transportation serving the metropolitan planning area," and "peer reviews and exchanges of . . . performance-based planning." FTA removed "arts and artistic design" from the list of eligible grant activities to comply with 49 U.S.C. 5323, as amended by the FAST Act. In Section 4, FTA reordered subsections identifying the components of a UPWP. In Section 9, FTA added programs created by MAP–21 and the FAST Act, and removed references to programs repealed by MAP–21 and the FAST Act.

# C. Chapter III—State Planning and Research Program

Chapter III provides an overview of the SPRP in terms of its statutory authority and program goals, and explains the program's relationship to and coordination with other FTAfunded programs. The chapter also defines the role of the individual States and FTA, and provides information on eligible grant activities, SPRP assistance formula and notification, and State planning activities. In Section 2, FTA removed "training and educational activities" and "human resource program activities" from the list of eligible grant activities for the SPRP because the statutory basis for their eligibility was removed by MAP-21. In Section 5, FTA added programs created by MAP-21 and the FAST Act, and removed references to programs repealed by MAP-21 and the FAST Act.

# D. Chapter IV—Consolidated Planning Grants

Chapter IV provides information on the Consolidated Planning Grant (CPG) Program, a program administered by FTA and FHWA. The CPG Program allows FTA and FHWA funding that supports metropolitan and statewide transportation planning to be combined into a single consolidated grant, which fosters a cooperative effort between the Federal agencies and the participating States to streamline the delivery of their planning programs by providing the flexibility to transfer the planning funds to either FTA or FHWA for processing. In Section 1, FTA clarified that FTA funds used for metropolitan planning in a CPG are allowed to have the same match ratio as the FHWA Metropolitan Planning funds.

## E. Chapter V—Application Instructions

Chapter V details the application process of MPOs and States that apply for and receive funds from MPP and SPRP grants. FTA made no substantive changes to this chapter. F. Appendices

FTA made minor, clarifying edits to the appendices.

Issued in Washington, DC.

# K. Jane Williams,

Acting Administrator. [FR Doc. 2018–17032 Filed 8–8–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA-2017-0129]

## Pipeline Safety: Underground Natural Gas Storage Facility User Fee

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of agency action.

SUMMARY: On December 8, 2017, PHMSA published a notice and request for comments in the Federal Register titled: "Underground Natural Gas Storage Facility User Fee" seeking comments from underground natural gas storage facility (UNGS) operators on a proposal to use UNGS annual report data in the user fee rate structure. PHMSA received two comments in the docket. We are publishing this notice to address the comments received and to announce that PHMSA has used UNGS annual report data about the number of wells in the user fee rate structure for the Pipeline Safety Fund's Underground Natural Gas Storage Facility Safety Account.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Crystal Stewart by telephone at 202– 366–1524, by fax at 202–366–4566, by email at *Crystal.Stewart@dot.gov*, or by mail at U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., PHP–2, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 (COBRA) (Pub. L. 99–272, sec. 7005), codified in part at section 60301 of title 49, United States Code, authorizes the assessment and collection of user fees to fund the pipeline safety activities conducted under chapter 601 of title 49. On June 22, 2016, President Obama signed into law the "Protecting our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety Act of 2016" (Pub. L. 114–183) (PIPES Act of 2016). Section 12 of the PIPES Act of 2016 mandates that PHMSA issue regulations for UNGS facilities, authorizes user fees on operators of these facilities, and directs PHMSA to prescribe procedures to collect those fees upon appropriation. Section 2 of the PIPES Act of 2016 authorizes \$8 million per year to be appropriated from those fees for each of FY 2017–2019 for the newly established Underground Natural Gas Storage Facility Safety Account in the Pipeline Safety Fund. After Congress appropriates funds to this account for fiscal year (FY) 2018 and beyond, PHMSA will collect user fees from the operators of the facilities.

## **Summary of Comments**

The December 8, 2017 notice advised all UNGS facility operators of a proposed PHMSA pipeline user fee assessment and rate structure (82 FR 58045). During the one-month response period, PHMSA received comments from two commenters on the proposed UNGS user fee billing methodology: WBI Energy Transmission Inc., and National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation.

The comments can be found at *http://www.regulations.gov* in Docket Number PHMSA–2017–0129. WBI Energy Transmission Inc., submitted comments supporting the rate structure proposed by PHMSA in the December 8, 2017 notice. The remaining comment is summarized below with PHMSA's response:

*Comment:* National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation stated that well count alone is not an appropriate measure for determining a user fee since larger wells will require more regulatory oversight than smaller wells.

