

Bureau Form Number: Form ONRR–4393.
Frequency: Annually and on occasion.
Estimated Number and Description of Respondents: 120 Federal lessees/designees and 7 States for Federal oil and gas.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping “Hour” Burden: 10,018 hours.

We have not included in our estimates certain requirements performed in the normal course of

business and considered as usual and customary. We display the estimated annual burden hours by CFR section and paragraph in the following chart:

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION COLLECTIONS

Information collections	Requirement to respond	Frequency of response	Number of annual responses	Annual burden hours	Annual cost (\$51/hr.)
<i>Oil and Gas Valuation</i> (Part 1206 not including Sections 1206.109, 1206.156, and 1206.158(c)(3) below).	Mandatory	On occasion	114	8,396	\$428,196
<i>Request to Exceed Regulatory Allowance Limitation (Form ONRR–4393)</i> (Sections 1206.109, 1206.156, and 1206.158(c)(3)).	Required to obtain a benefit.	On occasion	19	1,096	55,896
<i>Accounting and Auditing Relief for Marginal Properties—Industry</i> (Sections 1204.202(b)(1), 1204.203(b), 1204.205(a) & (b), and 1204.206(a)(3)(i), (b)(1), & 1204.209(b)).	Required to obtain a benefit.	Annually	3	246	12,546
<i>Accounting and Auditing Relief for Marginal Properties—States</i> (Section 1204.208(c)(1), (d)(1), & (e)).	Required to obtain a benefit.	Annually	7	280	14,280
Total			143	10,018	\$510,918

Note: Audit Process—The Office of Regulatory Affairs determined that the audit process is exempt from the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 because ONRR staff asks non-standard questions to resolve exceptions.

This 30-day **Federal Register** notice burden chart shows an adjustment increase of +820 burden hours. This adjustment is based on analyzed historical data since the last renewal for 1206.153(g), 1206.156(c)(3), 1206.157(a)(1)(i), 1206.157(b)(1), 1206.158(c)(3), 1206.159(a)(1)(i), and 1206.159(b)(1); this also includes addressing industry’s comments.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-hour” Cost Burden: We have identified no “non-hour” cost burden associated with the collection of information.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person does not have to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

III. Request for Comments

Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires each agency to “* * * provide 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** * * * and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information * * *.” Agencies must specifically solicit comments to (a) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of

information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information that ONRR collects; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

To comply with the public consultation process, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** on June 6, 2016 (81 FR 36325), announcing that we would submit this ICR to OMB for approval. The notice provided the required 60-day comment period. We received no unsolicited comments in response to the notice.

If you wish to comment in response to this notice, you may send your comments to the offices listed under the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection, but they may respond after 30 days. Therefore, in order to ensure maximum consideration, OMB should receive public comments by March 6, 2017.

Public Comment Policy: We will post all comments, including names and addresses of respondents at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Before including Personally Identifiable Information (PII), such as your address, phone number, email address, or other personal information in your comment(s), you should be aware that your entire comment (including PII) may be made available to the public at any time. While you may ask us, in your comment, to withhold PII from public

view, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

Dated: January 31, 2017.

Gregory J. Gould,

Director, Office of Natural Resources Revenue.

[FR Doc. 2017–02356 Filed 2–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4335–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–973]

Certain Wearable Activity Tracking Devices, Systems, and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant’s Unopposed Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based Upon Withdrawal of the Complaint; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 27) of the presiding

administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–973 on December 7, 2015, based on a complaint filed by Fitbit, Inc. of San Francisco, California (“Fitbit”). 80 FR 76040 (Dec. 7, 2015). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wearable activity tracking devices, systems, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,920,332 (“the ’332 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,868,377 (“the ’377 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 9,089,760 (“the ’760 patent”). The notice of investigation named the following respondents: AliphCom d/b/a Jawbone of San Francisco, California and BodyMedia, Inc. of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (collectively, “Jawbone”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (OUII) is a party to the investigation.

On July 19, 2016, the ALJ issued Order No. 24 granting a motion for summary determination that the ’332 patent, the ’377 patent, and the ’760 patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 101 for being directed to ineligible subject matter, and terminated the investigation in its entirety. On August 1, 2016, Fitbit petitioned for review of the ID. On August 8, 2016, Jawbone and OUII filed oppositions to Fitbit’s petition. On

September 7, 2016, the Commission determined to review the ID, and on review, vacated and remanded for the ALJ to continue the investigation as to the ’332 patent and the ’377 patent.

On December 23, 2016, Fitbit moved unopposed to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon withdrawal of the complaint. Fitbit indicated that Jawbone and OUII do not oppose the motion.

On January 3, 2017, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 27), granting the unopposed motion. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)) and further found that no extraordinary circumstances prohibited granting the motion. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. This terminates the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 1, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–02423 Filed 2–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–825–826 (Third Review)]

Polyester Staple Fiber From Korea and Taiwan

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on polyester staple fiber from Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)),

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

instituted these reviews on August 1, 2016 (81 FR 50544) and determined on November 4, 2016 that it would conduct expedited reviews (81 FR 87587, December 5, 2016).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on January 31, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4668 (January 2017), entitled Polyester Staple Fiber from Korea and Taiwan: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–825–826 (Third Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 31, 2017.

Katherine M. Hiner,

Acting Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2017–02362 Filed 2–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1124–0004]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection; Exhibit B to Registration Statement of Foreign Agents (NSD–4)

AGENCY: Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA) Unit, Counterintelligence and Export Control Section (CES), National Security Division (NSD), U.S. Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA) Unit, Counterintelligence and Export Control Section (CES), National Security Division (NSD), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until April 7, 2017. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact