

see the Initiation Decision Memorandum dated concurrently with this notice and hereby adopted by this notice.⁷ The Initiation Decision Memorandum is a business proprietary document, of which a public version is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. The signed Initiation Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Initiation Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Commerce will not order the suspension of liquidation of entries of any additional merchandise at this time. However, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(l)(2), if Commerce issues a preliminary affirmative determination, we will then instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation and require a cash deposit of estimated duties, at the applicable rate, for each unliquidated entry of the merchandise at issue, entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after the date of initiation of the inquiry. Following consultation with interested parties, Commerce will establish a schedule for questionnaires and comments on the issues related to the *Order*. Commerce intends to issue its final determination within 300 days of the date of publication of this initiation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 781(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225(i) and (j).

Dated: October 18, 2019.

Carole Showers,

Executive Director, Office of Policy, Policy & Negotiations, Enforcement & Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2019-23610 Filed 10-29-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-818]

Certain Steel Nails From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) for the period of review (POR) July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable October 30, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Flessner, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order¹ on certain steel nails from Vietnam for the POR.² Commerce received a timely request from Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (the petitioner), in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), to conduct an administrative review of this antidumping duty order for 16 companies.³ No other party requested an administrative review.

On September 9, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation with respect to the 16 companies: (1) Atlantic Manufacture⁴ Inc.; (2) Chia Pao Metal Co., Ltd.; (3) CS Song Thuy; (4) Easylink Industrial Co., Ltd.; (5) Expeditors Vietnam Company Limited; (6) Inmax Industries SDN. BHD; (7) Jinhai Hardware Co., Ltd.; (8) Le Phuong Trading Import Export; (9)

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 80 FR 39994 (July 13, 2015).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 31295 (July 1, 2019).

³ See Petitioner's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Vietnam: Request for Administrative Reviews," dated July 31, 2019.

⁴ *Sic*.

Long Nguyen Trading & Service Co., Ltd.; (10) Region Industries Co., Ltd.; (11) Rich State Inc.; (12) Sam Hwan Vina Co., Ltd.; (13) Thai Bao Im-Ex Corporation Company; (14) Truong Vinh Ltd.; (15) United Nail Products Co. Ltd.; and (16) Vinalink O B Lu Yen Linh.⁵ On September 26, 2019, the petitioner timely withdrew its request for an administrative review for all 16 companies.⁶

Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The petitioner withdrew its request for review for all companies by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of this order. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the Vietnam covering the period July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019, in its entirety.

Assessment

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

⁵ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 47242 (September 9, 2019).

⁶ See Petitioner's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Vietnam: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Reviews," dated November 5, 2018.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Initiation of Minor Alteration Circumvention Inquiry on Hooked or Bent Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar," dated concurrently with this notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 24, 2019.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2019-23684 Filed 10-29-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-095]

Aluminum Wire and Cable From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that aluminum wire and cable from the People's Republic of China (China) is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

DATES: Applicable October 30, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley or Kathryn Turlo, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3148 or (202) 482-3870, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The petitioners in this investigation are Encore Wire Corporation (Encore) and Southwire Company, LLC (Southwire) (collectively, the petitioners). The mandatory respondents in this investigation are Hebei Huatong Wires and Cables Group Co., Ltd. (Huatong) and Shanghai Silin Special Equipment Co., Ltd. (Silin). On

June 5, 2019, Commerce published its *Preliminary Determination* for this investigation and invited interested parties to comment.¹ A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version are identical in content.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are aluminum wire and cable from China. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, *see* "Scope of the Investigation," at Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation and the concurrent countervailing duty (CVD) investigation, Commerce received scope comments from interested parties. In our *Preliminary Determination*, we explained that certain interested parties had commented on the scope of the investigation and that Commerce had preliminarily modified the scope.³ We received no additional scope comments; therefore, the scope remains unchanged from that which appeared in the *Preliminary Determination*.

Verification

Because the mandatory respondents in this investigation did not provide

¹ See *Aluminum Wire and Cable from the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 84 FR 26069 (June 5, 2019) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Less Than Fair Value Investigation of Aluminum Wire and Cable from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Preliminary Determination* PDM at 5-7.

information requested by Commerce, and Commerce found in the *Preliminary Determination* that each of the mandatory respondents have been uncooperative, verification was not conducted.

Analysis of Comments Received

In response to our invitation to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*, interested parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs to Commerce. All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice at Appendix II.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made no changes to the *Preliminary Determination*. For a discussion of the comments received, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

China-Wide Entity

For the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we are continuing to find that the use of adverse facts available (AFA), pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), is appropriate, and we are determining an estimated weighted-average dumping margin based entirely on AFA for the China-wide entity. Further, Commerce continues to consider the mandatory respondents, Huatong and Silin, to be a part of the China-wide entity. We continue to find that the China-wide entity, which also includes companies that failed to establish their eligibility for separate rate status as well as other Chinese exporters or producers that did not respond to Commerce's quantity and value questionnaire, withheld requested information, significantly impeded the proceeding, and failed to cooperate to the best of their abilities, and thus we are continuing to base the final determination for the China-wide entity on AFA. *See* the Issues and Decision Memorandum for a full discussion of this issue.

Adverse Facts Available

In selecting the estimated weighted-average dumping margin based on AFA for the China-wide entity, Commerce's practice is to select a rate that is sufficiently adverse to ensure that the uncooperative party does not obtain a more favorable result by failing to cooperate than if it had fully