*Response:* Each well penetrating an underground natural gas storage reservoir represents a risk. Currently, PHMSA intends to apply a consistent level of regulatory oversight to all wells, regardless of size. As PHMSA implements its underground storage inspection program, we may gain insights leading to a user fee methodology more reflective of regulatory oversight effort.

## Underground Natural Gas Storage Facility User Fee Plan

During the FY 2018 user fee process, PHMSA has used calendar year (CY) 2016 annual report data for gas transmission pipelines, hazardous liquid pipelines, and liquefied natural gas facilities. Using CY 2016 data ensures adequate time to verify annual report data quality and still be able to send user fee assessments promptly after appropriation. PHMSA does not have CY 2016 annual report data for underground natural gas storage facilities. Congress appropriated UNGS funds for FY 2018, and PHMSA has used the CY 2017 UNGS annual report data to develop the UNGS user fee rate structure for FY 2018. If Congress appropriates UNGS funds for FY 2019, PHMSA plans to use the CY 2017 UNGS annual report data to develop the UNGS user fee rate structure for FY 2019. Specifically, PHMSA will use the number of injection/withdraw wells (section C7) and monitoring/observation wells (section C8) in the rate structure. For the FY 2020 user fee rate structure, PHMSA would use the CY 2018 UNGS annual report data.

For FY 2018, Congress has appropriated \$8 million to the Underground Natural Gas Storage

Facility Safety Account in the Pipeline Safety Fund. PHMSA has used the following steps to develop the user fee rate structure. PHMSA summed the number of wells from sections C7 and C8 of the annual report for each operator. Once PHMSA determined the number of wells for all UNGS operators, each operator was parsed into one of 10 tiers, based on an ordinal ranking of its well counts compared to other UNGS operators. The operators with the lowest well-count values were placed in tier 1, with the highest operator well-count values in tier 10. The minimum and maximum well counts for each tier were then selected so that an equal number

of operators were placed in each tier. This tiered fee structure is designed to place a larger share of the user fee on operators with higher well counts.

Using CY 2017 UNGS annual report data as of May 9, 2018, the following table shows the tier boundaries and user fee for each operator in the tier. When the tier boundary spans two tiers, PHMSA randomly selected operators for the lower tier. For example, four wells is the boundary between Tiers 1 and 2. Seven operators have four wells, but only two operators were randomly selected and placed in Tier 1. The remaining five operators with four wells were placed in Tier 2.

Tier	Amount (% of total)	Tier boundaries	Number of operators	User fee per operator
7 8 9	\$480,000 (6%)	4 to 7 wells 7 to 12 wells 13 to 19 wells 19 to 27 wells 28 to 45 wells 46 to 68 wells 69 to 100 wells 101 to 377 wells	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 12 12 12	\$12,308 24,615 30,769 36,923 49,231 61,538 73,846 86,667 100,000 166,667

In summary, PHMSA has used UNGS annual report data about the number of wells in the FY 2018 user fee rate structure for the Pipeline Safety Fund's Underground Natural Gas Storage Facility Safety Account. In future years, the final tier boundaries and user fee per operator may differ slightly from those listed above based on the annual report data at the time the rate structure is established.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 3, 2018, under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.97.

#### Alan K. Mayberry,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety. [FR Doc. 2018–17053 Filed 8–8–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA-2018-0078]

# Pipeline Safety: Information Collection Activities, Revision to OPID Assignment Request and National Registry Notification

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** PHMSA is preparing to request Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the revision of the Operator Assignment Request (PHMSA F 1000.1) and National Registry Notification (PHMSA F 1000.2) currently approved under OMB control number 2137–0627. PHMSA proposes revising certain parts of the forms and instructions. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, PHMSA invites comments on the proposed revisions.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 9, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted in the following ways:

*E-Gov Website: http:// www.regulations.gov.* This site allows the public to enter comments on any **Federal Register** notice issued by any agency.

Fax: 1-202-493-2251.

Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

Hand Delivery: Room W12–140 on the ground level of DOT, West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Instructions: Identify the docket number, PHMSA-2018-0078, at the beginning of your comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. You should know that anyone can search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). Therefore, you may want to review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000, (65 FR 19476) or visit http:// www.regulations.gov before submitting any such comments.

Docket: For access to the docket or to read background documents or comments, go to http:// www.regulations.gov at any time or to Room W12-140 on the ground level of DOT, West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. If you wish to receive confirmation of receipt of your written comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped postcard with the following statement: Comments on: PHMSA-2018-0078." The docket clerk will date stamp the postcard prior to returning it