

Eastern Standard Time (EST), Monday through Friday. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Docket Center is (202) 566-1742.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For questions about this final action, contact Ms. Angie Carey, Sector Policies and Programs Division (E143-01), Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone number: (919) 541-2187; fax number: (919) 541-0516; and email address: [carey.angela@epa.gov](mailto:carey.angela@epa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Preamble acronyms and abbreviations.* We use multiple acronyms and terms in this preamble. While this list may not be exhaustive, to ease the reading of this preamble and for reference purposes, the EPA defines the following terms and acronyms here:

AMEL alternative means of emission limitation  
 BTU/scf British thermal units per standard cubic foot  
 CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
 DCU delayed coking unit  
 EPA Environmental Protection Agency  
 MACT maximum achievable control technology  
 MPGF multi-point ground flare  
 NESHAP national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants

*Organization of this document.* The information in this document is organized as follows:

- I. Background
  - A. Summary
  - B. Regulatory Flare Requirements
- II. Summary of Public Comments on the AMEL Request
- III. AMEL for the MPGF

## **I. Background**

### *A. Summary*

In a **Federal Register** document dated March 11, 2019, the EPA provided public notice and solicited comment on the request under the CAA by Shell Martinez to operate an MPGF at a refinery in Martinez, California (see 84 FR 8715). In that document, the EPA solicited comment on all aspects of the AMEL request, including the operating conditions specified in that document that are necessary to achieve a reduction in emissions of volatile organic compounds and organic hazardous air pollutants at least equivalent to the reduction in emissions required by the maximum achievable control technology (MACT) requirements for Petroleum Refineries at 40 CFR 63.670(d) that apply to emission sources that would be controlled by this MPGF. Shell Martinez requested the AMEL for

its MPGF that controls emissions from its delayed coking unit (DCU). Shell Martinez submitted an AMEL request to operate a flare with tip exit velocities greater than those allowed in 40 CFR 63.670(d) while achieving  $\geq 96.5$ -percent combustion efficiency and 98-percent destruction efficiency.

This action provides a summary of our approval of this AMEL request.

### *B. Regulatory Flare Requirements*

Shell Martinez provided the information specified in the flare AMEL framework set forth in the Petroleum Refinery MACT at 40 CFR 63.670(r) to support its AMEL request. Shell Martinez is seeking an AMEL to operate its MPGF during upset emergency conditions. This DCU is subject to the Petroleum Refinery MACT, 40 CFR part 63, subpart CC; accordingly, the request followed the AMEL framework specified in 40 CFR part 63, subpart CC, at 40 CFR 63.670(r).

## **II. Summary of Public Comments on the AMEL Request**

The Agency received one non-substantive comment that appears to support the initial notice. No adverse comment was received on the request.

## **III. AMEL for the MPGF**

The EPA is approving the AMEL request by Shell Martinez to operate an MPGF with tip exit velocities greater than those allowed in 40 CFR 63.670(d) while achieving  $\geq 96.5$ -percent combustion efficiency and 98-percent destruction efficiency. We are also establishing in this notice the operating conditions for this MPGF as part of this approval. These operating conditions, which are the same as those set forth in the March 11, 2019, document, will ensure that this flare will achieve emission reductions at least equivalent to those achieved by flares complying with the relevant requirements in the Petroleum Refinery MACT. The operating conditions are as follows:

(1) The MPGF must be operated according to the requirements of the Petroleum Refinery MACT, including 40 CFR 63.670 and 63.671, except that all references to a combustion zone heating value of 270 British thermal units per standard cubic feet (BTU/scf) are replaced with a value of 800 BTU/scf and the flare tip velocity requirements of 40 CFR 63.670(d) do not apply.

(2) Each stage that cross-lights must have at least two pilots with a continuously lit pilot flame.

(3) The operator of the DCU MPGF system shall install and operate pressure monitor(s) on the main flare header, as well as a valve position indicator

monitoring system capable of monitoring and recording the position for each staging valve to ensure that the flare operates at normal maximum operating pressure of 15 pounds per square inch gauge as described in the AMEL application. The pressure monitor shall meet the requirements in Table 13 of 40 CFR 63, subpart CC.

(a) The owner or operator of the Shell Martinez DCU MPGF shall meet the reporting requirements in the Petroleum Refinery MACT in 40 CFR 63.655(g)(11)(i)–(iii). In addition, the Shell Martinez MPGF notification shall also include records specified in sections (i)–(ii) below.

(i) Records of when the pressure monitor(s) on the main flare header show the flare burners are operating outside the range of tested conditions or outside the range of the manufacturer's specifications. Indicate the date and time for each period, the pressure measurement, the stage(s) and number of flare burners affected, and the range of tested conditions or manufacturer's specifications.

(ii) Records of when the staging valve position indicator monitoring system indicates that a stage of the flare should not be in operation and is, or that a stage of the flare should be in operation and is not. Indicate the date and time for each such period, whether the stage was supposed to be open but was closed, or vice versa, and the stage(s) and number of flare burners affected.

Dated: May 20, 2019.

**Panagiotis Tsirigotis,**

*Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.*

[FR Doc. 2019-10904 Filed 5-23-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**[EPA-HQ-OPP-2017-0750; FRL-9993-37]**

### **Registration Review Proposed Interim Decisions for Several Pesticides; Notice of Availability**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's proposed interim registration review decisions and opens a 60-day public comment period on the proposed interim decisions for the following pesticides: Aliphatic solvents, bispyribac-sodium, diclosulam, florasulam, flucarbazone-sodium, hydramethylnon, imazamox, imazapic, imazaquin, imazethapyr, penoxsulam,

phosphorous acid and salts, polyoxin D zinc salts, and trifluralin.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 23, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by the docket identification (ID) number for the specific pesticide of interest provided in the Table in Unit IV, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For pesticide specific information, contact: The Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest identified in the Table in Unit IV.

