Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Artnak Denizcilik Ticaret ve Sanayi A.S., and Demir Sanayi Demir Celik Ticaret ve Sanayi A.S.

¹¹ The company's name was incorrectly spelled as As Gaz Sinai ve Tibbi Azlar AS. in the *Initiation Notice*. See *Initiation Notice*, 82 FR at 4298.

¹² The company's name was incorrectly spelled as Asil Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret AS. in the *Initiation Notice*. *Id.*

¹³ The company's name was incorrectly spelled as Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret AS. in the *Initiation Notice*. *Id.*

¹⁴ The company's name was incorrectly spelled as Kaptan Demir Celik Industrisi ve Ticaret A.S. in the *Initiation Notice*. *Id.*

¹⁵ In its request for review, the petitioner listed the company name as Kaptan Metal Dis Tic Ve Nak

AS. See Petitioner's Letter, "Request for Administrative Review," dated November 30, 2016, and *Initiation Notice*, 82 FR at 4298. The petitioner subsequently clarified that the review request was for Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S. See Petitioner's Letter, "Response to Clarification Request," dated July 26, 2017.

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii); 351.309(d)(1); and 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁹ See 19 CFR 351.310.

Dated: November 30, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Intent To Rescind the 2015 Administrative Review, in Part
- IV. Non-Selected Rate
- V. Scope of the Order
- VI. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VII. Analysis of Programs
 - A. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Countervailable
 1. Rediscount Program
 2. Deductions From Taxable Income for Export Revenue
 - B. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Not Confer Countervailable Benefits
 1. Provision of Natural Gas for Less Than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR)
 2. Inward Processing Regime
 3. Assistance To Offset Costs Related to Antidumping/CVD Investigations
 4. Investment Incentive Certificates
 - C. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Not Be Countervailable
 1. Payments From the Turkish Employers' Association of Metal Industries (MESS)—
- Social Security Premium Support
 2. Payments From MESS—Occupational Health and Safety Support
- D. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Not Be Used
 1. Purchase of Electricity for More Than Adequate Remuneration (MTAR)—Sales via Build-Operate-Own, Build-Operate-Transfer, and Transfer of Operating Rights Contracts
 2. Purchase of Electricity Generated From Renewable Resources for MTAR
 3. Provision of Lignite for LTAR
 4. Reduction and Exemption of Licensing Fees for Renewable Resource Power Plants
 5. Research and Development Grant Program
 6. Export Credits, Loans, and Insurance From Turk Eximbank
 7. Regional Investment Incentives
 8. Large-Scale Investment Incentives
 9. Strategic Investment Incentives
 10. Incentives for Research & Development (R&D) Activities
 11. Regional Development Subsidies
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-26292 Filed 12-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-820]

Certain Small Diameter Seamless Carbon and Alloy Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Germany: Final Results of the Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain small diameter seamless carbon and alloy standard, line and pressure pipe (seamless pipe) from Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable December 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: John McGowan, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3019.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 1, 2017, the Department published the notice of initiation of the fourth sunset review of the antidumping duty order on seamless pipe from Germany, pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ On August 16, 2017, the Department received a notice of intent to participate in this review from United States Steel Corporation (U.S. Steel) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). U.S. Steel claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic like product in the United States.

On August 31, 2017, we received a complete substantive response for this review from U.S. Steel within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive responses from any other interested parties, nor was a hearing requested. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the order.

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 82 FR 35748 (August 1, 2017).

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order includes small diameter seamless carbon and alloy standard, line and pressure pipes (seamless pipes) produced to the ASTM A-335, ASTM A-106, ASTM A-53 and API 5L specifications and meeting the physical parameters described below, regardless of application. The scope of the order also includes all products used in standard, line, or pressure pipe applications and meeting the physical parameters below, regardless of specification.

For purposes of the order, seamless pipes are seamless carbon and alloy (other than stainless) steel pipes, of circular cross-section, not more than 114.3 mm (4.5 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, manufacturing process (hot-finished or cold-drawn), end finish (plain end, beveled end, upset end, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or surface finish. These pipes are commonly known as standard pipe, line pipe or pressure pipe, depending upon the application. They may also be used in structural applications. Pipes produced in non-standard wall thicknesses are commonly referred to as tubes.

The seamless pipes subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7304.19.10.20, 7304.19.50.20, 7304.31.60.50, 7304.39.00.16, 7304.39.00.20, 7304.39.00.24, 7304.39.00.28, 7304.39.00.32, 7304.51.50.05, 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.10, 7304.59.80.15, 7304.59.80.20, and 7304.59.80.25 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).

The following information further defines the scope of the order, which covers pipes meeting the physical parameters described above:

Specifications, Characteristics, and Uses: Seamless pressure pipes are intended for the conveyance of water, steam, petrochemicals, chemicals, oil products, natural gas and other liquids and gasses in industrial piping systems. They may carry these substances at elevated pressures and temperatures and may be subject to the application of external heat. Seamless carbon steel pressure pipe meeting the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard A-106 may be used in temperatures of up to 1000 degrees Fahrenheit, at various American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) code stress levels. Alloy pipes made to ASTM standard A-335 must be used if temperatures and stress levels exceed those allowed for A-106 and the ASME codes. Seamless pressure pipes sold in