

10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222-5110; email 9-ASW-FTW-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) For operations conducted under a 14 CFR part 119 operating certificate or under 14 CFR part 91, subpart K, we suggest that you notify your principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office or certificate holding district office before operating any aircraft complying with this AD through an AMOC.

(h) Additional Information

The subject of this AD is addressed in Transport Canada AD No. CF-2016-13, dated May 16, 2016. You may view the Transport Canada AD on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> in the AD Docket.

(i) Subject

Joint Aircraft Service Component (JASC)
Code: 6300, Main Rotor Drive System.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on August 10, 2018.

Lance T. Gant,

Director, Compliance & Airworthiness
Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-17902 Filed 8-20-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 311

[Docket ID: DOD-2018-OS-0055]

Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Defense, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Secretary of Defense proposes to exempt some records maintained in DMDC 18 DoD, “Synchronized Predeployment and Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite (SPOT-ES) Records,” from subsection (d) of the Privacy Act. A system of records notice for this system has been published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

DATES: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(1), (2) and (3), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment. Therefore, please submit any comments by September 20, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Department of Defense, Office of the Chief Management Officer, Directorate for Oversight and Compliance, 4800 Mark Center Drive,

Mailbox #24, Suite 08D09, Alexandria, VA 22350-1700.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this Federal Register document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Luz D. Ortiz, Chief, Records, Privacy and Declassification Division (RPDD), 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20311-1155, or by phone at (571) 372-0478.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposed modification to 32 CFR part 311 adds a new Privacy Act exemption rule for the Synchronized Redeployment and Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite (SPOT-ES), which is used at installations to manage, track, account for, monitor, and report on contracts, companies, and contractor employees supporting contingency operations, humanitarian assistance operations, peace operations, disaster relief operations, military exercises, events, and other activities that require contractor support. Contract scope, installations, and/or activities requiring contractor support as documented in SPOT-ES may be classified under Executive Order (E.O.) 13526, “Classified National Security Information.” Information classified under E.O. 13526, as implemented by DoD Manual (DoDM) 5200.01 Volume 1, and DoD Instruction (DoDI) 5200.01, may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1). Granting unfettered access to information that is properly classified pursuant to those authorities may cause damage to the national security.

Regulatory Procedures

Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review” and Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review”

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distribute impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 also emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting

flexibility. This rule is not a significant regulatory action under E.O. 12866.

Executive Order 13771, “Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs”

This proposed rule is not a deregulatory action but a modification to an existing rule.

2 U.S.C. Ch. 25, “Unfunded Mandates Reform Act”

This proposed rule is not subject to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1532) because it does not contain a federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100M or more in any one year.

Public Law 96-354, “Regulatory Flexibility Act” (5 U.S.C. Chapter 6)

It has been certified that this rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it is concerned only with the administration of Privacy Act systems of records within DoD. A Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required.

Public Law 96-511, “Paperwork Reduction Act” (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)

It has been determined that this rule does not impose additional information collection requirements on the public under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Executive Order 13132, “Federalism”

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct requirement costs on State and local governments, preempts State law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. This proposed rule will not have a substantial effect on State and local governments.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 311

Privacy.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 311 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 311—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 311 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a.

- 2. Section 311.8 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(28) to read as follows:

§ 311.8 Procedures for exemptions.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(28) *System identifier and name:* DMDC 18 DoD, Synchronized Predeployment and Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite (SPOT-ES) Records.

(i) *Exemption:* Information classified under E.O. 13526, as implemented by DoD 5200.1-R, may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1).

(ii) *Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1).

(iii) *Reasons:* From subsection 5 U.S.C. 552a(d) because granting access to information that is properly classified pursuant to E.O. 13526, as implemented by DoD Manual 5200.01 Volume 1, and DoD Instruction 5200.01, may cause damage to the national security.

Dated: August 15, 2018.

