

the ALIs required by paragraph (i) of AD 2017–22–03.

(2) For airplanes identified in Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.1, dated May 18, 2017: Concurrently with the revision required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, revise the maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, to incorporate the ALIs specified in Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.1, dated May 18, 2017. The initial compliance time for accomplishing the actions is at the applicable time identified in the ALIs specified in Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.1, dated May 18, 2017, or within 90 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later; without exceeding the inspection intervals in the ALIs required by paragraph (i) of AD 2017–22–03.

(3) For airplanes identified in Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.2, dated May 24, 2017: Concurrently with the revision required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, revise the maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, to incorporate the ALIs specified in A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.2, dated May 24, 2017. The initial compliance time for accomplishing the actions is at the applicable time identified in the ALIs specified in Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.2, dated May 24, 2017, or within 90 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later; without exceeding the inspection intervals in the ALIs required by paragraph (i) of AD 2017–22–03.

(h) No Alternative Actions, Intervals, and Critical Design Configuration Control Limitations (CDCCLs)

After the maintenance or inspection program has been revised as required by paragraph (g) of this AD, no alternative actions (e.g., inspections) or intervals may be used unless the actions or intervals are approved as an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (j)(1) of this AD.

(i) Terminating Action for Other FAA ADs

(1) Accomplishing the action required by paragraph (g) of this AD terminates the requirements of paragraphs (g) and (j) of AD 2016–09–06.

(2) Accomplishing the action required by paragraph (g) of this AD terminates the requirements of paragraphs (g)(2) and (i) of AD 2017–22–03.

(j) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)*: The Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Section, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (k)(2) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov.

(i) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(ii) AMOCs previously approved for AD 2015–05–02, Amendment 39–18112 (80 FR 15152, March 23, 2015) that required incorporation of the service information in paragraphs (j)(1)(ii)(A), (j)(1)(ii)(B), (j)(1)(ii)(C), or (j)(1)(ii)(D), are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of this AD.

(A) Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Revision 06, dated April 10, 2017.

(B) Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.1, dated May 18, 2017.

(C) Airbus A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.2, dated May 24, 2017.

(D) A318/A319/A320/A321 Airworthiness Limitation Section Part 2—Damage Tolerant Airworthiness Limitation Items (DT–ALI), Variation 6.3, October 24, 2017.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA; or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus's EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA). If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.

(k) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) EASA AD 2017–0231, dated November 21, 2017, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0512.

(2) For more information about this AD, contact Sanjay Ralhan, Aerospace Engineer, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax 206–231–3223.

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus, Airworthiness

Office—EIAS, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 44 51; email account.airworth-eas@airbus.com; internet <http://www.airbus.com>. You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on June 6, 2018.

Michael Kaszycki,

Acting Director, System Oversight Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–12733 Filed 6–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

20 CFR Part 401

[Docket No. SSA–2015–0003]

RIN 0960–AI08

**Social Security Administration
Violence Evaluation and Reporting
System**

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: In today's **Federal Register**, the Social Security Administration (SSA) separately published, notice of a new system of records, Social Security Administration Violence Evaluation and Reporting System (SSAVERS). Because this system will contain investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, this proposed rule will exempt those records from specific provisions of the Privacy Act.

DATES: To ensure that your comments are considered, we must receive them no later than July 16, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any one of three methods—internet, fax, or mail. Do not submit the same comments multiple times or by more than one method. Regardless of which method you choose, please state that your comments refer to Docket No. SSA–2015–0003, so that we may associate your comments with the correct regulation.

Caution: You should be careful to include in your comments only information that you wish to make publicly available. We strongly urge you not to include in your comments any personal information, such as Social Security numbers or medical information.

1. **Internet:** We strongly recommend that you submit your comments via the internet. Please visit the Federal eRulemaking portal at <http://>

www.regulations.gov. Use the Search function to find docket number SSA–2015–0003. The system will issue a tracking number to confirm your submission. You will not be able to view your comment immediately because we must post each comment manually. It may take up to a week for your comment to be viewable.

2. *Fax*: Fax comments to (410) 966–2830.

3. *Mail*: Address your comments to the Office of Regulations and Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration, 3100 West High Rise, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401.

