

and the State monitoring system in the region, and must recommend any appropriate changes in the operation of the system to the Regional Administrator. The RMA's review must include a determination whether the SMA:

* * * * *

(3) Is making recommendations which are being consistently ignored by SWA officials. If the RMA believes that the effectiveness of any SMA has been substantially impeded by the State Administrator, other State agency officials, any Federal officials, or other ES staff, he/she must report and recommend appropriate actions to the Regional Administrator. Copies of the recommendations must be provided to the NMA electronically or in hard copy.

* * * * *

(r) As appropriate, each year during the peak harvest season, the RMA must visit each State in the region not scheduled for an on-site review during that fiscal year and must:

(1) Meet with the SMA and other ES staff to discuss MSFW service delivery; and

* * * * *

(t) The RMA must attend MSFW-related public meeting(s) conducted in the region, as appropriate. Following such meetings or hearings, the RMA must take such steps or make such recommendations to the Regional Administrator, as he/she deems necessary to remedy problem(s) or condition(s) identified or described therein.

* * * * *

■ 28. In § 658.704, the introductory text of paragraph (a) is republished and paragraph (a)(4) is revised to read as follows:

§ 658.704 Remedial actions.

(a) If a SWA fails to correct violations as determined pursuant to § 658.702, the Regional Administrator must apply one or more of the following remedial actions to the SWA:

* * * * *

(4) Requirement of special training for ES staff;

* * * * *

Molly E. Conway,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc. 2019-12111 Filed 6-21-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2019-0081; FRL-9995-37-Region 8]

Clean Data Determination; Salt Lake City, Utah 2006 Fine Particulate Matter Standards Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 5, 2019, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the **Federal Register** a proposed rule pertaining to the proposed approval of a clean data determination (CDD) for the 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) Salt Lake City, Utah, (UT) nonattainment area (NAA) and requested comments by July 5, 2019. The EPA is extending the comment period for the proposed rule until July 22, 2019.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 22, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2019-0081, to the Federal Rulemaking Portal: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from www.regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as

copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air and Radiation Division, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202-1129. The EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to view the hard copy of the docket. You may view the hard copy of the docket Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., excluding federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Crystal Ostigaard, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 8, Mailcode 8ARD-AP, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202-1129, (303) 312-6602, ostigaard.crystal@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

On June 5, 2019 (84 FR 26053), we published in the **Federal Register** a proposed rule pertaining to proposed approval of a CDD for the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} Salt Lake City, UT NAA and requested comments by July 5, 2019. Specifically, the proposed determination is based upon quality-assured, quality-controlled, and certified ambient air monitoring data for the period 2016–2018, available in the EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) database, showing the area has monitored attainment of the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Based on our proposed determination that the Salt Lake City, UT NAA is currently attaining the 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the EPA also proposed to determine that the obligation for Utah to make submissions to meet certain Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act) requirements related to attainment of the NAAQS for this area is not applicable for as long as the area continues to attain the NAAQS.

We received a request from the Center for Biological Diversity to extend the comment period and, in response, we are extending the comment period to July 22, 2019.¹

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping

¹ A copy of the email requesting the extension, and our initial email response, appears in the docket for this action.

requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 17, 2019.

Debra Thomas,

Deputy Regional Administrator, EPA Region 8.

[FR Doc. 2019-13301 Filed 6-21-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2019-0044; EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0699; FRL-9995-43-Region 3 and 5]

Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio and West Virginia; Attainment Plans for the Steubenville, Ohio-West Virginia 2010 Sulfur Dioxide Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve, under the Clean Air Act (CAA), two State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submittals, submitted by Ohio and West Virginia, respectively. Ohio's requested SIP revision was submitted to EPA through the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) on April 1, 2015 with supplemental submissions on October 13, 2015 and March 25, 2019, with expectation of an additional submittal within two to three months. This additional submittal is expected to include final, adopted limits corresponding to the limits in proposed form in the March 25, 2019 submittal. West Virginia's requested SIP revision was submitted to EPA through the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) on April 25, 2016 with a supplemental submission from WVDEP on November 27, 2017 and a clarification letter on May 1, 2019. The Ohio and West Virginia submittals include each State's attainment demonstration for the Steubenville Ohio-West Virginia sulfur dioxide (SO₂) nonattainment area (hereinafter "Steubenville Area" or "Area"). Each state plan contains an attainment demonstration, enforceable emission limits and control measures and other elements required under the CAA to address the nonattainment area requirements for the Steubenville Area.

EPA proposes to conclude that the Ohio and West Virginia attainment plan submittals demonstrate that the

provisions in the States' respective plans provide for attainment of the 2010 1-hour primary SO₂ national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) in the entire Steubenville Area and meet the requirements of the CAA. EPA is also proposing to approve into the West Virginia SIP new emissions limits, operational restrictions, and associated compliance requirements for Mountain State Carbon, and proposing to approve into the Ohio SIP the limits on emissions from Mingo Junction Energy Center and JSW Steel as well as the proposed limits for the Cardinal Power Plant.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 24, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2019-0044 for comments relating to West Virginia or EPA-R05-2015-0699 for comments relating to Ohio at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to spielberger.susan@epa.gov at EPA Region III or to aburano.douglas@epa.gov at EPA Region V. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marilyn Powers at EPA Region III, Planning & Implementation Branch (3AD30), Air & Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, (215) 814-2308, powers.marilyn@epa.gov. John Summerhays at EPA Region V, Attainment Planning and Maintenance

Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18)), Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6067, summerhays.john@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

The following outline is provided to aid in locating information in this preamble.

Table of Contents

- I. Why were Ohio and West Virginia required to submit SO₂ plans for the Steubenville Area?
- II. Requirements for SO₂ Nonattainment Area Plans
- III. Attainment Demonstration and Longer-Term Averaging
- IV. Review of Modeled Attainment Plan
 - A. Which modeling analysis is Ohio and West Virginia relying on?
 - B. Model Selection
 - C. Meteorological Data
 - D. Receptor Network
 - E. Emissions Data
 - F. Source Characterization
 - G. Emission Limits
 - H. Background Concentrations
 - I. Assessment of Plant-Wide Emission Limit for Cardinal
 - J. Summary of Results
- V. Review of Other Plan Requirements
 - A. Emissions Inventory
 - B. Reasonably Available Control Measures/Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACM/RACT)
 - C. New Source Review (NSR)
 - D. Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)
 - E. Contingency Measures
- VI. EPA's Proposed Action
- VII. Incorporation by Reference Section
- VIII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Why were Ohio and West Virginia required to submit SO₂ plans for the Steubenville Area?

On June 22, 2010, EPA promulgated a new 1-hour primary SO₂ NAAQS of 75 parts per billion (ppb), which is met at an ambient air quality monitoring site when the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of daily maximum 1-hour average concentrations does not exceed 75 ppb, as determined in accordance with appendix T of 40 CFR part 50. See 75 FR 35520, codified at 40 CFR 50.17(a)-(b). On August 5, 2013, EPA designated a first set of 29 areas of the country as nonattainment for the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS, including the Steubenville nonattainment area comprised of portions within Ohio and West Virginia. See 78 FR 47191, codified at 40 CFR part 81, subpart C, §§ 81.336 and 81.349. These area designations became effective October 4, 2013. Section 191(a) of the CAA directs states to submit SIPs for areas designated as nonattainment for the SO₂