For general information on the registration review program, contact: Dana Friedman, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 347-8827; email address: [friedman.dana@epa.gov](mailto:friedman.dana@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## I. General Information

### A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest identified in the Table in Unit IV.

### B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. **Submitting CBI.** Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information on a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. **Tips for preparing your comments.** When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

## II. Background

Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to

ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed proposed interim decisions for all pesticides listed in the Table in Unit IV. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

## III. Authority

EPA is conducting its registration review of the chemicals listed in the Table in Unit IV pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

## IV. What action is the agency taking?

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.58, this notice announces the availability of EPA's proposed interim registration review decisions for the pesticides shown in the following table, and opens a 60-day public comment period on the proposed interim decisions.

Registration review case name and number	Docket ID No.	Chemical review manager and contact information
Aliphatic solvents Case 3004 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0039 .....	Veronica Dutch, <a href="mailto:dutch.veronica@epa.gov">dutch.veronica@epa.gov</a> , 703-308-8585.
Bispyribac-sodium Case 7258 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0074 .....	Moana Appleyard, <a href="mailto:appleyard.moana@epa.gov">appleyard.moana@epa.gov</a> , 703-308-8175.
Diclosulam Case 7249 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0285 .....	Susan Bartow, <a href="mailto:bartow.susan@epa.gov">bartow.susan@epa.gov</a> , 703-603-0065.
Florasulam Case 7274 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0548 .....	Moana Appleyard, <a href="mailto:appleyard.moana@epa.gov">appleyard.moana@epa.gov</a> , 703-308-8175.
Flucarbazone-sodium Case 7251 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0283 .....	Veronica Dutch, <a href="mailto:dutch.veronica@epa.gov">dutch.veronica@epa.gov</a> , 703-308-8585.
Hydramethylnon Case 2585 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0869 .....	Christian Bongard, <a href="mailto:bongard.christian@epa.gov">bongard.christian@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0337.
Imazamox Case 7238 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0395 .....	Patricia Biggio, <a href="mailto:biggio.patricia@epa.gov">biggio.patricia@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0547.
Imazapic Case 7234 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0279 .....	Eric Fox, <a href="mailto:fox.ericm@epa.gov">fox.ericm@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0104.
Imazaquin Case 7204 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0224 .....	Matthew Manupella, <a href="mailto:manupella.matthew@epa.gov">manupella.matthew@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0411.
Imazethapyr Case 7208 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0774 .....	Katherine St. Clair, <a href="mailto:st.clair.katherine@epa.gov">st.clair.katherine@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-8778.
Penoxsulam Case 7265 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0303 .....	Samantha Thomas, <a href="mailto:thomas.samantha@epa.gov">thomas.samantha@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0514.
Phosphorous Acid and Its Salts Case 6035.	EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0488 .....	Cody Kendrick, <a href="mailto:kendrick.cody@epa.gov">kendrick.cody@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0468.
Polyoxin D Zinc Salt Case 6076 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0108 .....	Cody Kendrick, <a href="mailto:kendrick.cody@epa.gov">kendrick.cody@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0468.

Registration review case name and number	Docket ID No.	Chemical review manager and contact information
Trifluralin Case 0179 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0417 .....	Patricia Biggio, <a href="mailto:biggio.patricia@epa.gov">biggio.patricia@epa.gov</a> , 703-347-0547.

The registration review docket for a pesticide includes earlier documents related to the registration review case. For example, the review opened with a Preliminary Work Plan, for public comment. A Final Work Plan was placed in the docket following public comment on the Preliminary Work Plan.

The documents in the dockets describe EPA's rationales for conducting additional risk assessments for the registration review of the pesticides included in the table in Unit IV, as well as the Agency's subsequent risk findings and consideration of possible risk mitigation measures. These proposed interim registration review decisions are supported by the rationales included in those documents. Following public comment, the Agency will issue interim or final registration review decisions for the pesticides listed in the table in Unit IV.

The registration review final rule at 40 CFR 155.58(a) provides for a minimum 60-day public comment period on all proposed interim registration review decisions. This comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary amendments to the proposed interim decision. All comments should be submitted using the methods in **ADDRESSES**, and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the docket for the pesticides included in the Table in Unit IV. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

The Agency will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and may provide a "Response to Comments Memorandum" in the docket. The interim registration review decision will explain the effect that any comments had on the interim decision and provide the Agency's response to significant comments.

Background on the registration review program is provided at: <http://www.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation>.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: May 6, 2019.

**Charles Smith,**

*Acting Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2019-10945 Filed 5-23-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0804, OMB 3060-1096]

### Information Collections Being Submitted for Review and Approval to the Office of Management and Budget

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection.

Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The Commission may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid OMB control number.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before June 24, 2019. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB, via email [Nicholas\\_A\\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov); and to Nicole Ongele, FCC, via email [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov) and to [Nicole.Ongele@fcc.gov](mailto:Nicole.Ongele@fcc.gov).

Include in the comments the OMB control number as shown in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection, contact Nicole Ongele at (202) 418-2991. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the web page <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>, (2) look for the section of the web page called "Currently Under Review," (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the OMB control number of this ICR and then click on the ICR Reference Number. A copy of the FCC submission to OMB will be displayed.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection.

*Comments are requested concerning:* Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

**OMB Control Number:** 3060-0804.

**Title:** Universal Service—Rural Health Care Program.

**Form Numbers:** FCC Forms 460, 461, 462, 463, 465, 466, and 467.

**Type of Review:** Revision of a currently approved collection.