Comments are available for public viewing on the Federal eRulemaking portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> or in person, during regular business hours, by arranging with the contact person identified below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pamela J. Carcieri, Supervisory Government Information Specialist, SSA, Office of Privacy and Disclosure, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401, Phone: (410) 965–0355, for information about this rule. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–1213 or TTY 1–800–325–0778, or visit our internet site, Social Security Online, at <http://www.socialsecurity.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a), we are issuing public notice of our intent to establish a new system of records entitled, Social Security Administration Violence Evaluation and Reporting System (SSAvers) (60–0379). On April 18, 2012, President Obama issued the memorandum, *Establishing Policies for Addressing Domestic Violence in the Federal Workforce*, which directed the Office of Personnel Management to issue guidance to all departments and agencies to create policy that addressed domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Because of this guidance, we developed the Workplace and Domestic Violence policy and program. We established SSAvers as part of this policy and program to record, review, investigate, and respond to allegations of workplace and domestic violence affecting our employees and contractors.

We are establishing SSAvers as part of our compliance efforts under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Congressional Accountability Act of 1995; 29 U.S.C. 51, Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970; 29 CFR 1960,

Basic Program Elements for Federal Employee Occupational Safety and Health Programs and Related Matters; 41 CFR 102–74, Subpart C, Conduct on Federal Property; 5 CFR 735, Employee Responsibilities and Conduct; 5 CFR 2635, Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch; and various other statutes related to handling incidents of workplace and domestic violence.

SSAvers will capture and house information regarding alleged incidents of workplace and domestic violence filed by SSA employees and SSA contractors, and will allow SSA's Crisis Advisory Team (CAT) to review and respond to the reported allegations. Due to the investigatory nature of information that we will maintain in this system of records, we propose this rule to add SSAvers to the list of SSA systems that are exempt from specific provisions of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2).

Rulemaking Analyses and Notices

We will consider all comments received on or before the close of business on the comment closing date previously indicated, and we will make the comments available for examination in the docket at the previously noted address. We will file comments received after the comment closing date in the docket, and we will consider them to the extent practicable. We may publish a final rule at any time after close of the comment period.

Clarity of This Rule

Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563, requires each agency to write all rules in plain language. In addition to your substantive comments on this interim final rule, we invite your comments on how to make the rule easier to understand.

For example:

- Would more, but shorter, sections be better?
- Are the requirements in the rule clearly stated?
- Have we organized the material to suit your needs?
- Could we improve clarity by adding tables, lists, or diagrams?
- What else could we do to make the rule easier to understand?
- Does the rule contain technical language or jargon that is not clear?
- Would a different format make the rule easier to understand, e.g. grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing?

Regulatory Procedures

SSA will publish a final rule responding to any comments received

and, if appropriate, will amend provisions of the rule.

Executive Order 12866, as Supplemented by Executive Order 13563

We consulted with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and determined that this final rule does not meet the criteria for a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. Therefore, OMB did not review it.

We also determined that this final rule meets the plain language requirement of Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 13132 (Federalism)

We analyzed this proposed rule in accordance with the principles and criteria established by Executive Order 13132, and we determined that the proposed rule will not have sufficient Federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism assessment. We also determined that this proposed rule will not preempt any State law or State regulation or affect the States' abilities to discharge traditional State governmental functions.

Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review)

The regulations effectuating Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed rule.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

We certify that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it affects individuals only. Therefore, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, does not require us to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Paperwork Reduction Act

These rules do not create any new or affect any existing collections and, therefore, do not require Office of Management and Budget approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 401

Administrative practice and procedure, Privacy.

Nancy Berryhill,

Acting Commissioner of Social Security.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, we are amending part 401 of title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below:

PART 401—PRIVACY AND DISCLOSURE OF OFFICIAL RECORDS AND INFORMATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 401 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 205, 702(a)(5), 1106, and 1141 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 405, 902(a)(5), 1306, and 1320b–11); 5 U.S.C. 552 and 552a; 8 U.S.C. 1360; 26 U.S.C. 6103; 30 U.S.C. 923.

