

harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The Court’s December 17, 2019, judgment sustaining Remand III in *Jacobi AR7 IV* constitutes a final decision of the Court that is not in harmony with Commerce’s *AR7 Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the

publication requirement of *Timken*. Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise at issue pending expiration of the period to appeal or, if appealed, a final and conclusive court decision.

**Amended Final Results**

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce amends the *AR7*

*Final Results* with respect to the companies identified below. Based on Remand III, as sustained by the Court in *Jacobi AR7 IV*, the revised weighted-average dumping margins for the companies listed below during the period April 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014, are as follows:

Exporter	Margin (dollars per kilogram) <sup>26</sup>
Jacobi Carbons AB .....	0.12
Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Company, Ltd .....	0.12
Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Ningxia Mineral and Chemical Limited .....	0.12
Shanxi DMD Corporation .....	0.12
Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Tancarb Activated Carbon Co., Ltd .....	0.12
Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd .....	0.12

In the event that the CIT’s ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, is upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the companies identified above using the assessment rates calculated by Commerce in the remand redeterminations, as listed above.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Because there have been subsequent administrative reviews for the companies identified above, the cash deposit rates will remain the rates established in the most recently-completed *AR11 Final Results*, which is \$0.89/kg for Jacobi, \$1.02/kg for CA Tianjin, and \$0.89/kg for Beijing Pacific, Yunguang, Jilin Bright, Cherishmet, Huahui, Ningxia Mineral, Shanxi DMD, Shanxi Technology, Sincere, Tancarb, and Maijin.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>26</sup> In the second administrative review, Commerce determined that it would calculate per-unit assessment and cash deposit rates for all future reviews. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 70208, 70211 (November 17, 2010); see also *AR7 Final Results*, 80 FR at 61174 n.21.

<sup>27</sup> See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 68881 (December 17, 2019) (*AR11 Final Results*).

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 20, 2019.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**  
*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2019–28127 Filed 12–26–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[C–475–819]

**Certain Pasta from Italy; Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2018**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain pasta from Italy for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018, based on the timely withdrawal of the requests for review.

**DATES:** Applicable December 27, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Theodore Pearson, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401

Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2631.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 1, 2019, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the CVD order on certain pasta from Italy for the POR January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.<sup>1</sup> On July 30 and 31, 2019, Commerce received timely-filed requests from Pastificio Fratelli DeLuca S.r.l. (DeLuca),<sup>2</sup> Tesa S.r.l. (Tesa),<sup>3</sup> and Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A. (Indalco),<sup>4</sup> for administrative reviews of themselves, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b). Commerce received no other requests for administrative review.

On September 9, 2019, pursuant to these requests and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice initiating an administrative review of the CVD order

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 31295 (July 1, 2019).

<sup>2</sup> See DeLuca’s Letter, “Certain Dry Pasta from Italy; C–475–819; Request for Administrative Review (Revised),” dated July 30, 2019.

<sup>3</sup> See Tesa’s Letter, “Pasta from Italy; Request for Administrative Review,” dated July 31, 2019.

<sup>4</sup> See Indalco’s Letter, “Certain Pasta from Italy; Request for Administrative Review on Behalf of Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A.,” dated July 31, 2019.

on pasta from Italy.<sup>5</sup> On November 15, 2019, Indalco withdrew its request for an administrative review.<sup>6</sup> On November 18, 2019, DeLuca and Tesa withdrew their requests for administrative reviews.<sup>7</sup>

### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. DeLuca, Indalco and Tesa withdrew their requests within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation. No other parties requested an administrative review of the order. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review in its entirety.

### Assessment

Commerce intends to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of pasta from Italy. Countervailing duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to all parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

<sup>5</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 47242 (September 9, 2019).

<sup>6</sup> See Indalco's Letter, "Certain Pasta From Italy: Withdrawal of Request for CVD Administrative Review of Indalco S.p.A.," dated November 15, 2019.

<sup>7</sup> See DeLuca's Letter, "Certain Dry Pasta from Italy: C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Review," dated November 18, 2019; see also Tesa's Letter, "Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated November 18, 2019.

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 19, 2019.

**James Maeder,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2019-27936 Filed 12-26-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-523-808]

### Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Oman Fasteners LLC (Oman Fasteners) did not sell certain steel nails (steel nails) from the Sultanate of Oman (Oman) at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable December 27, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 1, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2017-2018 antidumping duty administrative review of steel nails from Oman.<sup>1</sup> On September 3, 2019, Oman Fasteners and Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (the petitioner) submitted case briefs.<sup>2</sup> On September 9, 2019, Oman Fasteners and the petitioner submitted rebuttal briefs.<sup>3</sup> In its case

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018*, 84 FR 37620 (August 1, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See Oman Fastener's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Oman: 3rd Administrative Review Case Brief," dated September 3, 2019; see also Petitioner's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Oman: Case Brief and Request for Hearing," dated September 3, 2019.

<sup>3</sup> See Oman Fastener's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Oman: 3rd Administrative Review Oman

brief, the petitioner requested that Commerce conduct a hearing in this proceeding. On November 4, 2019, the petitioner withdrew its request for a hearing.<sup>4</sup>

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order are steel nails from Oman. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup>

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties, we made no revisions to the preliminary margin calculation for the sole mandatory respondent.<sup>6</sup>

### Final Results of the Administrative Review

We have determined the following weighted-average dumping margin applies to the firm listed below for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018:

Fasteners' Rebuttal Brief," dated September 9, 2019; see also Petitioner's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Oman: Rebuttal Brief," dated September 9, 2019.

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Oman: Withdrawal of Hearing Request," dated November 4, 2019.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of the 2017-2018 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Analysis Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman: Oman Fasteners, LLC," dated July 24, 2019.