

antidumping investigation.<sup>10</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.<sup>11</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit cases briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>12</sup> Parties who submit comments are requested to submit: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>13</sup> All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, ACCESS.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system, and an electronically filed request must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, within 30 days of publication of this notice.<sup>14</sup> Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.<sup>15</sup> Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 213(h)(2), the Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues

<sup>10</sup> See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 79 FR 54967 (September 15, 2014).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310.

raised by the parties in their case briefs, within 120 days after issuance of these preliminary results.<sup>16</sup>

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and increase the subsequent assessment of the antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping duties reimbursed.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 30, 2017.

#### Gary Taverman,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Extension of Preliminary Results
4. Scope of the Order
5. Duty Absorption
6. Allegation of Particular Market Situation
7. Margin for Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
8. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
9. Discussion of Methodology
  - Date of Sale
  - Comparisons to Normal Value
  - Product Comparisons
  - Determination of Comparison Method
  - Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
  - Constructed Export Price
  - Normal Value
    - A. Home Market Viability
    - B. Level of Trade
    - C. Sales to Affiliated Customers
    - D. Cost of Production Analysis
      1. Calculation of Cost of Production (COP)
      2. Test of Home Market Prices
      3. Results of the COP Test
      - E. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
      - F. Currency Conversion
      - Currency Conversion
10. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-26295 Filed 12-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>16</sup> See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

#### Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016. The Department preliminarily determines that the producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable December 6, 2017.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andre Gziryan or Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2201 or (202) 482-0410, respectively.

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube. Imports of the product are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>1</sup>

#### Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price is

<sup>1</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: 2015-2016," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Department's Central Records Unit, located at Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

#### Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review

We preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the respondents for the period November 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016.<sup>2</sup>

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
AJU Besteel .....	23.17
Husteel Co., Ltd .....	8.18
Hyundai Steel Company .....	38.16
NEXTEEL .....	23.17
SeAH Steel Corporation .....	23.17

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>3</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of

<sup>2</sup> In the initiation notice, we initiated reviews of both Hyundai HYSCO and Hyundai Steel Company but stated that Hyundai Steel Company is the successor-in-interest to Hyundai HYSCO. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 4294, 4296 (January 13, 2017).

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>4</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically *via* ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>5</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

If a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>6</sup> If the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of reviews, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*.<sup>7</sup>

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Husteel Co., Ltd. or Hyundai Steel Company for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination,

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>6</sup> In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>7</sup> See *Final Modification for Reviews*, 77 FR at 8102.

AJU Besteel, NEXTEEL, and SeAH Steel Corporation, we will instruct CBP to apply the rates listed above to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by these firms.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of this review for all shipments of CWP from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be the rates established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 4.80 percent,<sup>8</sup> the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance

<sup>8</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela, and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea*, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992).

with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 30, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Rates for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
  - (1) Comparisons to Normal Value
    - A. Determination of Comparison Method
    - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
- VI. Date of Sale
- VII. Product Comparisons
- VIII. Constructed Export Price
- IX. Normal Value
  - A. Particular Market Situation
    1. Background
    2. Analysis
  - B. Comparison Market Viability
  - C. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
  - D. Level of Trade/CEP Offset
  - E. Overrun Sales
  - F. Cost of Production
    1. Calculation of Cost of Production
    2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
    3. Results of the COP Test
  - G. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
- X. Currency Conversion
- XI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-26296 Filed 12-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-900]

**Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) from the People's Republic of China (the PRC). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016. The Department has preliminarily determined that certain

companies covered by this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable December 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Yang Jin Chun or Bryan Hansen, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5760 and (202) 482-3683, respectively.

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is diamond sawblades and parts thereof. The diamond sawblades subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 8202 to 8206 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), and may also enter under 6804.21.00. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>1</sup>

**Preliminary Determination of No Shipments**

Ten companies that received a separate rate in previous segments of the proceeding and are subject to this review reported that they did not have any exports of subject merchandise during the POR.<sup>2</sup> We requested that CBP report any information contrary to these claims of no shipments.<sup>3</sup> To date, we have not received any contrary information from either CBP in response to our inquiry or any other sources that nine of these companies had any shipments of the subject merchandise sold to the United States during the

<sup>1</sup> See the Memorandum, "Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>2</sup> See the February 6, 2017, no-shipment letters from Danyang City Ou Di Ma Tools Co., Ltd., Danyang Like Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Huachang Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Orient Gain International Limited, Qingdao Hyosung Diamond Tools Co., Ltd., and Sino Tools Co., Ltd., the February 7, 2017, no-shipment letter from Qingdao Shinhan Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd., the February 10, 2017, no-shipment letters from Danyang Tsunda Diamond Tools Co., Ltd., and Jiangsu Inter-China Group Corporation, and the February 21, 2017, no-shipment letter from Danyang Hantronic Import & Export Co., Ltd.

<sup>3</sup> See CBP message numbers 7167307-7167315 and 7167318 dated June 16, 2017, available at <http://adcvd.cbp.dhs.gov/adcvdweb/>.

POR.<sup>4</sup> Further, consistent with our practice, we find that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to these companies but, rather, to complete the review and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of review.<sup>5</sup>

**Separate Rates**

The Department preliminarily determines that 20 respondents are eligible to receive separate rates in this review.<sup>6</sup>

**Separate Rates for Eligible Non-Selected Respondents**

In accordance with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's decision in *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*,<sup>7</sup> we assigned to eligible non-selected respondents the separate rate we assigned to Chengdu Huifeng Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.<sup>8</sup> and the Jiangsu Fengtai Single Entity<sup>9</sup> for the preliminary results of this review.<sup>10</sup>

**PRC-Wide Entity**

The Department's change in policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this administrative review.<sup>11</sup> Under this policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the entity's rate is

<sup>4</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3-4 for more explanation. See also the preliminary separate rate denial memorandum for Qingdao Hyosung Diamond Tools Co., Ltd., dated concurrently with this notice for more details containing business proprietary information.

<sup>5</sup> See, e.g., *Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Rescission, In Part, of Administrative Review and Final Results of New Shipper Review; 2013*, 80 FR 34619 (June 17, 2015).

<sup>6</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4-8, for more details.

<sup>7</sup> See *Albemarle Corp. & Subsidiaries v. United States*, 821 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016).

<sup>8</sup> In a separate changed-circumstances review, we preliminarily found that Chengdu Huifeng New Material Technology Co., Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Chengdu Huifeng Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.. See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 82 FR 51605 (November 7, 2017).

<sup>9</sup> Jiangsu Fengtai Diamond Tool Manufacture Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Fengtai Tools Co., Ltd., and Jiangsu Fengtai Sawing Industry Co., Ltd., comprise the Jiangsu Fengtai Single Entity. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2, n. 5.

<sup>10</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 8.

<sup>11</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).