■ 2. Amend § 401.85, by adding paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(G) to read as follows:

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) * * *

(ii) * * *

(G) Social Security Administration Violence Evaluation and Reporting System, SSA.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2018–12819 Filed 6–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2016–0963]

RIN 1625–AA09

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Tchefuncta River, Madisonville, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to change the operating schedule that governs the State Route 22 Bridge (Madisonville (SR 22) swing span bridge) across the Tchefuncta River, mile 2.5, at Madisonville, St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana. This action is necessary to relieve vehicular traffic congestion along SR 22 near Madisonville, LA during peak, afternoon weekday traffic periods.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before July 16, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2016–0963 using Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Mr. Doug

Blakemore, Eighth Coast Guard District Bridge Administrator; telephone (504) 671–2128, email Douglas.A.Blakemore@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
 DHS Department of Homeland Security
 FR Federal Register
 LA–DOTD Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
 NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
 § Section
 SNPRM Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking

II. Background, Purpose and Legal Basis

The State Route 22 Bridge (Madisonville (SR 22) swing span bridge) across the Tchefuncta River, mile 2.5, at Madisonville, St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana, is regulated under 33 CFR 117.500 and has a vertical clearance of 6.2 feet above Mean High Water in the closed-to-navigation position and unlimited clearance in the open-to-navigation position. The draw of this bridge opens on signal from 7 p.m. to 6 a.m. From 6 a.m. to 7 p.m., the draw opens on the hour and half hour, except that from 6 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday except federal holidays, the draw opens only on the hour. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of recreational traffic as the largest commercial facility upstream of the bridge is no longer in service.

On November 4, 2016, at the request of the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LA–DOTD), the Coast Guard issued a temporary deviation titled “Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Tchefuncta River, Madisonville, LA” (81 FR 76866). There, we stated that the 180-day deviation would test a temporary change to the operating schedule of the Madisonville (SR 22) swing span bridge to determine whether a permanent change is necessary. From November 21, 2016 through May 18, 2017, this deviation extended the time between openings from 30 minutes to an hour between 6 a.m. and 7 p.m. daily, and allowed the bridge to remain closed at 8 a.m., 5 p.m., and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday except federal holidays. During the comment period that closed on January 18, 2017, we received no comments.

On November 4, 2016, concurrent with the test deviation and on the basis of a recent traffic study conducted by LA–DOTD, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled “Drawbridge Operation

Regulations; Tchefuncta River, Madisonville, LA” (81 FR 76889). There, we stated that the traffic study indicated that the intersection of SR 22 and SR 21/SR1077 is over capacity at peak hours and causes unacceptable levels of delay to roadway traffic, which is compounded by the opening of the Madisonville (SR 22) swing span bridge during peak hours. The traffic study also indicated that a combination of modifications of the traffic controls at this intersection and the operating schedule of the Madisonville (SR 22) swing span bridge would enhance the flow of vehicular traffic over SR 22. The NPRM proposed a change to the schedule of the Madisonville (SR 22) swing span bridge that extended the time between openings from 30 minutes to an hour between 6 a.m. and 7 p.m. daily, and allowed the bridge to remain closed at 8 a.m., 5 p.m., and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday except federal holidays. During the comment period that closed on January 18, 2017, we received no comments.

LA–DOTD planned to conduct a study of vehicular traffic flow over the bridge as it related to a four way stop sign and traffic light at the intersection of SR 22 and SR 21 during the temporary deviation period. The LA–DOTD planned to complete four separate traffic studies at this intersection: A baseline traffic analysis across the SR 22 bridge with the original regulation and a four-way stop sign in place at the intersection of SR 22 and SR 21, a traffic analysis with the temporary deviation to the regulation and a four-way stop sign in place at the intersection of SR 22 and SR 21, a traffic analysis with the temporary deviation to the regulation and a traffic light in place at the intersection of SR 22 and SR 21, and a traffic analysis with the original regulation and a traffic light in place at the intersection of SR 22 and SR 21. On May 18, 2017, the temporary deviation expired at the end of its scheduled 180 days.

III. Discussion of Comments and Change

On May 26, 2017, LA–DOTD requested that the Coast Guard permanently change the regulation based on information provided in the traffic study, which is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. LA–DOTD also provided supplemental data and information on the population growth in St. Tammany Parish, including information on vehicle traffic counts on SR 22 from 2015, the locations of schools in the vicinity of SR 22 that contribute to vehicle congestion, St. Tammany Parish projected