Aaron T. Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2018-17954 Filed 8-20-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0699; EPA-R05-OAR-2017-0165; FRL-9982-31-Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Attainment Plan for the Lake County SO₂ Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision which Ohio submitted to EPA on April 3, 2015, and supplemented in October 2015 and March 2017, as its plan for attaining the 1-hour sulfur dioxide (SO₂) primary national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for the Lake County SO₂ nonattainment area. This plan (herein called a “nonattainment plan”) includes Ohio’s attainment demonstration, enforceable emission limitations and control measures, and other elements required under the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA proposes to conclude that Ohio has appropriately demonstrated that the nonattainment plan provides for attainment of the 2010 1-hour primary SO₂ NAAQS in Lake County by the applicable attainment date and that the plan meets the other applicable requirements under the CAA.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 20, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0699 (nonattainment SIP) or

EPA-R05-OAR-2017-0165 (SO₂ rule revisions) at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to Blakley.pamela@epa.gov. For comments submitted at

[Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Portanova, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-5954, portanova.mary@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA. The docket number EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0699 refers to Ohio’s nonattainment SIP submittal of April 3, 2015, supplemented on October 13, 2015. This state submittal addressed Ohio’s Lake County, Muskingum River, and Steubenville OH-WV SO₂ nonattainment areas. The docket number EPA-R05-OAR-2017-0165 refers to Ohio’s OAC 3745-18 SO₂ rules SIP submittal of March 13, 2017. EPA is proposing action on only the Lake County portion of Ohio’s nonattainment SIP submittal and the portions of OAC 3745-18 that are specifically pertinent to Ohio’s Lake County nonattainment SIP at this time. The Muskingum River and Steubenville portions of the nonattainment SIP and the remainder of the OAC 3745-18 rule revisions will be addressed in subsequent rulemaking actions.

The following outline is provided to aid in locating information regarding EPA’s proposed action on Ohio’s Lake County SO₂ nonattainment plan.

Table of Contents

- I. Why was Ohio required to submit an SO₂ plan for the Lake County area?
- II. Requirements for SO₂ Nonattainment Area Plans
- III. Attainment Demonstration and Longer-Term Averaging
- IV. Review of Modeled Attainment Plan
 - A. Model Selection and General Model Inputs
 - B. Meteorological Data
 - C. Modeled Emissions Data
 - D. Emission Limits
 - 1. Enforceability
 - 2. Longer-Term Average Limits
 - E. Background Concentrations
 - F. Summary of Results
- V. Review of Other Plan Requirements
 - A. Emissions Inventory
 - B. Reasonably Available Control Measures and Technology
 - C. New Source Review
 - D. Reasonable Further Progress
 - E. Contingency Measures
- VI. Ohio’s SIP Rules
- VII. EPA’s Proposed Action
- VIII. Incorporation by Reference
- IX. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Why was Ohio required to submit an SO₂ plan for the Lake County area?

On June 22, 2010, EPA promulgated a new 1-hour primary SO₂ NAAQS of 75 parts per billion (ppb), which is met at an ambient air quality monitoring site when the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the daily maximum 1-hour average concentrations does not exceed 75 ppb, as determined in accordance with appendix T of 40 CFR part 50. See 75 FR 35520, codified at 40 CFR 50.17(a)–(b). The 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of daily maximum 1-hour average concentrations is called the air quality monitor’s SO₂ “design value.” For the 3-year period 2009–2011, the design value at the SO₂ monitor in Painesville, Lake County (39-085-0007) was 157 ppb, which is a violation of the SO₂ NAAQS. Lake County’s SO₂ designation was based upon the monitored design value at this location for this three-year period. (Lake County’s other SO₂ monitor, located in Eastlake, Ohio (39-085-0003), had a 2009–2011 design value of 33 ppb, which is not a violation.) On August 5, 2013, EPA designated a first set of 29 areas of the country as nonattainment for the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS, including the Lake County nonattainment area. See 78 FR 47191, codified at 40 CFR part 81, subpart C. These area designations were effective on October 4, 2013. Section 191(a) of the CAA directs states to submit SIPs for