Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the total (non-hour) cost burden for this collection in the form of filing fees and postage costs is estimated to be approximately \$788,286.60.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

USPTO invites public comments on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) Accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to

be collected: and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Marcie Lovett,

Director, Records and Information Governance Branch, OAS, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2019-25510 Filed 11-22-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Department of the Air Force

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for F– 35A Wing Beddown and MQ–9 Wing Beddown

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The United States Air Force (USAF) is issuing this notice of intent to advise the public of its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate potential environmental consequences associated with two independent proposed actions: (1) The beddown of an F–35A Operational Wing at Tyndall AFB, FL. and (2) The beddown of an MQ–9 Remotely Piloted Aircraft (RPA) Operational Wing at either Tyndall

AFB, Florida (FL) or Vandenberg AFB, California (CA).

DATES: The USAF will host two openhouse public scoping meetings:
Tuesday, December 10, 2019, from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., at Gulf Coast State
College, Student Union East in Panama
City, Florida, and Thursday, December 12, 2019, from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., at Allan Hancock College, Lompoc
Valley Center in Lompoc, California.
ADDRESSES: Submit scoping comments on the proposed F35—A and MQ—9 Wing

on the proposed F35-A and MQ-9 Wing Beddowns on the project website: F-35WingandMQ-9WingEIS.com. Scoping comments can also be submitted to: F-35/MQ-9 EIS Program Manager, Cynthia Pettit, AFCEC/CZN, Attn: F-35/MQ-9, 2261 Hughes Avenue, Suite 155, JBSA Lackland, TX 78236-9853; 210-925-3367; Email: afcec.czn.workflow@ us.af.mil; 210-925-3367 or FedEx & UPS Deliveries: AFCEC/CZN, 3515 S General McMullen Drive, Suite 155, San Antonio, TX 78226–2018. For comments submitted by mail, a comment form is available for download on the project website. Comments will be accepted at any time during the environmental impact analysis process. However, to ensure the USAF has sufficient time to consider public input in the preparation of the Draft EIS, scoping comments should be submitted via the project website or to the address listed above by December 23, 2019.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The F-35A Proposed Action is to beddown an F-35A Wing at Tyndall AFB, FL, consisting of three operational squadrons, each with 24 Primary Aerospace Vehicles Authorized Aircraft (PAA) and two Backup Aircraft Inventory (BAI) aircraft. Beddown of the F-35A Wing would include constructing and retrofitting of physical infrastructure and facilities and adding personnel to manage and perform operations, which include maintenance of the aircraft. F–35A flight operations for proficiency training would occur at the base and use existing airspace and ranges. The F-35A Wing beddown alternatives identified for evaluation in the EIS include beddown of the threesquadron F-35A Wing at Tyndall AFB, FL and an alternative with a fourth squadron of fifth generation fighter aircraft in addition to the threesquadron F-35A Wing.

The proposed MQ–9 Wing action is to beddown the MQ–9 remotely piloted aircraft system employed by the USAF in support of the Department of Defense directive to support initiatives of overseas contingency operations. The beddown of 24 MQ–9 aircraft would include a Wing Headquarters, an

Operations Group, and Maintenance Group; construction and/or renovation of facilities would support staff and house MQ-9 aircraft. The number of base personnel would be increased to fulfill MO-9 mission requirements. Flight operations for MQ-9 proficiency training would occur at the selected base and in existing airspace and ranges. MQ-9 Wing beddown alternatives identified for evaluation in the EIS include beddown of the MQ-9 Wing at either Tyndall AFB, FL or Vandenberg AFB, CA. Tyndall AFB, FL was identified as the preferred alternative for this mission.

The EIS will address potential environmental consequences resulting from implementation of each alternative for each of the proposed actions, as well as the combination of F–35A and MQ–9 actions at Tyndall AFB, FL. As required by NEPA, a No-Action Alternative, where the beddown of an F–35A Wing would not occur at Tyndall AFB, FL will also be addressed, as will the No-Action Alternative where the beddown of an MQ–9 Wing would not occur at either location. Bay County, Florida and Panama City, Florida are Cooperating Agencies for this EIS.

Scoping and Agency Coordination: To effectively define the full range of issues to be evaluated in the EIS, the USAF will solicit written comments from interested local, state, and federal agencies and elected officials, Native American tribes, interested members of the public, and others. Public scoping meetings will be held in the local communities near the alternative bases. The scheduled dates, times, locations, and addresses for the public scoping meetings are concurrently being published in local media.

## Adriane Paris,

Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019–25537 Filed 11–22–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–10–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Department of the Army

# Performance Review Board Membership

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is given of the names of members of a Performance Review Board for the Department of the Army. **DATES:** The list of members is effective November 13, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Smith, Civilian Senior Leader Management Office, 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0111. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives.

The Department of the Army Performance Review Board will be composed of a subset of the following

individuals:

1. Ms. Lisha H. Adams, Executive Deputy to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Materiel Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL.

2. Mr. Stephen D. Austin, Assistant Chief of the Army Reserve, Office of the Chief Army Reserve, Washington, DC.

- 3. Mr. Mark F. Averill, Deputy Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army/Director Resources & Program Agency, Office of the Administrative Assistant, Washington, DC.
- 4. Mr. Stephen G. Barth, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Cost and Economics), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management & Comptroller), Washington, DC.

5. LTĞ Scott D. Berrier, Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff G–2, Washington, DC.

6. Ms. Carol Burton, Director, Civilian Human Resources Agency, Aberdeen

Proving Ground, MD.

7. Ms. Carla Kay Coulson, Director, Installation Services, Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Washington, DC.

8. LTĞ Bruce T. Crawford, Chief Information Officer/G–6, Office of the Chief Information Officer/G–6,

Washington, DC.

- 9. LTĞ Edward M. Daly, Deputy Commanding General/Chief of Staff, U.S. Army Materiel Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL.
- 10. Mr. John J. Daniels, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Plans, Programs and Resources, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology), Washington, DC
- 11. Ms. Karen L. Durham-Aguilera, Executive Director of the Army National Cemeteries Program, Office of the Secretary of the Army, Arlington, VA.

12. MG Jason Evans, Deputy Chief of

Staff G–9, Washington, DC.

13. Dr. Elizabeth Fleming, Deputy Director, Engineer Research and Development Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg, MS.

14. LTG Charles Flynn, Deputy Chief of Staff, G–3/5/7, Washington, DC.

15. Mr. Gregory L. Garcia, Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer/G–6, Washington, DC.

16. GEN Michael X. Garrett, Commanding General, United States Army Forces Command, Fort Bragg, NC.

- 17. Mr. William J. Gillis, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installation, Energy and Environment, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installation, Energy and Environment, Washington, DC.
- 18. 1Ms. Sue Goodyear, Deputy Chief Executive Officer, U.S. Army Futures Commander, Austin, TX.
- 19. Mr. Larry D. Gottardi, Director, Civilian Senior Leader Management Office, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary, Washington, DC.
- 20. Mr. Stuart A. Hazlett, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Procurement), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology), Washington, DC.
- 21. Mr. Stacey Hirata, Chief, Military Programs Integration Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.
- 22. MG Donald E. Jackson, Jr., Deputy Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General, Washington, DC.
- 23. HON R. D. James, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), Washington, DC.
- 24. HON Bruce D. Jette, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology), Washington, DC.
- 25. MG Rick Kaiser, Deputy Chief of Engineers/Deputy Commanding General, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.
- 26. Mr. Thomas E. Kelly III, Deputy Under Secretary of the Army, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary, Washington, DC.
- 27. Mr. Mark R. Lewis, Deputy to the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), ASA (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), Washington, DC.

28. Mr. Christopher J. Lowman, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations (G–3/5/7), Washington, DC.

29. Dr. David Markowitz, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Programs, G– 8, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G– 8, Washington, DC.

30. LTG Theodore D. Martin, Deputy Commanding General/Chief of Staff,

U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command, Fort Eustis, VA.

31. Mr. Phillip E. Mcghee, Deputy Chief of Staff for Resource Management, G–8, U.S. Army Forces Command, Fort Bragg, NC.

32. Ms. Kathleen S. Miller, Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army, Office of the Administrative Assistant, Washington, DC.

33. Mr. Jonathan D. Moak, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management & Comptroller), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management & Comptroller), Washington, DC.

34. Mr. William F. Moore, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, G–4, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G–4, Washington,

DC.

35. Mr. Larry Muzzelo, Deputy to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

36. Mr. Levator Norsworthy, Jr., Deputy General Counsel (Acquisition), Office of the General Counsel,

Washington, DC.

37. LTG Paul A. Ostrowski, Military Deputy/Director, Acquisition and Contracting, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology), Washington, DC.

38. Ms. Karen W. Pane, Director of Human Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.

39. LTG Aundre F. Piggee, Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G–4, Washington, DC.

- 40. Mr. Ronald William Pontius, Deputy to Commanding General, Army Cyber Command, U.S. Army Cyber Command, Fort Belvoir, VA.
- 41. Ms. Anne L. Richards, The Auditor General, U.S. Army Audit Agency, Fort Belvoir, VA.
- 42. LTG James Richardson, Commander, U.S. Army Futures Command, Austin, TX.
- 43. LTG Laura J. Richardson, Commanding General, U.S. Army North, San Antonio, TX.
- 44. Mr. J. Randall Robinson, Executive Deputy to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Installation Management Command, Fort Sam Houston, TX.
- 45. Ms. Dawn L. Rosarius, Principal Assistant for Acquisition, U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, Fort Detrick, MD.
- 46. Ms. Alexis Ross, DASA for Strategy and Acquisition Reform), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology), Washington, DC.
- 47. Mr. Robert Sadowski, Senior Research Scientist (Robotics), U.S. Army

Combat Capabilities Dev Command, Ground Vehicle Systems Center Research, Technology & Integration Office, Warren, MI.

- 48. Mr. Charles F. Sardo, Chief of Staff, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, Fort Belvoir, VA.
- 49. Mr. Robert J. Sander, Principal Deputy General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Washington, DC.
- 50. LTG Thomas C. Seamands, Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1, Washington, DC.
- 51. Mr. Craig Schmauder, Deputy General Counsel, Office of the Office of General Counsel, Washington, DC.
- 52. LTG Thomas Seamands, Deputy Chief of Staff, G–1, Washington, DC.
- 53. LTG Todd T. Semonite, Chief of Engineers/Commanding General, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.
- 54. Ms. Lauri Snider, Senior Advisor, CI, DISCL, Sec Ent Intel and Operations Support, Deputy Chief of Staff, G–2, Washington, DC.
- 55. Mr. Robin P. Swan, Director, Office of Business Transformation, Office of the Secretary of the Army, Washington, DC.
- 56. Mr. Brian Toland, Command Counsel, HQ, U.S. Army Materiel Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL.
- 57. Mr. Roy A. Wallace, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G–1, Washington, DC.
- 58. HON Casey Wardynski, Jr., Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), Washington, DC.
- 59. LTG Eric J. Wesley, Deputy Commanding General, Futures and Concepts, U.S. Army Futures Command, Joint Base Langley-Eustis, VA.
- 60. Mr. Jeffrey S. White, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisitions, Logistics & Technology), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology), Washington, DC.
- 61. Mr. Marshall M. Williams, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower & Reserve Affairs), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower & Reserve Affairs), Washington, DC.

# Thomas E. Kelly III,

Deputy Under Secretary of the Army. [FR Doc. 2019–25495 Filed 11–22–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-03-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# **Defense Acquisition Regulations System**

[Docket DARS-2019-0043]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Public Meetings on DFARS Cases Regarding Technical Data Rights

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Announcement of public meeting.

SUMMARY: DoD is hosting a public meeting to obtain views of experts and interested parties in Government and the private sector regarding amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement statutory amendments and revise policies and procedures for acquisition of technical data and computer software, and associated license rights.

#### DATES:

Public Meeting Dates: The public meeting will be held on December 20, 2019, from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m., Eastern time. The public meeting will end at the stated time, or when the discussion ends, whichever comes first.

Registration Dates: Registration to attend the public meeting must be received no later than close of business on December 13. Information on how to register for the public meeting may be found in the SUPPLEMENTARY

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held in the Pentagon Library and Conference Center (PLCC), Conference Room B6, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301. Conference Room B6 is located on the lower level of the PLCC.

**INFORMATION** section of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jennifer D. Johnson, telephone 571–372–6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DoD is hosting a public meeting to obtain the views of experts and interested parties in Government and the private sector regarding amending the DFARS to implement statutory amendments and revise policies and procedures for acquisition of technical data and computer software, and associated license rights. DoD also seeks to obtain information on the potential increase or decrease in public costs or savings that would result from such amendments to the DFARS. In addition to the statutory changes, DoD is considering recommendations related to that

statutory subject matter that were provided in the November 13, 2018, Final Report of the Government-Industry Advisory Panel on Technical Data Rights (Section 813 Panel), established pursuant to section 813 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016.

To facilitate discussion at the public meeting, DoD anticipates publication of advance notices of proposed rulemaking, which will include initial drafts of the DFARS amendments, prior to the public meetings. This approach is based in part on a recommendation of the Section 813 Panel to invite industry to participate in the drafting of rules concerning technical data rights. For the public meeting listed in the DATES section of this notice, DoD anticipates discussion of DFARS case 2018–D071, Negotiation of Price for Technical Data and Preference for Specially Negotiated Licenses, which implements section 835 of the NDAA for FY 2018 and section 867 of the NDAA for FY 2019.

After this meeting, DoD anticipates scheduling and hosting additional public meetings, structured in the same manner and for the same overall objective, to address the following DFARS cases:

- 2018–D070, Continuation of Technical Data Rights during Challenges, which implements section 866 of the NDAA for FY 2018.
- 2018–D018, Noncommercial Computer Software, which implements section 871 of the NDAA for FY 2018.
- 2019–D043, Small Business Innovation Research Program Data Rights, which implements changes made by the Small Business Administration in its Policy Directive for the Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer Programs.
- 2019–D042, Proprietary Data Restrictions, which implements section 809(a), (b), and (d) of the NDAA for FY 2017 and section 815(b) of the NDAA for FY 2012.
- 2019–D044, Rights in Technical Data, which implements section 809(c) of the NDAA for FY 2017 and section 815(a) of the NDAA for FY 2012.

Registration: To ensure adequate room accommodations and to facilitate security screening and entry to the PLCC, individuals wishing to attend the public meeting must register by close of business on the date listed in the **DATES** section of this notice, by sending the following information via email to osd.dfars@mail.mil:

- (1) Full name.
- (2) Valid email address.
- (3) Valid telephone number.
- (4) Company or organization name.